# 4-DIMENSIONAL LOCALLY CAT(0)-MANIFOLDS WITH NO RIEMANNIAN SMOOTHINGS

### M. DAVIS, T. JANUSZKIEWICZ, and J.-F. LAFONT

## Abstract

We construct examples of 4-dimensional manifolds M supporting a locally CAT(0)metric, whose universal covers  $\tilde{M}$  satisfy Hruska's isolated flats condition, and contain 2-dimensional flats F with the property that  $\partial^{\infty} F \cong S^1 \hookrightarrow S^3 \cong \partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$  are nontrivial knots. As a consequence, we obtain that the group  $\pi_1(M)$  cannot be isomorphic to the fundamental group of any compact Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature. In particular, if K is any compact locally CAT(0)-manifold, then  $M \times K$  is a locally CAT(0)-manifold which does not support any Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature.

### 1. Introduction

Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive sectional curvature are a class of manifolds featuring a rich interplay between their geometry, their topology, and their dynamics. In the broader setting of geodesic metric spaces, we have the notion of a locally CAT(0)metric. These provide a metric space analogue of nonpositively curved Riemannian manifolds, and many classic results concerning Riemannian manifolds of nonpositive sectional curvature have now been shown to hold more generally for locally CAT(0)spaces. We are interested in understanding the difference, within the class of closed manifolds, between (1) supporting a Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature, and (2) supporting a locally CAT(0)-metric. A closed topological manifold equipped with a locally CAT(0)-metric will be called a *locally CAT*(0)-*manifold*.

In two and three dimensions, there is no difference between these two classes of manifolds (see Section 2). In contrast, Davis and Januszkiewicz [DJ] have constructed examples, in all dimensions  $\geq$  5, of locally CAT(0)-manifolds which do *not* support

DUKE MATHEMATICAL JOURNAL

Vol. 161, No. 1, © 2012 DOI 10.1215/00127094-1507259

Received 25 February 2010. Revision received 3 April 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M50; Secondary 20F67, 20F55.

Davis's work partially supported by National Science Foundation grants DMS-0706259 and DMS-1007068.

Januszkiewicz's work partially supported by National Science Foundation grants DMS-0706259 and DMS-1007068 and by State Committee for Scientific Research (Poland) grant N-N201-541738.

Lafont's work partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0906483 and by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship.

any Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature (see Section 3). In this paper, we deal with the remaining open case.

### MAIN THEOREM

There exists a 4-dimensional closed manifold M with the following four properties:

- (1) *M* supports a locally CAT(0)-metric.
- (2) *M* is smoothable, and  $\tilde{M}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .
- (3)  $\pi_1(M)$  is not isomorphic to the fundamental group of any compact Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature.
- (4) If K is any compact locally CAT(0)-manifold, then  $M \times K$  is a locally CAT(0)manifold which does not support any Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature.

Let us briefly outline the idea behind the proof of our main result. First of all, we introduce the notion of a triangulation of  $S^3$  to have *isolated squares*. Any such triangulation has a well-defined type, which is the isotopy class of an associated link in  $S^3$ . In Section 4, we provide a proof that any given link in  $S^3$  can be realized as the type of a suitable flag triangulation of  $S^3$  with isolated squares. In Section 5, we start with a flag triangulation L of  $S^3$  with isolated squares, whose type is a nontrivial knot and use it to construct the desired 4-manifold. This is done by considering the rightangled Coxeter group  $\Gamma_L$  associated to the triangulation L and defining M to be the quotient of the corresponding Davis complex by a torsion-free finite index subgroup  $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_L$ . Standard properties of the triangulation L ensure that M is smoothable and that the Davis complex is CAT(0) and diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . The isolated squares condition on the flag triangulation L ensures that the Davis complex satisfies Hruska's isolated flats condition. The fact that the type of L is a nontrivial knot ensures that the Davis complex contains a periodic 2-dimensional flat F which is *knotted at infinity*. But now if M supported a Riemannian metric g of nonpositive sectional curvature, the flat torus theorem ensures that one could find a corresponding flat F' (in the gmetric) which is  $\Gamma$ -equivariantly homotopic to F, and the isolated flats condition then forces F' to also be knotted at infinity. However, in the Riemannian setting, it is easy to see that a codimension two flat must be unknotted at infinity, yielding a contradiction.

## 2. Smoothings in dimension 3

Let *M* be a manifold supporting a locally CAT(0)-metric. We say that such a manifold supports a *Riemannian smoothing* provided that one can find a smooth Riemannian manifold (N, g), with g a Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature, and a homeomorphism  $f : N \to M$ . If M is smooth, we require f to be a diffeomorphism.

In low dimensions, all manifolds supporting a locally CAT(0)-metric are Riemannian smoothable. In dimension 2, this is immediate from the classification of surfaces. The corresponding result in dimension 3 is presumably well known to experts, but does not seem to appear in the literature. For completeness, we provide a proof.

#### **PROPOSITION** 1

Let  $M^3$  be a closed 3-manifold which supports a locally CAT(0)-metric. Then  $M^3$  has a Riemannian smoothing.

### Proof

Since  $M^3$  supports a locally CAT(0)-metric, it is aspherical with infinite fundamental group. Thurston's geometrization conjecture, recently established by Perelman (see [Pe1], [Pe2], and [Pe3]), implies that such a manifold has a Jaco–Shalen–Johannson (JSJ) decomposition, where each piece is either hyperbolic or Seifert fibered (i.e., an  $S^1$ -bundle over a 2-orbifold).

We first consider the case where there is a single piece in the JSJ splitting. Hyperbolic manifolds support metrics locally modeled on  $\mathbb{H}^3$  and so clearly have a Riemannian smoothing. Likewise, closed Seifert fibered manifolds supporting a locally CAT(0)-metric automatically support a metric locally modeled on the geometries  $\mathbb{E}^3$  or  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{E}^1$ , and hence have a Riemannian smoothing (see, e.g., [BrH, Theorem II.7.27, p. 258]). Next, consider the case where there are at least two pieces in the JSJ decomposition of  $M^3$ . If at least one of the components is hyperbolic, then Leeb [Lb, Theorem 3.3] has shown that  $M^3$  has a Riemannian smoothing. The only remaining case is if all components in the JSJ decomposition are Seifert fibered, in which case  $M^3$  is a 3-dimensional graph manifold.

Within the class of 3-dimensional graph manifolds, Buyalo and Svetlov have completely determined which manifolds support Riemannian metrics of nonpositive sectional curvature. More precisely, they show (see [BuSv, Theorem 2.3]) that a 3-dimensional graph manifold supports a Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature if and only if an associated family of cohomological equations has a solution. The "only if" portion of this result is established in [BuSv, Lemma 2.2], and relies on the fact that a nonpositively curved Riemannian metric on a graph manifold has the following special properties:

- (1) The tori appearing in the JSJ decomposition can be chosen to be totally geodesic flat tori.
- (2) The metric in each piece locally splits as a product, in a manner compatible with the Seifert structure.
- (3) The Seifert fibers within a piece are geodesics, and all regular fibers have the same length.

But a locally CAT(0)-metric on a graph manifold must satisfy the same three properties: (1) follows from the flat torus theorem (see [BrH, Chapter II.7]), while (2) and (3) are consequences of the splitting theorems in CAT(0)-spaces (see the discussion in [BrH, proof of Theorem II.7.27]). Using these three properties, the proof of [BuSv, Lemma 2.2] applies verbatim, and shows that if a graph manifold supports a locally CAT(0)-metric, then it satisfies the requisite cohomological condition for supporting a Riemannian metric of nonpositive curvature. Applying the "if" portion of [BuSv, Theorem 2.3], we conclude that  $M^3$  has a Riemannian smoothing, as desired.

## **3.** Obstructions in dimensions $\geq 5$

In this section, we briefly summarize the known obstructions to Riemannian smoothing. These obstructions start making an appearance in dimensions at least 5.

## 3.1. Example: No smooth structure

Given a Riemannian smoothing  $f: N \to M$  of a locally CAT(0)-manifold M, one can forget the Riemannian structure and simply view N as a smooth manifold. This immediately tells us that, if M has a Riemannian smoothing, then it must be homeomorphic to a smooth manifold; that is, the topological manifold M must be *smoothable*. The first examples of aspherical topological manifolds not homotopy equivalent to smooth manifolds were constructed (in all dimensions  $\geq 13$ ) by Davis and Hausmann [DH] by using the reflection group trick. Nonsmoothable aspherical PLmanifolds were constructed (in all dimensions  $\geq 8$ ) in the same paper. For the sake of completeness, we now sketch out a (slightly different) construction of a closed 8dimensional locally CAT(-1)-manifold  $M^8$  which is not homotopy equivalent to any smooth 8-manifold.

Recall that Milnor [Mi] constructed an 8-dimensional PL-manifold  $N^8$  which is not homotopy equivalent to any smooth 8-manifold. Milnor's example had the property that the second rational Pontrjagin class  $p_2(N^8)$  was *not* an integral class, and hence, cannot be homeomorphic to a smooth manifold. Let us take  $N^8$  equipped with a PL-triangulation. Charney and Davis [CD] developed a *strict hyperbolization* process, which inputs a triangulated manifold M and outputs a piecewise hyperbolic manifold h(M) equipped with a locally CAT(-1)-metric. Furthermore, they showed that the hyperbolization process preserves rational Pontrjagin classes. In particular, applying their strict hyperbolization process to  $N^8$ , we obtain a locally CAT(-1)manifold  $h(N^8)$ , having the property that  $p_2(h(N^8))$  fails to be integral, and hence forcing  $h(N^8)$  to be nonsmoothable. Finally, we note that the Borel conjecture is known to hold for this class of aspherical manifolds (see [BL]), so if  $h(N^8)$  was homotopy equivalent to some smooth manifold, it would in fact be homeomorphic to the smooth manifold (contradicting nonsmoothability). Similar examples can be constructed in all dimensions of the form n = 4k, with  $k \ge 2$  (see also the discussion in [BLW, Section 5]).

