Proof of FTA part 1 Let S be the set of all counterexamples to FTA1. That is, for ne IN, nes (=> n ≥ 2 and n is not equal to a product of primes. We nant to argue that FTAI is true, meaning S is empty. Suppose, to get a contradiction, that S is not empty. Then, by the Well-Ordening Axiom, there is a smallest element in S. Call it a. Since a?2, ne know there is some prime p such that pla.

Thus, a=pk for some keZ. Since a and p are both positive, so is k. So  $k \ge 1$ . If k=1, then a=p is prime. But then  $a \notin S$ , a contradiction. If k>1, then k>2 (since heZ) but k < pk = a (since  $p \ge 2$ ). So k is smaller than a, the smallest element in S. Thus,  $k \notin S$ , meaning k is a product of primes. But then a = pk is a product of primes. So  $a \notin S$ , a contradiction. 

WLOG, 
$$p|q_1$$
. But  $p$  and  $q_1$  are both  
prime, so  $p = q_1$ . If  $l \ge 2$ , then  
 $P = Pq_2 \cdots q_k$   
So  $l = q_2 \cdots q_k$ .  
But this is impossible, so  $l = l$  and  
 $n = p$   
is the unique prime fractorization.

If 
$$n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_4$$
 is another prime  
factorization, then since  $p_1 | n_1$ , we  
have  $p_1 | (q_1 \cdots q_2)$ .  
Similar to above, we deduce that  
 $p_1$  is equal to one of the  $q_1$ 's.  
 $WLOG$ ,  $p_1 = q_1$ .  
Then  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} = p_1 q_2 \cdots q_4$ , so  
 $p_2 \cdots p_{k+1} = q_2 \cdots q_4$ .  
But the left-hand side is a product  
of k primes, so it has a unique prime  
factorization by  $P(k)$ .  
Thus,  $l = k+1$  and, up to reordening,  
the primes  $q_2, \dots, q_{k+1}$  are exactly  
the primes  $p_2, \dots, p_{k+1}$ .

This proves P(k+1), completing the inductive step.