

# Real Numbers

There are two ways we could try to talk precisely about  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## ① Construct $\mathbb{R}$ from $\mathbb{Z}$

This is possible, but hard!

### Step 1: Construct $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- Allow division to get fractions  $\frac{a}{b}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \neq 0$ .

- Impose equivalence

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d} \iff ad = bc$$

- Check that this is compatible with  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ .

### Step 2: Construct $\mathbb{R}$ .

- Somehow use the idea that real numbers are approximated by rationals.

## ② Give axioms for $\mathbb{R}$

See handout.

- Most of these were also axioms for  $\mathbb{Z}$
- There is no Well-Ordering axiom
- There are 2 new axioms.

⑦ Multiplicative Inverses: For each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \neq 0$ , there exists  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$a \cdot a^{-1} = 1.$$

Write  $\frac{b}{a}$  to mean  $b \cdot a^{-1}$ .

⑪ Least Upper Bound Property:  
Every non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which has an upper bound has a least upper bound in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- So
- everything we proved about  $\mathbb{Z}$  without using Well-Ordering will also be true for  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - these new axioms will give  $\mathbb{R}$  new properties that we did not have in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

## Division and Rational Numbers

Lemma: For all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ ,

(a) If  $a \cdot b = 1$ , then  $b = a^{-1}$ . [Uniqueness of Mult. Inverses]

(b)  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ .

(c)  $(a \cdot b)^{-1} = a^{-1} \cdot b^{-1}$

(d)  $(-a)^{-1} = -a^{-1}$

(e)  $a > 0$  if and only if  $a^{-1} > 0$ .

In fraction Notation:  $a \cdot b = 1 \Rightarrow b = \frac{1}{a}$

$$\bullet \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a}} = a$$

$$\bullet \frac{1}{ab} = \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{b}$$

$$\bullet \frac{1}{(-a)} = -\frac{1}{a}$$

$$\bullet a > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{a} > 0.$$

Proof: See handout.

Thm: Every integer is a real number.

Proof: The integers consist of positive numbers ( $\mathbb{N}$ ), 0, and negative numbers ( $-n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

$0 \in \mathbb{R}$  by Identity axiom. ✓

To show each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we use induction.

Base Case:  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$  by Identity axiom.

Inductive Step: Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, since  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $n+1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . ✓

Lastly, since each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $-n$  will also be in  $\mathbb{R}$  by the Additive Inverses axiom. ■

Def: A real number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a rational number if there exist integers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b \neq 0$  and  $x = a \cdot b^{-1}$ .

Write  $x = \frac{a}{b}$ , and say  $\frac{a}{b}$  is a fraction representing  $x$ .

The set of all rational numbers is  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Ex:  $\frac{2}{3}$  and  $\frac{8}{12}$  and  $\frac{10}{15}$  are all different fractions representing the same rational number.

Rule:  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d} \Leftrightarrow a \cdot b^{-1} = c \cdot d^{-1} \Leftrightarrow ad = bc$

"cross-multiply"

Lemma: For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,

a)  $x + y \in \mathbb{Q}$

b)  $x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$

c)  $x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Q}$

d) if  $y \neq 0$ , then  $x \cdot y^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Proof: (a) Since  $x$  and  $y$  are rational, there exist integers  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $b \neq 0$ ,  $d \neq 0$ , and

$$x = \frac{a}{b}, \quad y = \frac{c}{d}.$$

Then

$$x+y = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = a \cdot b^{-1} + c \cdot d^{-1}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} (bd) \cdot (x+y) &= (bd)(ab^{-1} + cd^{-1}) \\ &= ad + bc. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x+y &= (ad+bc) \cdot (bd)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{ad+bc}{bd}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

- $ad+bc, bd \in \mathbb{Z}$

- $bd \neq 0$  because  $b \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$ .

So  $x+y = \frac{ad+bc}{bd} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

(b)-(d): HW 16

2

Lemma: Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then there is  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$x = \frac{m}{n}.$$

Proof: Since  $x$  is rational, there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$x = \frac{a}{b}.$$

- If  $b > 0$ , take  $m = a$  and  $n = b$ .
- If  $b < 0$ , take  $m = -a$  and  $n = -b$ , since

$$x = \frac{a}{b} = \frac{-a}{-b}.$$

Def: A fraction  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in lowest terms if for every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ , then  $d=1$ .

That is, 1 is the only positive divisor  $a$  and  $b$  have in common.

Ex:  $\frac{2}{3}$  is in lowest terms.  $\frac{8}{12}$  is not, because  $4|8$  and  $4|12$ .

Def: Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . A possible positive denominator for  $x$  is a positive integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $x = \frac{m}{n}$ .

Ex:  $\frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{8}{12} = \frac{20}{30} = \dots$

so 3, 6, 12, 30 are some of the possible denominators for this rational number.

Thm: Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ . There exist  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x = \frac{m}{n}$  and  $\frac{m}{n}$  is in lowest terms.

Proof: Let  $S$  be the set of possible positive denominators for  $x$ .

By the lemma,  $x$  has a possible positive denominator, so  $S$  is a non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

By the Well-Ordering Principle,  $S$  has a smallest element. Call it  $n$ .



So  $x = \frac{m}{n}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Claim:  $\frac{m}{n}$  is in lowest terms.

To prove this, assume it is not. Then there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d|m$  and  $d|n$ , and  $d \neq 1$ . So there exist  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$m = dk \quad \text{and} \quad n = dl$$

Thus,

$$x = \frac{m}{n} = \frac{dk}{dl} = \frac{k}{l}.$$

Now,  $\bullet l \in \mathbb{N}$  [because  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ ]

$\bullet l < n$  [because  $d > 1$ ]

Thus,  $l$  is a possible positive denominator for  $x$  which is smaller than  $n$ , a contradiction.  $\bullet$

# Irrational Numbers

Def: Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say  $x$  is irrational if  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

That is, for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$ ,  $x \neq \frac{a}{b}$ .

To show  $x$  is irrational, we assume it is rational and get a contradiction.

Thm: Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  be irrational.

①  $x+y$  is irrational.

② If  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x \cdot y$  is irrational

Proof: ① Suppose, to get a contradiction, that  $x+y \in \mathbb{Q}$ .  
Since  $x$  is rational,  $-x$  is rational (HW 16).

Thus,

$$y = (x+y) + (-x)$$
  
is the sum of two rational numbers,  
so  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ , a contradiction.

② HW 16.