### 3.2. Example: No PL structure

In a similar vein, it is also possible to construct (topological) locally CAT(0)-manifolds that do not even support any PL structures. We recall such an example from [DJ, Section 5a]. We let  $M^4(E_8)$  denote the  $E_8$  homology manifold. Recall that this space is constructed by first plumbing together eight copies of the tangent disk bundle to  $S^2$ , according to the pattern given by the  $E_8$  Dynkin diagram. This results in a smooth 4-manifold with boundary  $N^4$ , whose boundary  $\partial N^4$  is homeomorphic to Poincaré's homology 3-sphere. Coning off the boundary gives the space  $M^4(E_8)$ , a simply connected homology manifold of signature 8 with one singular point. Taking a triangulation of  $N^4$ , one can extend it (by coning on the boundary) to a triangulation of  $M^4(E_8)$ , which we can then hyperbolize to obtain a space  $H^4$ .

The space  $H^4$  is now a homology 4-manifold of signature 8 with one singular point, and comes equipped with a locally CAT(0)-metric. It follows from Edwards's double suspension theorem that  $H^4 \times T^k$  is a topological (4 + k)-manifold (where  $T^k$  denotes the k-torus and  $k \ge 1$ ). The manifolds  $H^4 \times T^k$  come equipped with a (product) locally CAT(0)-metric, but it follows from the arguments in [DJ, Section 5a] that they do not admit a PL structure. Thus, in each dimension  $\ge 5$  there is a locally CAT(0)-manifold with no PL structure.

### 3.3. Example: Universal cover distinct from $\mathbb{R}^n$

For a third family of examples, we recall that the classic Cartan–Hadamard theorem asserts that the universal cover of a Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature must be diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, a CAT(0)-manifold M with the property that  $\tilde{M}$  is *not* diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  cannot support a Riemannian smoothing. Davis and Januszkiewicz constructed (see [DJ, Theorem 5b.1]) examples of locally CAT(0)-manifolds  $M^n$  (for  $n \ge 5$ ), with the property that their universal covers  $\tilde{M}^n$  are *not* simply connected at infinity (and hence, not homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Further examples of this type are described in [ADG].

## 3.4. Example: Boundary at infinity distinct from $S^{n-1}$

In the previous three families of examples, *topological* properties (smoothability, PL-smoothings, the topology of universal cover) were used to obstruct the existence of a Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature. The next family of examples have obstructions that arise from the *large scale geometry* of the universal covers. Associated to a CAT(0)-space X, we have a topological space called the *boundary at infinity*  $\partial^{\infty} X$ . If X is Gromov hyperbolic, then the homeomorphism type of  $\partial^{\infty} X$  is a

quasi-isometry invariant of X. In particular, if X is the universal cover of a compact, locally CAT(-1)-space Y, then  $\partial^{\infty} X$  depends only on  $\pi_1(Y)$ . When X is the universal cover of an *n*-dimensional closed Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature, the corresponding  $\partial^{\infty} X$  is homeomorphic to the standard sphere  $S^{n-1}$ .

Now consider the locally CAT(-1) 5-manifold  $M^5$  obtained by applying a strict hyperbolization procedure (from [CD]) to the double suspension of a triangulation of Poincaré's homology 3-sphere. Denote by  $X^5$  its universal cover, and observe that, although  $\partial^{\infty} X^5$  has the homotopy type of  $S^4$ , it is proved in [DJ, Section 5c] that  $\partial^{\infty} X^5$  is *not* locally simply connected. So  $\partial^{\infty} X^5$  cannot be homeomorphic to  $S^4$  (in fact, is not even an absolute neighborhood retract), despite the fact that  $X^5$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . Thus,  $M^5$  is not homotopy equivalent to a Riemannian 5-manifold of strictly negative sectional curvature. The same argument applies to a strict hyperbolization of the manifold  $M^4(E_8) \times S^1$  discussed in Section 3.2. There are similar examples in higher dimensions n > 5 obtained by strictly hyperbolizing double suspensions of homology (n-2)-spheres. Thus, in each dimension  $n \ge 5$  there are closed locally CAT(-1)-manifolds  $M^n$  with universal cover homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  but which are not homotopy equivalent to any Riemannian *n*-manifold of strictly negative sectional curvature.

## 3.5. Example: Stability under products

Finally, we point out one last method for producing manifolds which do not have Riemannian smoothings.

#### **PROPOSITION 2**

Let  $M^n$  be a locally CAT(0)-manifold which does not support any Riemannian smoothing, and assume that  $n \ge 5$ . Then for K an arbitrary locally CAT(0)-manifold, the product  $M \times K$  is a locally CAT(0)-manifold which does not support any Riemannian smoothing.

## Proof

To see this, we first note that the product of the locally CAT(0)-metrics on M and K provides a locally CAT(0)-metric on  $M \times K$ . Now assume that  $M \times K$  supported a Riemannian smoothing  $f : N \to M \times K$ , and let g be the associated Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature on N. Since  $\pi_1(N) \cong \pi_1(M) \times \pi_1(K)$ , the classical splitting theorems (see [GW], [LY], [Sc]) imply that we have a corresponding *geometric* splitting  $(N, g) \cong (M', g_1) \times (K', g_2)$  having the properties that

- each factor can be identified with a totally geodesic submanifold of (*N*, *g*); and
- the factors satisfy  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(M')$  and  $\pi_1(K) \cong \pi_1(K')$ .

So we see that M' is a Riemannian manifold of nonpositive sectional curvature, of dimension  $\geq 5$  satisfying  $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(M')$ . Since the Borel conjecture is known to hold for this class of manifolds (see [FJ]), there exists a homeomorphism  $M' \rightarrow M$  realizing the isomorphism of fundamental groups. This provides a Riemannian smoothing of M, giving us the desired contradiction.

We remark that property (4) in our main theorem can be deduced by a virtually identical argument: instead of appealing to the Borel conjecture to obtain a contradiction, we resort instead to property (3) in our main theorem.

## 4. Special triangulations of $S^3$

To establish our main theorem, we need to construct triangulations of the 3-sphere having certain specific combinatorial properties. This is the focus of the present section.

Recall that a simplicial complex is *flag* provided that it is determined by its 1-skeleton; that is, every *k*-tuple of pairwise incident vertices spans a (k - 1)-simplex  $\sigma^{k-1}$  (for  $k \ge 3$ ). A subcomplex  $\Sigma'$  of a simplicial complex  $\Sigma$  is *full* provided that every simplex  $\sigma \subset \Sigma$  whose vertices lie in  $\Sigma'$  satisfies  $\sigma \subset \Sigma'$ . We say that a cyclically ordered 4-tuple of vertices  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  in a simplicial complex forms a *square* provided that each consecutive pair of vertices determines an edge in the complex, while the pairs  $(v_1, v_3)$  and  $(v_2, v_4)$  do *not* determine an edge.

### **Definition 3**

A flag triangulation of  $S^3$  is said to have *isolated squares* provided that no two squares in the triangulation intersect (i.e., each vertex lies in at most one square). For such a triangulation, the collection of squares form a link in  $S^3$ . We call the isotopy class of this link the *type* of the triangulation.

In this section, we establish the following.

### THEOREM 4

Let  $k \subset S^3$  be any prescribed link in the 3-sphere. Then there exists a flag triangulation of  $S^3$ , with isolated squares, and with type the given link k.

We establish this result in several steps, gradually building up the triangulation to have the properties we desire.

Step 1: Triangulating the solid torus. As a first step, we describe a triangulation of a solid torus  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$ . Recall that there is a canonical decomposition of the 3-dimensional cube  $[0, 1]^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  into six tetrahedra. This triangulation is determined by



Figure 1. Basic triangulation of a triangular prism

the inequalities  $0 \le x_{\sigma(1)} \le x_{\sigma(2)} \le x_{\sigma(3)} \le 1$ , where  $\sigma$  ranges over the six possible permutations of the index set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ . Now if we restrict to the region where  $x_1 \le x_2$ , we obtain a triangulation of the triangular prism  $\Delta^2 \times [0, 1]$  into exactly three tetrahedra. Let us denote by F, G the two square faces of the triangular prism defined via the hyperplanes  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_1 = x_2$ , respectively. The triangulation of the prism cuts each of these squares into two triangles along the diagonal originating at the origin. We call the *bottom* of the prism the triangle corresponding to the intersection with the hyperplane  $x_3 = 0$ , and we call the *top* of the prism the triangle arising from the intersection with the hyperplane  $x_3 = 1$ . Figure 1 contains an illustration of this decomposition of the triangular prism. In the picture, the two square sides facing us are F and G, respectively.

We can now take three copies of the triangular prism and cyclically identify each  $F_i$  to the corresponding  $G_{i+1}$ . This gives a new triangulation of a triangular prism (with nine tetrahedra), with an inherited notion of "top" and "bottom." This new triangulation has the following key properties:

- There exists a unique edge *e* of the triangulation joining the center of the bottom triangle to the center of the top triangle.
- The center of the bottom triangle is adjacent to *every* vertex in the triangulation.
- Aside from the center of the bottom triangle, the center of the top triangle is adjacent to *no other* vertices in the bottom of the prism.

We call a copy of this canonical triangulation of the triangular prism a *block*. Fixing an identification of  $\mathbb{D}^2$  with the base of the triangular prism, we can think of a block as a triangulation of  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times [0, 1]$ .

To obtain the desired triangulation of the solid torus  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$ , we "stack" four blocks together. More precisely, we take four blocks and cyclically identify the top of each block with the bottom of the next block. This gives us a triangulation of the solid torus  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$  into thirty-six tetrahedra. We say that blocks are *adjacent* or *opposite* according to whether or not they share a vertex. Corresponding to the above properties for the individual blocks, this triangulation of the solid torus satisfies the following.

