Warm-Up: What is the difference between
(a)
$$(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) (\cos x = 0 \text{ and } \tan x = 0)$$

and
(b) $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) (\cos x = 0)$ and $(\exists x \in \mathbb{R}) (\tan x = 0)$?

$$\frac{Free + Bound Variables}{Variables}$$
Let $P(x) = "x^2 + 6x + 8 \ge 0."$

• Is $P(x)$ true? It depends on x.
We say that x is a free variable in the
sentence $P(x)$.
Think: The sentence $P(x)$ is a function of x.
• Is $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) P(x)$ true? No!
This sentence does NOT depend on x, because
of the quantifier \forall .

In this case, we say x is a bound
variable in the sentence
$$(\forall x \in R) P(x)$$
.
The quantifier \exists can also bound variables:
 $(\exists x) P(x)$ does not depend on x.
Analogy: $f(x) = x^2$ vs. $\int_0^1 x^2 dx$

Note: When he use a quantifier (V or J), a bound variable is ranging over a <u>universe</u> of possibilities. Usnally, re should be explicit about this. Common choices: Z = the set of integers Q = the set of rational numbers R = the set of real numbers C = the set of complex numbers The universe matters!

$$E_{x}: (\exists x)(x^{2}=2) \qquad Ambiguous \\ (\exists x \in \mathbb{Z})(x^{2}=2) \qquad False, \quad JZ \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ (\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x^{2}=2) \qquad True, \quad JZ \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$E_{X}: (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x^{2} \ge 0) \quad T_{rue} \\ (\forall x \in \mathbb{C})(x^{2} \ge 0) \quad F_{alse}, \quad J=1 \in \mathbb{C}$$

Note: Over a finite set (universe), • V is an "and" statement • I is an "or" statement

 $E_{x}: If A = \{-3, 1, 4\}, \text{ then}$ $(\forall x \in A)(x^{2} < 20) = ((-3)^{2} < 20) \land (1^{2} < 20) \land (4^{2} < 20)$ $(\exists x \in A)(x > 0) = (-3 > 0) \lor (1 > 0) \lor (4 > 0)$ (Both true)

$$\frac{\text{Thun}}{(Generalized DeMorgan's Lows)}$$
(a) $-\left[(\forall x \in A) P(x)\right] = (\exists x \in A) (\neg P(x))$
(b) $-\left[(\exists x \in A) P(x)\right] = (\forall x \in A) (\neg P(x))$

$$\frac{Proof:}{(a)} \text{Suppose} -\left[(\forall x \in A) P(x)\right] \text{ is true.}$$

$$\text{Then } (\forall x \in A) P(x) \text{ is false.}$$
So there is some $x_0 \in A$ such that $P(x_0)$
is false, i.e. $\neg P(x_0)$ is true.
Hence $(\exists x \in A) (\neg P(x))$ is true.

$$\text{Then there is } x_0 \in A \text{ such that } P(x_0)$$
is true.

$$\text{Then there is } x_0 \in A \text{ such that } \neg P(x_0)$$
is true.

$$\text{Then there is } x_0 \in A \text{ such that } \neg P(x_0)$$
is true.

$$\text{Then there is } x_0 \in A \text{ such that } \neg P(x_0)$$
is true.

$$\text{Then there is } x_0 \in A \text{ such that } \neg P(x_0)$$
is true.

$$\text{So } (\forall x \in A) P(x) \text{ is false.} \text{ There fore,}$$

$$- (\forall x \in A) P(x) \text{ is true.}$$
(b) is similar (see book).

Ø

$$\frac{Th}{M} (Generalized Distributive Laws):$$
Let P be a sentence not involving x.
Let Q(x) be a sentence involving x.
Then
a) P A [(∃ x ∈ A) Q(x)] = (∃ x ∈ A) [P A Q(x)]
b) P V [(∀ x ∈ A) Q(x)] = (∀ x ∈ A) [P V Q(x)].
Proof: Omitted (see book).

Suppose P(x, y) is a sentence involving 2 variables. What is the difference between (a) $(\forall x)[(\exists y) P(x, y)]$ and (b) $(\exists y)[(\forall x) P(x, y)]$? Finghtst

How to prove? Let's show
$$\neg(b)$$
 is true.
By De Morgan,
 $\neg (\exists y) [(\forall x) P(x, y)] \equiv (\forall y) \neg [(\forall x) P(x, y)]$
 $\equiv (\forall y) [(\exists x) \neg P(x, y)]$

Proof: Let
$$y \in \mathbb{R}$$
. We must show there is $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
 $x+y \neq 1$. Take $x = -y$. Then $x+y = (-y)+y = 0 \neq 1$.
 $y = (y) + (-y) + y = 0 \neq 1$.
 $T_n (a)$, we choose y after

(a)
$$(\forall x)(\exists y) P(x,y)$$

and
(b) $(\exists y)(\forall x) P(x,y)$

In (b), we choose y first, and it has to work with every x.