

EQUIVARIANT K-HOMOLOGY FOR HYPERBOLIC REFLECTION GROUPS

JEAN-FRANÇOIS LAFONT, IVONNE J. ORTIZ, ALEXANDER RAHM,
AND RUBÉN J. SÁNCHEZ-GARCÍA

ABSTRACT. We compute the equivariant K -homology of the classifying space for proper actions, for compact 3-dimensional hyperbolic reflection groups. This coincides with the topological K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra associated to the group, via the Baum-Connes conjecture. We show that, for any such reflection group, the associated K -theory groups are torsion-free. This means that previous rational computations can now be promoted to integral computations.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a discrete group Γ , a general problem is to compute $K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$, the topological K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra of Γ . The Baum-Connes Conjecture predicts that this functor can be determined, in a homological manner, from the complex representation rings of the finite subgroups of Γ . This viewpoint led to general recipes for computing the rational topological K -theory $K_*(C_r^*\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of groups, through the use of Chern characters (see for instance Lück and Oliver [14] and Lück [11], [13], as well as related earlier work of Adem [1]). When Γ has small homological dimension, one can sometimes even give completely explicit formulas for the rational topological K -theory, see for instance Lafont, Ortiz, and Sánchez-García [9] for the case where Γ is a 3-orbifold group.

On the other hand, obtaining integral calculations for these K -theory groups is much harder. For 2-dimensional crystallographic groups, these were calculated in M. Yang's thesis [22]. This was subsequently extended to the class of cocompact planar groups by Lück and Stamm [16], and to certain higher dimensional dimensional crystallographic groups by Davis and Lück [4] (see also Langer and Lück [10]). For 3-dimensional groups, Lück [12] completed this calculation for the semi-direct product $\text{Hei}_3(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$ of the 3-dimensional integral Heisenberg group with a specific action of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_4 . Isely [6] completed the computation for groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Finally, Rahm [19] computed these for the class of Bianchi groups. In the present paper, we provide integral calculations for a further class of 3-dimensional groups.

Main Theorem. *Let Γ be a cocompact 3-dimensional hyperbolic reflection group, generated by reflections in the side of a hyperbolic polyhedron $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. Then*

$$K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{cf(\Gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{cf(\Gamma)-\chi(\mathcal{P})},$$

where the integers $cf(\Gamma), \chi(\mathcal{P})$ can be explicitly computed from the combinatorics of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} .

Date: July 17, 2017.

Let us briefly describe the contents of the paper. In Section 2, we provide background material on hyperbolic reflection groups, topological K -theory, and the Baum-Connes Conjecture. We also introduce our main tool, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch type spectral sequence. In Section 3, we use the spectral sequence to show that the K -theory groups we are interested in coincide with the Bredon homology groups $H_0^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $H_1^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ respectively. We also explain, using the Γ -action on \mathbb{H}^3 , why the homology group $H_1^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free. In contrast, showing that $H_0^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free is much more difficult. We give two different arguments. In Section 4 we give a geometric argument inspired by the proof that $H_1^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free. This proof requires a further constraint on the vertex groups, and thus does not work in full generality. In Section 5, we give a linear algebraic proof, following the “representation ring splitting” technique of [19], which works in a broader setting. We feel it is beneficial for the reader to see both arguments, as these give very different, complementary viewpoints on the result. In addition, since these different proofs rely on different calculations, they provide (for the cases covered by both) an independent check on the result. Note that our proven lack of torsion in the Bredon homology is not a property shared by all discrete groups acting on hyperbolic 3-space: for example, 2-torsion occurs in $H_0^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ for a Bianchi group Γ whenever it has a 2-dihedral subgroup $C_2 \times C_2$ [19]. Finally, in Section 6, we provide an explicit formula for the rank of the Bredon homology groups (and hence for the K -groups we are interested in), in terms of the combinatorics of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} . Our paper concludes with three fairly long Appendices, which contain all the tables and computations used in our proofs, making our results explicit and self-contained.

Acknowledgments

Portions of this work were carried out during multiple collaborative visits at Ohio State University, Miami University, and the University of Southampton. The authors would like to thank these institutions for their hospitality. Lafont was partly supported by the NSF, under grant DMS-1510640. Ortiz was partly supported by the NSF, under grant DMS-1207712. Rahm was supported by Gabor Wiese’s University of Luxembourg grant AMFOR.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

2.1. K -theory and the Baum-Connes Conjecture. Associated to a discrete group Γ , one has $C_r^*\Gamma$, the *reduced C^* -algebra* of Γ . This algebra is defined to be the closure, in the operator norm, of the linear span of the image of the regular representation $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow B(l^2(\Gamma))$ of Γ on the Hilbert space $l^2(\Gamma)$ of square-summable complex valued functions on Γ . This algebra encodes various analytic properties of the group Γ [18].