- The triangulation contains a canonical, unique square having the property that it is entirely contained within the *interior* of  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$ ; the four vertices of this square are called *interior vertices*.
- All the remaining vertices of the triangulation lie on the boundary of  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$  and are called *boundary vertices*.
- Every tetrahedron in the triangulation contains at least one interior vertex.
- Every interior vertex has the property that, if one looks at all adjacent boundary vertices, these vertices are all contained in single block (the unique block whose bottom contains the given interior vertex).

We call the unique square in the interior of this triangulation of  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$  the *core* of the solid torus. Observe that, of the thirty-six tetrahedra occurring in the triangulation, exactly twenty-four of them arise as the join of a triangle in  $\partial \mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$  with an interior vertex, while the remaining twelve occur as the join of an edge in  $\partial \mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$  with an edge in the core.

Step 2: Getting squares realizing the link k. Next, let us take the desired link k, and take pairwise disjoint regular closed neighborhoods  $\hat{N}_i$  of the individual components of the link. Each of these neighborhoods is homeomorphic to a solid torus, and we denote by  $N_i \subset \hat{N}_i$  the slightly smaller solid torus of radius half as large. We proceed to construct a triangulation of  $S^3$  as follows. First, within each of the tori  $N_i$ , we use the triangulation described in Step 1, identifying the components of the link with the cores of the various triangulated solid tori. Second, by removing the interiors of all the  $\hat{N}_i$ , we obtain a compact 3-manifold M with boundary  $\partial M = \prod \partial \hat{N}_i$ . Since 3-manifolds can be triangulated, we now choose an arbitrary triangulation of this 3-manifold M, obtaining a triangulation of  $M \cup \prod N_i \subset S^3$ . The closure of the complementary region is a disjoint union of the sets  $\hat{N}_i \setminus N_i$ , each of which is topologically a fattened torus  $S^1 \times S^1 \times [0, 1]$ . Furthermore, we are given triangulations  $\mathcal{T}_0, \mathcal{T}_1$  of the two boundaries  $S^1 \times S^1 \times \{0\}, S^1 \times S^1 \times \{1\}$  (coming from the triangulations of  $\partial N_i$  and  $\partial M$ , respectively). But any two triangulations of the 2-torus  $S^1 \times S^1$  have subdivisions which are simplicially isomorphic, by the 2-dimensional Hauptvermutung. Letting  $\mathcal{T}'$  denote such a triangulation, we assign this triangulation on the level set  $S^1 \times S^1 \times \{1/2\}$ .

Finally, we extend the triangulation into the two regions  $S^1 \times S^1 \times [0, 1/2]$  and  $S^1 \times S^1 \times [1/2, 1]$  by using the following procedure. On each of these two regions, we

have a triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_i$  on one of the boundary components and a subdivision  $\mathcal{T}'$  of the triangulation on the other boundary component. We proceed to subdivide inductively each of the regions  $\sigma \times I$ , where  $\sigma$  ranges over the simplices of the triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_i$ . First of all, we add in edges  $\sigma^0 \times I$  for each vertex in the triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_i$ . Now assuming that we have already triangulated the product  $\mathcal{T}_i^{(k-1)} \times I$  of the (k-1)-skeleton of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  with the interval, let us extend the triangulation to  $\mathcal{T}_i^k \times I$ . Given a *k*-simplex  $\sigma^k$ , we have that the region  $\sigma^k \times I$  is topologically a closed (k + 1)-dimensional ball, with boundary that can be identified with  $(\sigma^k \times \{0\}) \coprod (\sigma^k \times \{1\}) \coprod (\partial \sigma^k \times I)$ . Furthermore, the bottom level consists of a simplex (the original  $\sigma^k \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ), the top level consists of a subdivision of the simplex (the subdivision of  $\sigma^k$  inside  $\mathcal{T}'$ ), and each of the faces has already been triangulated. In other words, we see that we have a topological  $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ , along with a given triangulation of  $\partial \mathbb{D}^{k+1}$ . But it is now easy to extend: just cone the given triangulation on the boundary inward. Performing this process on each of the  $\sigma^k \times I$  now provides us with a triangulation of the set  $\mathcal{T}_i^k \times I$ . This results in a triangulation of the 3-sphere with the following three properties:

- The triangulation contains a collection of squares, whose union realizes the given link *k*.
- For each of the squares, the union of the simplices incident to the square forms a regular neighborhood  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$ , triangulated as in Step 1.
- All these regular neighborhoods are pairwise disjoint.

Step 3: Getting rid of all other squares. At this stage, we have constructed a triangulation of  $S^3$  which contains a collection of squares realizing the given link k. However, there are still two problematic issues: our triangulation might not be flag, and it might fail the isolated squares condition. The third step is to modify the triangulation in order to ensure these two additional conditions. To fix some notation, we will keep using  $N_i$  to denote the regular neighborhood of the squares that we are interested in keeping. Recall that each of these is topologically a solid torus  $\mathbb{D}^2 \times S^1$ , with triangulation combinatorially isomorphic to the triangulation given in Step 1. We first modify the given triangulation in the complement of the  $N_i$ , and subsequently change it within the regions  $N_i$ .

Let us denote by X the closure of the complement of the union of the  $N_i$ . This is topologically a 3-manifold with boundary, equipped with a triangulation (from the previous two steps). Now the standard method of obtaining a flag triangulation is to take the barycentric subdivision of a given triangulation. But unfortunately, this process creates lots of squares. Recently, Przytycki and Świątkowski [PS], building on earlier work of Dranishnikov [Dr], have found a different subdivision process that takes a 3-dimensional simplicial complex and returns a subdivision of the complex that is flag *and has no squares*. For an arbitrary simplicial complex Z, we denote by  $Z^*$  the simplicial complex obtained by applying this procedure to Z. We modify

the given triangulation of  $S^3$  in two stages. First we modify the triangulation in X, by replacing X by  $X^*$ . Next, we describe the extension of this triangulation into the various components  $N_i$ . For the original triangulation of each of the  $N_i$ , we see that the thirty-six tetrahedra are of one of two types:

- (a) Twenty-four of them are the join of one of the interior vertices with a triangle on  $\partial N_i$ .
- (b) Twelve of them are the join of one of the four edges on the core square with an edge on  $\partial N_i$ .

Now the subdivision  $X^*$  restricts to a subdivision on each simplex in  $\partial N_i$ , which changes the simplicial complex  $\partial N_i$  into  $(\partial N_i)^*$ . The effect of this subdivision on simplices in  $\partial N_i$  is to subdivide each edge in  $\partial N_i$  into two, and to replace each original triangle by the subdivision in Figure 2. We extend the subdivision  $(\partial N_i)^*$  of  $\partial N_i$  to a subdivision  $N'_i$  of the original  $N_i$  in the most natural way possible:

- (a) Each tetrahedron in  $N_i$  that was a join of an interior vertex with a triangle  $\sigma \subset \partial N_i$  gets replaced by the join of the same vertex with  $\sigma^*$  (i.e., we cone the subdivision of  $\sigma$  to the interior vertex), subdividing the original tetrahedron into ten new tetrahedra (the cone over Figure 2).
- (b) Each tetrahedron that was a join of an edge on the square with an edge on  $\partial N_i$  gets replaced by two tetrahedra (i.e., the join of the internal edge with each of the two edges obtained from subdividing the boundary edge).

This changes the original triangulation on each  $N_i$  into a new triangulation  $N'_i$  with a total of 264 tetrahedra. We will continue to use the term *block* to refer to the subcomplexes of the  $N'_i$  that are subdivisions of the original blocks in  $N_i$ . Observe that, in each of the  $N_i$ , our subdivision process did not introduce any new vertices in the interior of the  $N_i$ . As such, the core squares have been left unchanged (and we will still refer to them as the cores of the  $N'_i$ ).



Figure 2. Dranishnikov subdivision of triangles

Finally, we note that by construction the two subdivisions  $N'_i$  of  $N_i$ , and  $X^*$  of X coincide on their common subcomplex  $\partial N_i = N_i \cap X$ . In particular, they glue together to give a well-defined triangulation  $\Sigma$  of  $S^3$ .

Step 4: Verifying that  $\Sigma$  has the desired properties. Note that the triangulation  $\Sigma$  contains a copy of  $X^*$ , as well as copies of each  $N'_i$ . These partition the triangulation  $\Sigma$  into various pieces.

### LEMMA 5

The complex  $X^*$ , the individual  $N'_i$ , and the intersections  $X^* \cap N'_i$  are all full subcomplexes of  $\Sigma$ .

#### Proof

This follows easily from the following two facts:

- Each of the intersections  $X^* \cap N'_i = (X \cap N_i)^*$  is a full subcomplex of  $X^*$ .
- Each of the intersections X\* ∩ N'<sub>i</sub> = ∂N'<sub>i</sub> is a full subcomplex of the corresponding N'<sub>i</sub>.

The first statement is a direct consequence of [PS, Lemma 2.10], where it is shown that if U is any subcomplex of W, then  $U^*$  is a full subcomplex of  $W^*$ . The second statement is a consequence of the construction of the triangulation  $N'_i$ , since by construction, each simplex of  $N'_i$  which is *not* contained in  $\partial N'_i$  contains a vertex in the interior of  $N'_i$  (and hence in  $N'_i - \partial N'_i$ ).

## LEMMA 6 The triangulation $N'_i$ is flag.

#### Proof

Given a collection of pairwise incident vertices V, there are three possibilities: V contains two, one, or no interior vertices of  $N'_i$ . We consider each of these three cases in turn.

If V contains no interior vertices, then  $V \subset \partial N'_i$ , and since the latter is a full subcomplex of  $N'_i$  (see Lemma 5), V is in fact a collection of vertices in  $\partial N'_i$  which are pairwise adjacent within  $\partial N'_i$ . But recall that  $\partial N'_i$  is just the triangulation  $(\partial N_i)^*$ , and hence is flag. This implies that V spans a simplex in  $\partial N'_i$ .

If V contains one interior vertex v, then, by the previous argument,  $V - \{v\}$  spans a simplex in  $\partial N'_i = (\partial N_i)^*$  which is contained within some (maximal) 2-dimensional simplex  $\sigma$  in  $(\partial N_i)^*$ . Note that, since all vertices  $V - \{v\}$  are adjacent to the interior vertex v, they must lie in the block B corresponding to v. So the 2-dimensional simplex  $\sigma \subset (\partial N_i)^*$  can additionally be chosen to lie within that same block B. This means that there exists a 2-dimensional simplex  $\tau \in \partial N_i$  with the property that  $\sigma$  is one of the ten triangles in  $\tau^*$  (see Figure 2). Finally, observe that  $\tau$  must lie within the block B, so the join of  $\tau$  with the interior vertex v defines a tetrahedron inside the original triangulation  $N_i$  (of type (a) in the terminology of Step 3). But recall how the subdivision  $(\partial N_i)^*$  of the triangulation  $\partial N_i$  was extended into  $N_i$ : for tetrahedra of type (a), the subdivision on the boundary was coned off to the interior vertex. This implies that the join of  $\sigma$  and the vertex v defines a tetrahedron in  $N'_i$ , and as the set V is a subset of the vertex set of this tetrahedron, we deduce that V spans a simplex in  $N'_i$ .

Finally, if V contains two interior vertices v, w, let  $B_v, B_w$  denote the corresponding blocks. Since  $V - \{v, w\}$  is a collection of vertices in  $\partial N'_i = (\partial N_i)^*$  which are adjacent to *both* interior vertices, we see that the set  $V - \{v, w\}$  must lie within  $B_v \cap B_w$ , which is a 1-dimensional complex homeomorphic to  $S^1$  (subdivided into 6 consecutive edges). Since  $V - \{v, w\}$  are pairwise adjacent, there is an edge  $\sigma$  in  $B_v \cap B_w$  whose vertex set contains  $V - \{v, w\}$ . This edge is contained in a subdivision of an edge  $\tau$  from the original triangulation  $\partial N_i$ , where  $\tau$  is an edge which is common to the two blocks  $B_v$  and  $B_w$ . In particular, the join  $\omega * \tau$  of  $\tau$  with the edge  $\omega$  in the core joining v to w defines a tetrahedron in the original triangulation  $(\partial N_i)^*$  was extended inward, we recall that the tetrahedron  $\omega * \tau$ , being of type (b), is replaced by two tetrahedra  $\omega * \sigma$  and  $\omega * \sigma'$ , where  $\tau^* = \sigma \cup \sigma'$ . Since the join of  $\sigma$  and  $\omega$  defines a tetrahedron in  $N'_i$  and since the set V is a subset of the vertex set of this tetrahedron, we again deduce that V spans a simplex in  $N'_i$ .

COROLLARY 7 The triangulation  $\Sigma$  is flag.

### Proof

If all the vertices are contained in  $X^*$ , then the claim follows immediately from the fact that  $X^*$  itself is flag (see [PS, Proposition 2.13]). So we can now assume that at least one of the vertices is contained in the interior of one of the  $N'_i$ .

Note that an interior vertex in one of the  $N'_i$  has its closed star entirely contained within the same  $N'_i$ . So we see that the tuple of pairwise adjacent vertices must be entirely contained within the same subcomplex  $N'_i$ . But by Lemma 6, we have that each of the subdivided  $N'_i$  are themselves flag, finishing the proof.

### **PROPOSITION 8**

The only squares in  $\Sigma$  are the cores of the various  $N'_i$ .

### Proof

To see this, let us start with an arbitrary square  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  inside the triangulation  $\Sigma$ . Our goal is to show that all four vertices must be interior vertices to a single  $N'_i$ , which would then force the square to be the core of the corresponding  $N'_i$ . To this end, we first note that, if the square does *not* contain any interior vertex to any of the  $N'_i$ , then it is contained entirely within  $X^*$ . But from Lemma 5, the latter is a full subcomplex of  $\Sigma$ , and by the result of Przytycki and Świątkowski [PS, Proposition 2.13], has no squares. So, we may assume that at least one of the vertices is an interior vertex to some  $N'_i$ .

If all the vertices are interior to  $N'_i$ , then we are done, so by way of contradiction we can also assume that the square contains a vertex which is *not* interior to  $N'_i$  (which we call *exterior* vertices to  $N'_i$ ). Now the square  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  contains exactly four edges, and since it contains vertices which are both interior and exterior to  $N'_i$ , we must have that at least two of the four edges connect an interior vertex to an exterior vertex (call these *intermediate* edges).

We now argue that in fact the square must contain *exactly* two intermediate edges. Indeed, if there were  $\geq 3$  intermediate edges, then one could find a pair of adjacent intermediate edges that share a common exterior vertex. Up to cyclic relabeling, we may assume that  $v_1$  is the exterior vertex. Considering the other endpoints of these two intermediate edges, we see that  $v_2, v_4$  are interior vertices for  $N'_i$ , which are both adjacent to the exterior vertex  $v_1 \in \partial N'_i$ . But this implies that the two blocks whose bottoms contain  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  cannot be opposite, so must in fact be adjacent. This forces  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  to be adjacent vertices in the core of  $N'_i$ , contradicting the fact that  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  forms a square. So our hypothetical square  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  must have exactly two intermediate edges, leaving us with exactly two possibilities:

- (1) The intermediate edges are not adjacent in the square  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ .
- (2) The intermediate edges are adjacent at an interior vertex of  $N'_i$ , and the remaining edges are exterior.

We now explain why each of these possibilities gives rise to a contradiction.

In case (1), we note that up to cyclic relabeling, we have that  $v_1, v_2$  are adjacent vertices in the core of the  $N'_i$ , while  $v_3, v_4$  are adjacent vertices in  $\partial N'_i$ . We can also assume that the top of the block  $B_1$  corresponding to  $v_1$  attaches to the bottom of the block  $B_2$  corresponding to  $v_2$ . Now recall that an interior vertex is *only adjacent to boundary vertices in its corresponding block*. Since  $v_3$  is adjacent to  $v_2$ , we have that  $v_3$  must lie in the block  $B_2$ . Similarly, the vertex  $v_4$  being adjacent to  $v_1$  must lie in the block  $B_1$ . Since  $v_3$  and  $v_4$  are adjacent, we conclude that one of these two vertices must lie in the common boundary  $B_1 \cap B_2$ . But such a vertex is incident to both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , violating the square condition for  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ .

It remains to rule out case (2). To this end, we may again assume that  $v_1$  is the common interior vertex for the two intermediate edges. Now if *B* denotes the block corresponding to  $v_1$ , then we have that the boundary vertices  $v_2, v_4$ , both being adjacent to  $v_1$ , must actually lie in *B*. Moreover, for  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  to be a square, we must have that  $v_3$  is *not* adjacent to  $v_1$ , and hence that  $v_3 \notin B$ . Next we argue that the vertex  $v_3$  must lie inside  $N'_i$ , that is, that  $v_3 \notin Int(X^*)$ . So let us assume, by way of contradiction, that  $v_3 \in Int(X^*)$ . Thinking of the point  $v_3$  in terms of the original triangulation *X*, there are four possible cases:  $v_3$  is a vertex in *X*, or  $v_3$  is in the interior of a simplex of dimension 1, 2, or 3. Each of these cases leads to a contradiction, as follows.

- (1) A vertex in the interior of the original triangulation X is at (combinatorial) distance  $\geq 2$  from  $\partial X^*$  in the subdivided triangulation  $X^*$ . Since  $v_3$  is adjacent to vertices  $v_2, v_4 \in \partial X^*$ , this first case cannot occur.
- (2) If v<sub>3</sub> is in the interior of a 1-simplex σ<sub>1</sub> ⊂ X, then v<sub>3</sub> ∈ Int(X\*) would force the 1-simplex to have at most one endpoint on ∂X. In the subdivided X\*, v<sub>3</sub> would then be the midpoint of σ<sub>1</sub>\*, which has at most one neighbor on ∂X\*, again a contradiction.
- (3) If v<sub>3</sub> is in the interior of a 2-simplex σ<sub>2</sub> ⊂ X, then v<sub>3</sub> ∈ Int(X\*) and adjacent to *two* vertices on ∂X\* forces the simplex σ<sub>2</sub> to be the join of an edge on ∂X (containing v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>4</sub>) with a vertex in Int(X). Since the pair of vertices v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>4</sub> on an edge of the subdivided triangle σ<sub>2</sub>\* are both adjacent to an interior vertex of σ<sub>2</sub>\*, they have to be incident to each other (see Figure 2), contradicting the fact that (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>3</sub>, v<sub>4</sub>) form a square.
- (4) If v<sub>3</sub> is in the interior of a 3-simplex σ<sub>3</sub> ⊂ X, then σ<sub>3</sub> is either the join of an edge in ∂X with an edge in Int(X), or the join of a 2-simplex in ∂X with a vertex in Int(X). In either case, thinking now of the subdivided complex X<sup>\*</sup>, we can view v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>4</sub> ∈ σ<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> as vertices lying on the subdivision of a single face of σ<sub>3</sub>, which are both incident to an interior vertex of σ<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>. But then Lemma 2.11 in [PS] implies that v<sub>2</sub> and v<sub>4</sub> are adjacent to each other, which again contradicts the fact that (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>3</sub>, v<sub>4</sub>) forms a square.

Since this rules out all four possibilities,  $v_3 \in Int(X^*)$  cannot occur.

Since  $v_3 \in N'_i$  is adjacent to both the vertices  $v_2, v_4 \in B$ , we see that the latter are either both in the top of *B* or both in the bottom of *B*, while  $v_3$  lies in an adjacent block *B'*. Let us assume that the vertices lie in the top of *B* (the other case being completely analogous), so that we can view  $v_2, v_4$  as lying in the *bottom* of the block *B'*. We now have the following situation occurring inside the boundary of the block *B'*: we have two vertices  $v_2, v_4$  lying in the bottom of the block, and we have a vertex  $v_3$  which does *not* lie in the bottom of *B'*, but which is adjacent to both  $v_2$  and  $v_4$ . Recall that the triangulation of the block *B'* is a subdivision (given in Step 3) of a



Figure 3. Triangulation on the boundary of a block

canonical triangulation of the triangular prism. This subdivision takes the boundary of the original triangulation and applies the Dranishnikov subdivision procedure to it: each edge gets subdivided into two, and each triangle gets replaced by the subdivision in Figure 2. The resulting triangulation on  $S^1 \times [0, 1]$  is shown in Figure 3. In the illustration, the left and right sides of the rectangle have to be identified, and the "bottom" and "top" of the boundary of the block is precisely the bottom and the top of the rectangle. Note that this triangulation actually consists of six original triangles (see Step 1), each of which has been subdivided into ten triangles as in Figure 2 (see Step 3). Finally, inspecting the triangulation in Figure 3, we observe that there are exactly six vertices which are adjacent to two distinct vertices in the bottom of the block: these are the only possibilities for  $v_3$ . But for each of these six vertices, we see that the two adjacent vertices in the bottom of the block (i.e., the corresponding  $v_2$  and  $v_4$ ) are adjacent to each other, contradicting the fact that  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  was a square.

Since we have ruled out all other possibilities, we see that the square cannot contain *any* intermediate edges; that is, the four vertices of our hypothetical square  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  must all lie in the interior of a single  $N'_i$ . This implies that our square must coincide with the core of one of the  $N'_i$ , as desired.

It follows from Corollary 7 that the triangulation  $\Sigma$  is flag, and from Proposition 8 that it has isolated squares with type given by the original link k. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

### 5. Constructing the manifold

In this section, we establish the main theorem. Our goal is to use some of the triangulations of  $S^3$  constructed in the previous section to produce a 4-dimensional manifold M with the desired properties. In order to do this, we start by reviewing some properties of the Davis complex for right-angled Coxeter groups.

Recall that one can associate to the 1-skeleton of *any* simplicial complex L a corresponding *right-angled Coxeter group*  $\Gamma_L$ . This group has one generator  $x_i$  of

order two for each vertex  $v_i$  of the simplicial complex L, and a relation  $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ whenever the corresponding vertices  $v_i, v_j$  are adjacent in L. Let us consider the associated Davis complex  $\tilde{P}_L$ . This complex is obtained via the following procedure. We first consider the cubical complex  $[-1, 1]^{V(L)}$ , that is to say, the standard cube with dimension equaling the number of vertices in the simplicial complex L. Now every face of the cube is an affine translation of  $[-1, 1]^S$  for some subset  $S \subset V(L)$ , which we call the *type* of the face. Consider the cubical subcomplex  $P_L \subset [-1, 1]^{V(L)}$ consisting of all faces whose type defines a simplex in L, and let  $\tilde{P}_L$  be its universal cover. Observe that  $(\mathbb{Z})^{|V(L)|}$  acts on  $P_L$  where each generator  $x_i$  acts by reflection on the corresponding coordinate. The Coxeter group  $\Gamma_L$  is the group of all lifts of this action to  $\tilde{P}_L$ . The kernel of the resulting morphism  $\Gamma_L \to (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{|V(L)|}$  coincides with the fundamental group of  $P_L$  (see the discussion in [Da1, pp. 11–12]). There is a natural piecewise flat metric on  $P_L$ , obtained by making each k-dimensional face in the cubulation of  $P_L$  isometric to  $[-1, 1]^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ . Properties of the cubical complex  $P_L$  are intimately related to properties of the simplicial complex L. For instance, we have the following:

- (a) If L is a flag complex, then the piecewise flat metric on  $P_L$  is locally CAT(0).
- (b) The links of vertices in  $P_L$  are canonically simplicially isomorphic to L.
- (c) If L is the join of two subcomplexes  $L_1, L_2$ , then the space  $P_L$  splits isometrically as a product of  $P_{L_1}$  and  $P_{L_2}$ .
- (d) If L' is a full subcomplex of a flag complex L, then the natural inclusion induces a locally convex embedding  $P_{L'} \hookrightarrow P_L$  (and hence induces an embedding  $\pi_1(P_{L'}) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(P_L)$ ).
- (e) If the geometric realization of L is homeomorphic to an (n-1)-dimensional sphere, then  $P_L$  is an *n*-dimensional manifold.
- (f) If L is a PL-triangulation of  $S^{n-1}$  then  $P_L$  is a PL-manifold, and in addition, if L is a flag complex, then  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{P}_L$  is homeomorphic to  $S^{n-1}$ .
- (g) If L is a PL-triangulation of  $S^{n-1}$ , then  $P_L$  is a smooth manifold.

With the exception of (g), these results can be found in [Da1]. Property (a) is due to Gromov (a proof is given in [Da1, Theorem 12.2.1, p. 233]). Properties (b), (c), and (e) follow immediately from the construction (see [Da1, Section 1.2]). Property (d) follows from the fact that the natural piecewise spherical structure on the flag complex L is CAT(1) (see [Da1, pp. 516–517]). (This was also the essential fact in the proof of (a).) For (f), see [Da1, pp. 197, 237]. The argument for (g) goes as follows. Since L is a PL-triangulation of  $S^{n-1}$ , the fundamental chamber,  $K := P_L \cap [0, 1]^{|V(L)|}$ , is an *n*-disk and its faces are also disks. It follows as in [Da2, Section 17] that there is a face-preserving PL-homeomorphism from K to a smooth manifold with corners (in which each stratum is a disk) and that this smooth manifold with corners structure on K induces a smooth structure on  $P_L$ .

In the previous section, we showed that given a prescribed link k in  $S^3$ , one can construct a triangulation of  $S^3$  with isolated squares, and with type the given link. Let us apply this result in the special case where k is a nontrivial knot inside  $S^3$ . Let L denote the corresponding triangulation of  $S^3$ . Since we are in the special case of dimension 3, the triangulation L, in addition to being flag, is automatically PL. We now consider the cubical complex  $M := P_L$  associated to the corresponding rightangled Coxeter group  $\Gamma_L$ . In view of our earlier discussion, we have the following.

## FACT 1

The space M is a smooth 4-manifold (from (g) above), and the natural piecewise Euclidean metric on M induced from the cubulation is locally CAT(0) (from (a) above). Furthermore, the boundary at infinity of  $\tilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $S^3$  (from (f) above), and  $\tilde{M}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

The very last statement in Fact 1 can be deduced from work of Stone [St, Theorem 1], who showed that a metric (piecewise flat) polyhedral complex which is both CAT(0) and a PL-manifold without boundary must in fact be PL-homeomorphic to the appropriate  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since our  $\tilde{M}$  satisfies these conditions, this ensures that  $\tilde{M}$  is PL-homeomorphic to the standard  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . But in the 4-dimensional setting, there is no difference between PL and smooth, so  $\tilde{M}$  is in fact diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Our goal now is to show that M has the properties postulated in our main theorem. Note that properties (1) and (2) are included in Fact 1, while property (4) can be easily deduced from property (3) (see the comment after the proof of Proposition 2). So we are left with establishing property (3), which is that  $\pi_1(M)$  cannot be isomorphic to the fundamental group of any nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. This last property will be established by looking at the large-scale geometry of flats inside the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$ .

As a starting point, let us describe some flats inside  $\tilde{M}$ . Observe that each square inside the triangulation L is a full subcomplex isomorphic to a 4-cycle  $\Box$ . The rightangled Coxeter group associated to a 4-cycle is a direct product of two infinite dihedral groups  $\Gamma_{\Box} \cong D_{\infty} \times D_{\infty} = (\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2)$  (see (c) above) embedded in the group  $\Gamma_L$ . The corresponding complex  $P_{\Box}$  is isometric to a flat torus (with cubulation given by sixteen squares, obtained via the identification  $S^1 \times S^1 = \Box \times \Box$ ). By considering the unique square inside the triangulation L, we obtain the following.

### FACT 2

*M* contains a locally convex (hence  $\pi_1$ -injective), 2-dimensional flat torus  $T^2$  (see (d) above). Furthermore, the torus  $T^2$  is not locally flat inside the ambient 4-dimensional manifold *M* because it is locally knotted at each vertex, in the sense that at each such

vertex v, there is a canonical simplicial isomorphism  $(lk_v(M), lk_v(T^2)) \cong (L, k)$ , where k is the unique (knotted) square in the triangulation L (see (b) above).

Since the embedding  $T^2 \hookrightarrow M$  is locally convex, by lifting to the universal cover, we obtain a 2-dimensional flat  $F \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$  which is locally knotted at lifts of vertices. This induces an embedding of the corresponding boundaries at infinity, giving us an embedding of  $\partial^{\infty} F \cong S^1$  into  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} \cong S^3$ . The rest of our argument relies on the following "local-to-global" assertion.

#### ASSERTION

The embedding  $\partial^{\infty} F \cong S^1$  into  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} \cong S^3$  defines a nontrivial knot in the boundary at infinity of  $\tilde{M}$ .

That is to say, the "local knottedness" of the flat propagates to "global knottedness" of its boundary at infinity. For the sake of exposition, we delay the proof of the assertion, and first show how we can use it to deduce the main theorem. To this end, let us assume that (M', g) is a closed manifold equipped with a Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature and that we are given an isomorphism of fundamental groups  $\phi : \Gamma = \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M')$ . From this assumption, we want to work towards a contradiction.

The first step is to use the isomorphism of fundamental groups to obtain an equivariant homeomorphism between the corresponding boundaries at infinity. As a cautionary remark, we recall that given a pair  $X_1, X_2$  of CAT(0)-spaces with geometric G-actions, a celebrated example of Croke and Kleiner [CK] shows that the corresponding boundaries at infinity  $\partial^{\infty} X_1$  and  $\partial^{\infty} X_2$  need *not* be homeomorphic. Even if the boundaries at infinity *are* homeomorphic, an example of Bowers and Ruane [BoR] (see also Buyalo [Bu]) shows that the homeomorphism might *not* be equivariant with respect to the G-action.

In his thesis, Hruska [H] introduced CAT(0)-spaces with *isolated flats*. Subsequent work of Hruska and Kleiner [HK] established the following two foundational results for CAT(0)-spaces with isolated flats.

- (1) For a pair  $X_1, X_2$  of CAT(0)-spaces with geometric *G*-actions, if  $X_1$  has isolated flats, then so does  $X_2$  (see [HK, Corollary 4.1.3]), and there is a *G*-equivariant homeomorphism between  $\partial^{\infty} X_1$  and  $\partial^{\infty} X_2$  (see [HK, Theorem 4.1.8]).
- (2) For a group *G* acting geometrically on a CAT(0)-space *X*, we have that *X* has the isolated flats property if and only if *G* is a relatively hyperbolic group with respect to a collection of virtually abelian subgroups of rank  $\geq 2$  (see [HK, Theorem 1.2.1]).

As such, if we could establish that our *group*  $\Gamma$  is a relatively hyperbolic group with respect to a collection of virtually abelian subgroups of rank at least two, then result (2) above would ensure that our CAT(0)-manifold  $\tilde{M}$  has the isolated flats property. Result (1) above would then give the desired  $\Gamma$ -equivariant homeomorphism between  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$  and  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}'$ . So our next goal is to establish the following.

### FACT 3

The group  $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$  is hyperbolic relative to the collection of all virtually abelian subgroups of  $\Gamma$  of rank  $\geq 2$ .

The notion of a group *G* being relatively hyperbolic with respect to a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subgroups of *G* was originally suggested by Gromov [Gr], whose approach was later formalized by Bowditch [Bo]. Alternate formulations appear in Farb's thesis (see [Fa]), in work of Druţu and Sapir [DrSa], and in the memoir of Osin [Os]. We refer the reader to the original sources for a detailed definition as well as basic properties of such groups. For our purposes, we merely need to know that the property of a group *G* being hyperbolic relative to a collection of virtually abelian subgroups of rank  $\geq 2$  is inherited by finite index subgroups of *G*. In particular, to show the desired property for  $\Gamma$ , we see that it is sufficient to establish that our original Coxeter group  $\Gamma_L$  is relatively hyperbolic with respect to higher rank virtually abelian subgroups (since  $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_L$  is of finite index).

Caprace [Ca, Corollary D(ii)] recently provided a criterion for deciding whether a Coxeter group is hyperbolic relative to the collection of its higher rank virtually abelian subgroups. In the right-angled case the condition is that the flag complex L which defines  $\Gamma_L$  contains no full subcomplex isomorphic to the suspension  $\Sigma K$  of a subcomplex K with three vertices which is either

(a) the disjoint union of three points, or

(b) the disjoint union of an edge and one point.

In both cases,  $\Sigma K$  does not have isolated squares. Since the Coxeter group  $\Gamma_L$  with which we are working is associated to a triangulation L of  $S^3$  with isolated squares, we conclude that  $\Gamma_L$  is relatively hyperbolic with respect to the collection of all virtually abelian subgroups of rank  $\geq 2$ , and hence Fact 3.

Applying Hruska and Kleiner's results from [HK], we conclude that the original  $\tilde{M}$  is a CAT(0)-space with the isolated flats property, and that there exists a  $\Gamma$ equivariant homeomorphism from  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$  to  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}'$ . The nontrivial knot  $\partial^{\infty} F \cong S^1$ inside  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} \cong S^3$  appearing in the Assertion can be identified with the limit set of the corresponding subgroup  $\pi_1(T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \leq \Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ . Since we have an equivariant homeomorphism between the boundaries at infinity of  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{M}'$ , this immediately yields the following.

#### FACT 4

## The boundary at infinity $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}'$ is homeomorphic to $S^3$ , and the limit set of the canonical $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -subgroup in $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(M')$ defines a nontrivial knot $S^1 \hookrightarrow \partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}' \cong S^3$ .

On the other hand, the flat torus theorem implies that there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -periodic flat  $F' \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}'$ , with the property that  $\partial^{\infty} F'$  coincides with the limit set of the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . In particular,  $\partial^{\infty} F'$  defines a nontrivial knot inside  $\partial^{\infty} M'$ . Taking any point  $p \in F'$ , we can use geodesic retraction to define a map  $\hat{\rho} : \partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}' \to T_p^1 \tilde{M}'$ , where  $T_p^1 \tilde{M}'$ denotes the unit sphere in the tangent space  $T_p \tilde{M}'$  (and hence is isometric to the standard round 3-sphere). The map  $\hat{\rho}$  is given by assigning to a point  $x \in \partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$  the unique vector  $v \in T_p^1 \tilde{M}'$  having the property that the unit speed geodesic ray emanating from p and defining the point x has initial vector equal to v. Since geodesics in a Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature cannot branch, the map  $\hat{\rho}$  gives a continuous bijection from the  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}'$  to  $T_p^1 \tilde{M}'$ , and so is in fact a homeomorphism. The image  $\hat{\rho}(\partial^{\infty} F')$  consists of all unit vectors in  $T_p^1 \tilde{M}'$  which are tangent to the flat F', since the latter is totally geodesic. So within the tangent space  $T_p \tilde{M}'$ , we have that  $\hat{\rho}(\partial^{\infty} F') = T_p \tilde{F}' \cap T_p^1 \tilde{M}'$ , the intersection of the unit sphere with a 2-dimensional vector subspace. We conclude that the homeomorphism  $\rho$  takes the knotted pair  $(\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}', \partial^{\infty} \tilde{F}')$  to the unknotted pair  $(T_p^1 \tilde{M}', T_p^1 \tilde{F}')$ . This contradiction allows us to conclude that no such Riemannian manifold (M', g) can exist.

So in order to complete the proof of the main theorem, we are left with establishing the assertion. We note that a similar result was shown in the setting of CAT(-1)-manifolds by Farrell and Lafont [FL]. For the convenience of the reader, we provide a (slightly different) argument for the assertion.

The basic idea is as follows: picking a vertex  $v \in F$ , we have a geodesic retraction map  $\rho : \partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} \to lk_v(\tilde{M})$ . Under this map, we see that  $\partial^{\infty} F$  maps to the link,  $lk_v(F)$ , inside  $lk_v(\tilde{M})$ . Recall from Fact 2 that the torus is locally knotted in  $\tilde{M}$ ; that is, the pair  $(lk_v(\tilde{M}), lk_v(F))$  is simplicially isomorphic to  $(S^3, k)$ , where  $S^3$  is the 3-sphere equipped with the triangulation L and where k is the knot in  $S^3$  given by the unique square in the triangulation L. Now the retraction map  $\rho$  is *not* a homeomorphism, but is nevertheless close enough to a homeomorphism for us to use it to compare the pair  $(\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}, \partial^{\infty} F)$  with the knotted pair  $(lk_v(\tilde{M}), lk_v(F)) \cong (S^3, k)$ . More precisely, a continuous map  $f : X \to Y$  between metric spaces is a *nearhomeomorphism* provided that it can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a homeomorphism: for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a homeoorphism  $f_{\epsilon} : X \to Y$  with the property that for all points  $p \in X$ , the inequality  $d(f(p), f_{\epsilon}(p)) < \epsilon$  holds. For any set  $Z \subseteq lk_V(\tilde{M})$ , we denote by  $Z_{\infty}$  the preimage  $p^{-1}(Z) \subseteq \partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$ . We need the following basic result established in [FL, Proposition 2, p. 627] (see also the discussion in [DJ, Section (3c)]).

FACT 5

For any open set  $U \subset lk_v(\tilde{M})$ , the map  $\rho: U_{\infty} \to U$  is a proper homotopy equivalence. Better yet, the map  $\rho$  is a near-homeomorphism.

To show that  $\partial^{\infty} F$  defines a nontrivial knot in  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$ , we need to establish that the complement  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} - \partial^{\infty} F$  cannot be homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ . This will follow if we can show that  $\pi_1(\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} - \partial^{\infty} F)$  is a nonabelian group. To do this, we decompose  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} - \partial^{\infty} F$  into a union of a suitable pair of open sets. This is done by first decomposing  $lk_v(\tilde{M})$  and then using the map  $\rho$  to "lift" the decomposition to  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$ .

Let  $lk_v(F) \subset N_1 \subset N_2 \subset lk_v(\tilde{M})$  be nested open regular neighborhoods of the knot  $k = lk_v(F)$  inside  $S^3 \cong lk_v(\tilde{M})$ . Define open sets in  $lk_v(\tilde{M})$  by setting  $U_2 := N_2$ , and  $U_1 := lk_v(\tilde{M}) - \bar{N}_1$ , where  $\bar{N}_1$  denotes the closure of  $N_1$ . Note that we have homeomorphisms  $U_2 \cong S_1 \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$  (where  $\mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$  is the open 2-dimensional disk) and  $U_1 \cap U_2 \cong N_2 - \bar{N}_1 \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ , while  $U_1$  is homeomorphic to the complement of the nontrivial knot  $k \subset S^3$ . So at the level of  $\pi_1$ , we have that (a)  $\pi_1(U_1 \cap U_2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , and (b)  $\pi_1(U_1)$  is a nonabelian group. The latter fact follows from work of Papakyriakopoulos [Pa], who showed that  $\pi_1$  of the complement of a nontrivial knot cannot be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But by Alexander duality, such a group must have abelianization isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ; hence, it cannot be abelian.

Corresponding to this decomposition of  $lk_v(\tilde{M})$ , we have an associated open decomposition of  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$  in terms of the corresponding  $(U_1)_{\infty}$ ,  $(U_2)_{\infty}$ . We now define an open decomposition of  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} - \partial^{\infty} F$  by setting  $U := (U_1)_{\infty}$  and  $V := (U_2)_{\infty} - \partial^{\infty} F$ . The intersection satisfies  $U \cap V = (U_1 \cap U_2)_{\infty}$ . From the Seifert–Van Kampen theorem, we have

$$\pi_1(\partial^\infty M - \partial^\infty F) = \pi_1(U) *_{\pi_1(U \cap V)} \pi_1(V).$$

Applying Fact 5 to the discussion in the previous paragraph, we obtain that (a)  $\pi_1(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , and (b)  $\pi_1(U)$  is nonabelian. So to see that  $\pi_1(\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M} - \partial^{\infty} F)$  is nonabelian, it suffices to show that the nonabelian group  $\pi_1(U)$  injects into the amalgamation. This will follow from the following.

## FACT 6 The map $i_*: \pi_1(U \cap V) \to \pi_1(V)$ induced by inclusion is injective.

We have thus completely reduced the proof of the assertion (and hence of the main theorem) to establishing Fact 6. The proof of this is tricky because the circle  $\partial^{\infty} F$  is wildly embedded in *V*. The proof of this last fact will be broken into several steps.

Step 1: Choosing the basis for  $\pi_1(U \cap V)$ . Recall that  $\rho$  gives a proper homotopy equivalence between  $U \cap V = (U_1 \cap U_2)_{\infty}$  and the space  $U_1 \cap U_2 = N_2 - \bar{N}_1$ , where

 $N_1 \subset N_2$  are nested open regular neighborhoods of the knot k. Since  $U_2 = N_2$  can be identified with  $S^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2_0$ , where  $S^1 \times \{0\}$  corresponds to the knot k, we choose the generators for  $\pi_1(N_2 - \bar{N}_1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  to have the following two properties:

- (A) The generator  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$  maps to a generator represented by  $[S^1 \times \{0\}] \in \pi_1(N_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  under the obvious inclusion.
- (B) The generator  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  is chosen so that a representative curve  $\gamma$  exists which, under the natural inclusion into  $N_2 \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ , (i) projects to a curve  $\alpha$  which is a generator for  $\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^2_{\circ} - \{0\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  in the  $\mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ -factor, and (ii) is null-homotopic in  $N_2$ .

We choose the generators of  $\pi_1(U \cap V) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  to map to the above two generators of  $\pi_1(U_1 \cap U_2)$  under the homotopy equivalence  $\rho$ .

Step 2:  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \ker(i_*) \Rightarrow a = 0$ . Consider the commutative diagram

where all horizontal arrows are induced by the obvious inclusions, and the two vertical arrows are the isomorphisms induced by the geodesic retraction maps. By the choice of the basis on  $\pi_1(U \cap V)$ , we have that  $\rho_*(\langle a, b \rangle) = \langle a, b \rangle \in \pi_1(U_1 \cap U_2)$ , which by property (A) maps to  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_1(N_2)$ . From the commutativity of the diagram, we conclude that if  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \ker(i_*)$ , then a = 0.

Step 3:  $(0, b) \in \ker(i_*) \Rightarrow b = 0$ . For this last step, we use some basic properties of linking numbers for curves in aspherical 3-manifolds. A brief explanation of these notions is provided in the Appendix to this paper. Let  $\gamma$  be the representative curve chosen to satisfy property (B) in our choice of basis for  $\pi_1(N_2 - \bar{N}_1)$  (see Step 1), and let  $\hat{\gamma}$  be a curve in  $\pi_1(U_1 \cap U_2)$  mapping to  $\gamma$  under the homotopy equivalence  $\rho$ . The *b*-fold iterate  $b \cdot \hat{\gamma}$  is a representative for the element  $(0, b) \in \pi_1(U_1 \cap U_2)$ .

Now consider the pair of continuous curves  $b \cdot \hat{\gamma}, \partial^{\infty} F$  inside the oriented 3-manifold  $(U_2)_{\infty} \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ . If  $(0, b) \in \ker(i_*)$ , then we see that  $b \cdot \hat{\gamma}$  is homotopic to a point in  $V = (U_2)_{\infty} - \partial^{\infty} F$ , and hence we have vanishing of the linking number  $L(b \cdot \hat{\gamma}, \partial^{\infty} F) = 0$ . Since near-homeomorphisms preserve the linking number (see Lemma 9 in the Appendix), we conclude that  $L(\rho(b \cdot \hat{\gamma}), \rho(\partial^{\infty} F)) = 0$ .

We also know exactly what the  $\rho$ -images of these curves are:  $\rho(b \cdot \hat{\gamma}) = b \cdot \gamma$ , while  $\rho(\partial^{\infty} F) = S^1 \times \{0\} \subset S^1 \times \mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ . So we can now compute their linking number directly. Take a bounding disk D for  $b \cdot \gamma$  which intersects  $S^1 \times \{0\}$  transversally. Projecting onto the  $\mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ -factor, we see that the projection of D is a bounding disk for the projection of the curve  $b \cdot \gamma$  and that each intersection with  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$  projects to an intersection with  $\{0\} \in \mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ . This tells us that the linking number  $L(b \cdot \gamma, S^1 \times \{0\})$  coincides with the linking number, *in the plane*, of the curve  $b \cdot \alpha$  with the point  $\{0\}$ . In two dimensions, the linking number of a curve with a point coincides with the winding number of the curve around the point. Finally, recall that from property (B) (see Step 1), the curve  $\alpha$  obtained by projecting  $\gamma$  on the  $\mathbb{D}^2_{\circ}$ -factor is a generator for  $\pi_1(\mathbb{D}^2_{\circ} - \{0\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . It now follows immediately that the *b*-fold iterate  $b \cdot \alpha$  has winding number  $\pm b$  (according to the choice of orientations).

Combining the discussion in the last two paragraphs, we conclude that b = 0, completing the proof of Fact 6.

### 6. Concluding remarks

Finally, we point out a few interesting questions that come up naturally from this work. As discussed in Section 3.2, locally CAT(0)-manifolds whose universal covers are *not* diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  cannot support a Riemannian smoothing. In dimensions  $n \neq 4$ , there is no difference between "homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ " and "diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ." In contrast, it is known that  $\mathbb{R}^4$  supports many distinct smooth structures (in fact, continuum many). Moreover, the method used to construct the Davis examples of closed aspherical manifolds whose universal covers are not homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  requires  $n \geq 5$ . So one can ask the following.

### Question

Can one find locally CAT(0) closed 4-manifolds  $M^4$  with the property that their universal covers  $\tilde{M}^4$  are either

- (1) not homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , or
- (2) homeomorphic, but not diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ?

Thurston [Th] proved that  $\tilde{M}^4$  must be homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  if it has at least one "tame" point. We remark that the result of Stone [St] tells us that there is no hope of constructing such examples via piecewise flat metric complexes (for their universal covers would then have to be diffeomorphic to the standard  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ). Moreover, if one asks instead for *aspherical* closed 4-manifolds, then Davis [Da2] has constructed examples where the universal cover is *not* homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (but it is unknown whether those examples support a locally CAT(0)-metric).

Now concerning the dimension restriction in our construction, we note that this was due to the need for finding triangulations of spheres with the property that the associated Davis complex had the isolated flats condition (in order to obtain a well-defined boundary at infinity). The "isolated squares" condition we introduced was designed to ensure that Caprace's criterion was fulfilled. In attempting to generalize this construction to higher dimensions, we run into the difficulty, described by the

work of Januszkiewicz and Świątkowski [JS, Section 2.2] (see also the discussion in [PS, Appendix]), that there is no higher-dimensional analogue of the Dranishnikov–Przytycki–Świątkowski procedure for modifying triangulations in order to get rid of squares.

Finally, we remark that our construction relies on the presence of flats with specific large-scale behavior in order to obstruct Riemannian smoothings. As such, our methods require the presence of zero curvature. If one desires examples which are *strictly negatively curved*, we are brought to the following.

### Question

Can one construct examples of smooth, locally CAT(-1)-manifolds  $M^n$  with the property that  $\partial^{\infty} \tilde{M}$  is homeomorphic to  $S^{n-1}$ , but which do *not* support any Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature?

### Appendix. Linking numbers in aspherical 3-manifolds

Let  $M^3$  be an oriented, aspherical 3-manifold. Given a pair  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  of disjoint oriented curves, with  $\eta_1$  null-homotopic, there is a well-defined linking number  $L(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ . For smooth curves this is obtained by looking at the oriented intersection number of  $\eta_2$  with a smooth bounding disk for the curve  $\eta_1$ . Since  $M^3$  is aspherical, any two such bounding disks for  $\eta_1$  are homotopic (rel  $\partial$ ) to each other, and hence yield the same oriented intersection number with  $\eta_2$ . This linking number has the property that if  $\eta_1 \sim \eta'_1$  (resp.,  $\eta_2 \sim \eta'_2$ ) are two smooth curves homotopic to each other *in the complement of*  $\eta_2$  (resp.,  $\eta_1$ ), then

$$L(\eta_1, \eta'_2) = L(\eta_1, \eta_2) = L(\eta'_1, \eta_2).$$

If we have disjoint *continuous* curves  $\eta_1, \eta_2$ , with  $\eta_1$  null-homotopic, we can still define the linking number. Fix a reference Riemannian metric on  $M^3$ , and choose an  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough so that (i)  $2\epsilon$  is smaller than the injectivity radius of the manifold, and (ii)  $2\epsilon$  is smaller than the distance between the curves  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ . Pick smooth curves  $\eta'_i$  which are  $\epsilon$ -approximations to the  $\eta_i$ , that is, that satisfy  $d(\eta_i(t), \eta'_i(t)) < \epsilon$  for all *t*. We now set  $L(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  to be equal to  $L(\eta'_1, \eta'_2)$ .

To see that this linking number is well defined, let  $\eta_i''$  be some other  $\epsilon$ -approximations to the curves  $\eta_i$ . From property (i) in the choice of  $\epsilon$ , we have that there are well-defined "straight-line" homotopies  $\eta_i' \sim \eta_i''$  (using geodesics in the manifold). From property (ii) in the choice of  $\epsilon$ , the traces of these homotopies are disjoint from each other, so from the discussion above we deduce that

$$L(\eta'_1, \eta'_2) = L(\eta'_1, \eta''_2) = L(\eta''_1, \eta''_2),$$

which establishes that  $L(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  is indeed independent of the choice of  $\epsilon$ -approximation. Two obvious properties of linking numbers are:

• if  $\eta_1$  is homotopic to a point *in the complement of*  $\eta_2$ , then  $L(\eta_1, \eta_2) = 0$ ;

the linking number is invariant under homeomorphisms.

This second property can be slightly improved.

LEMMA 9 (Invariance under near-homeomorphisms)

Let  $M_1, M_2$  be a pair of oriented, aspherical 3-manifolds, and let  $f : M_1 \to M_2$  be a near-homeomorphism. Let  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  be a pair of curves in  $M_1$ , with the property that  $\eta_1$  is null-homotopic. If  $(f \circ \eta_1) \cap (f \circ \eta_2) = \emptyset$ , then we have

$$L(\eta_1, \eta_2) = L(f \circ \eta_1, f \circ \eta_2).$$

Proof

Fix a reference Riemannian metric on  $M_2$ , and choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $2\epsilon$  is smaller than both the injectivity radius of  $M_2$  and the distance between  $f \circ \eta_1$  and  $f \circ \eta_2$ . Take a homeomorphism  $f_{\epsilon} : M_1 \to M_2$  with the property that for all  $p \in M_1$ ,  $d(f_{\epsilon}(p), f(p)) < \epsilon$ . Then we have

$$L(\eta_1, \eta_2) = L(f_{\epsilon} \circ \eta_1, f_{\epsilon} \circ \eta_2) = L(f \circ \eta_1, f \circ \eta_2).$$

The first equality above is due to homeomorphism invariance of linking number, while the second equality is due to the existence of disjoint homotopies  $f \circ \eta_i \sim f_{\epsilon} \circ \eta_i$ .

*Acknowledgments.* The authors would like to thank the referees for a very thorough reading of the paper and for a number of helpful suggestions.

### References

[ADG]	F. D. ANCEL, M. W. DAVIS, and C. R. GUILBAULT, "CAT(0) reflection manifolds" in
	Geometric Topology (Athens, Ga., 1993), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 2, Amer.
	Math. Soc., Providence, 1997, 441-445. MR 1470741 (5)
[BL]	A. BARTELS and W. LUECK, The Borel conjecture for hyperbolic and CAT(0)-groups
	to appear in Ann. of Math. (2), preprint, arXiv:0901.0442v2 [math.GT] (4)
[BLW]	A. BARTELS, W. LÜCK, and S. WEINBERGER, On hyperbolic groups with spheres as
	boundary, J. Differential Geom. 86 (2010), 1–16. MR 2772544 (5)
[Bo]	B. BOWDITCH, Relatively hyperbolic groups, preprint, Univ. Southampton,
	http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/29769/1/bhb-relhyp.pdf, 1999. (20)
[BoR]	P. BOWERS and K. RUANE, Boundaries of nonpositively curved groups of the form
	$G \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ , Glasgow Math. J. <b>38</b> (1996), 177–189. MR 1397173 (19)
[BrH]	M. R. BRIDSON and A. HAEFLIGER, Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature,
	Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 319, Springer, Berlin, 1999. MR 1744486 (3, 4)
[Bu]	S. V. BUYALO, <i>Geodesics in Hadamard spaces</i> (in Russian), Algebra i Analiz <b>10</b>
	(1998), 93-123; English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 10 (1999),
	293–313. MR 1629391 (19)

- [BuSv] S. V. BUYALO and P. V. SVETLOV, *Topological and geometric properties of graph manifolds* (in Russian), Algebra i Analiz **16** (2004), 3–68; English translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **16** (2005), 297–340. MR 2068341 (3, 4)
- [Ca] P.-E. CAPRACE, Buildings with isolated subspaces and relatively hyperbolic Coxeter groups, Innov. Incidence Geom. 10 (2009), 15–30. MR 2665193 (20)
- [CD] R. M. CHARNEY and M. W. DAVIS, *Strict hyperbolization*, Topology **34** (1995), 329–350. MR 1318879 (4, 6)
- [CK] C. B. CROKE and B. KLEINER, Spaces with nonpositive curvature and their ideal boundaries, Topology 39 (2000), 549–556. MR 1746908 (19)
- [Da1] M. W. DAVIS, Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space, Ann. of Math. (2) 117 (1983), 293–324. MR 0690848 (17)
- [Da2] , The Geometry and Topology of Coxeter Groups, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser. 32, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2008. MR 2360474 (17, 24)
- [DH] M. W. DAVIS and J.-C. HAUSMANN, "Aspherical manifolds without smooth or PL structure" in *Algebraic Topology (Arcata, Calif., 1986)*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1370, Springer, Berlin, 1989, 135–142. MR 1000373 (4)
- [DJ] M. W. DAVIS and T. JANUSZKIEWICZ, Hyperbolization of polyhedra, J. Differential Geom. 34 (1991), 347–388. MR 1131435 (1, 5, 6, 21)
- [Dr] A. N. DRANISHNIKOV, Boundaries of Coxeter groups and simplicial complexes with given links, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 137 (1999), 139–151. MR 1684267 (10)
- [DrSa] C. DRUŢU and M. SAPIR, *Tree-graded spaces and asymptotic cones of groups*, with an appendix by D. Osin and M. Sapir, Topology 44 (2005), 959–1058. MR 2153979 (20)
- [Fa] B. FARB, *Relatively hyperbolic groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 8 (1998), 810–840.
  MR 1650094 (20)
- [FJ] F. T. FARRELL and L. E. JONES, "Topological rigidity for compact non-positively curved manifolds" in *Differential Geometry: Riemannian Geometry (Los Angeles, Calif., 1990)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993, 229–274. MR 1216623 (7)
- [FL] F. T. FARRELL and J.-F. LAFONT, Involutions of negatively curved groups with wild boundary behavior, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 5 (2009), 619–640. MR 2508898 (21)
- [GW] D. GROMOLL and J. A. WOLF, Some relations between the metric structure and the algebraic structure of the fundamental group in manifolds of nonpositive curvature, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 77 (1971), 545–552. MR 0281122 (6)
- [Gr] M. GROMOV, "Hyperbolic groups" in *Essays in Group Theory*, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 8, Springer, New York, 1987, 75–263. MR 0919829 (20)
- [H] G. C. HRUSKA, Geometric invariants of spaces with isolated flats, Topology 44 (2005), 441–458. MR 2114956 (19)
- [HK] G. C. HRUSKA and B. KLEINER, *Hadamard spaces with isolated flats*, with an appendix by the authors and M. Hindawi, Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), 1501–1538; *Correction*, Geom. Topol. 13 (2009), 699–707. MR 2175151; MR 2469527 (19, 20)
- [JS] T. JANUSZKIEWICZ and J. ŚWIĄTKOWSKI, Hyperbolic Coxeter groups of large dimension, Comment. Math. Helv. 78 (2003), 555–583. MR 1998394 (25)

- [LY] H. B. LAWSON and S. T. YAU, Compact manifolds of nonpositive curvature, J. Differential Geom. 7 (1972), 211–228. MR 0334083 (6)
- [Lb] B. LEEB, 3-manifolds with(out) metrics of nonpositive curvature, Invent. Math. 122 (1995), 277–289. MR 1358977 (3)
- [Mi] J. MILNOR, On manifolds homeomorphic to the 7-sphere, Ann. of Math. (2) 64 (1956), 399–405. MR 0082103 (4)
- [Os] D. V. OSIN, Relatively hyperbolic groups: Intrinsic geometry, algebraic properties, and algorithmic problems, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 179 (2006), no. 843. MR 2182268 (20)
- [Pa] C. D. PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS, On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots, Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 1–26. MR 0090053 (22)
- [Pe1] G. PERELMAN, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, preprint, arXiv:math/0211159v1 [math.DG] (3)
- [Pe2] ——, *Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds*, preprint, arXiv:math/0303109v1 [math.DG] (3)
- [Pe3] —, Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds, preprint, arXiv:math/0307245v1 [math.DG] (3)
- [PS] P. PRZYTYCKI and J. ŚWIĄTKOWSKI, Flag-no-square triangulations and Gromov boundaries in dimension 3, Groups Geom. Dyn. 3 (2009), 453–468. MR 2516175 (10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 25)
- [Sc] V. SCHROEDER, A splitting theorem for spaces of nonpositive curvature, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), 323–327. MR 0778131 (6)
- [St] D. A. STONE, Geodesics in piecewise linear manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (1976), 1–44. MR 0402648 (18, 24)
- [Th] P. THURSTON, CAT(0) 4-manifolds possessing a single tame point are Euclidean, J. Geom. Anal. 6 (1996), 475–494. MR 1471903 (24)

Davis

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA; mdavis@math.ohio-state.edu

Januszkiewicz

Instytut Matematyczny PAN, ul. Sniadeckich 8, 00 956 Warszawa, Poland, and Instytut Matematyczny Uniwersytetu Wroclawskiego; tjan@impan.pl

Lafont

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA; jlafont@math.ohio-state.edu