For a C^* -algebra A , one can define the *topological K -theory* groups $K_*(A) := \pi_{*-1}(GL(A))$, which are the homotopy groups of the space $GL(A)$ of invertible matrices with entries in A . Due to Bott periodicity, there are canonical isomorphisms $K_*(A) \cong K_{*+2}(A)$, and thus it is sufficient to consider $K_0(A)$ and $K_1(A)$.

In the special case where $A = C_r^*\Gamma$, the *Baum-Connes Conjecture* predicts that there is a canonical isomorphism $K_n^\Gamma(X) \rightarrow K_n(C_r^*(\Gamma))$, where X is a model for $\underline{E}\Gamma$ (the classifying space for Γ -actions with isotropy in the family of finite subgroups), and $K_*^\Gamma(-)$ is the equivariant K -homology functor. The Baum-Connes conjecture

has been verified for many classes of groups. We refer the interested reader to the monograph by Mislin and Valette [18] or the survey article by Lück and Reich [15] for more information about these topics.

2.2. Hyperbolic reflection groups. By a d -dimensional *hyperbolic polyhedron*, we mean the region of \mathbb{H}^d enclosed by a given finite number of (geodesic) hyperplanes, that is, the intersection of a collection of half-spaces associated to the hyperplanes. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{H}^d$ be a polyhedron such that all the interior angles between faces are of the form π/m_{ij} where $m_{ij} \geq 2$ an integer (although some pairs of faces may be disjoint). Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{P}}$ the associated Coxeter group generated by the reflections in the hyperplanes containing the faces of \mathcal{P} .

The Γ -space \mathbb{H}^d is then a model of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ with fundamental domain \mathcal{P} . This is a strict fundamental domain, that is, no further points of \mathcal{P} are identified under the group action, and hence $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^d$ (we will use left-action notation). Recall that Γ admits the following Coxeter presentation

$$(1) \quad \Gamma = \langle s_1, \dots, s_n \mid (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} \rangle$$

where n is the number of distinct hyperplanes enclosing \mathcal{P} , s_i denotes the reflection on the i^{th} face, and $m_{ij} \geq 2$ are integers such that: $m_{ii} = 1$ for all i , and, if $i \neq j$, the corresponding faces meet with interior angle π/m_{ij} . We will write $m_{ij} = \infty$ if the corresponding faces do not intersect.

Note that \mathcal{P} may not be a CW or simplicial complex with the natural structure given by vertices, edges, faces, etc (for example, an ideal triangle in \mathbb{H}^2 or the region enclosed by two distinct hyperplanes). However, if \mathcal{P} has finite volume, it is a simplicial complex except from possibly ideal vertices at infinity. In such a case (i.e. \mathcal{P} non-compact) we can obtain a cocompact model of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ by equivariantly removing some horoballs in \mathbb{H}^d (see §4 in [7]). Hence a fundamental domain of the resulting action would be a copy of \mathcal{P} with all ideal vertices truncated.

For the rest of this article, $d = 3$, \mathcal{P} is compact, and X is \mathbb{H}^3 with the Γ -action described above.

Remark 1. We note that, by a celebrated result of Andre'ev [2], there is a simple algorithm that inputs a Coxeter group Γ , and decides whether or not there exists a hyperbolic polyhedron $P_{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ which generates Γ . In particular, given an arbitrary Coxeter group, one can easily verify if it is covered by the **Main Theorem**.

2.3. Cell structure of the orbit space. Let $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and write $\langle S \rangle$ for the subgroup generated by a subset $S \subset \Gamma$. At a vertex of \mathcal{P} , the concurrent faces (a minimum of 3) must generate a reflection group acting on the 2-sphere, hence it must be a spherical triangle group and in particular it forces the number of incident faces to be exactly three. The only finite Coxeter groups with 3 generators are the triangle groups $\Delta(2, 2, m)$ for some $m \geq 2$, $\Delta(2, 3, 3)$, $\Delta(2, 3, 4)$ and $\Delta(2, 3, 5)$, where we use the notation

$$(2) \quad \Delta(p, q, r) = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \mid s_1^2, s_2^2, s_3^2, (s_1 s_2)^p, (s_1 s_3)^q, (s_2 s_3)^r \rangle.$$

Starting from our compact polyhedron \mathcal{P} , we obtain a Γ -CW-structure on $X = \mathbb{H}^3$ with:

- one orbit of 3-cells, with trivial stabilizer;
- n orbits of 2-cells (faces) with stabilisers $\langle s_i \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$);

- one orbit of 1-cells (edges) per (unordered) pair $i, j \in J$ with $m_{ij} \neq \infty$, with stabilizer $\langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_{m_{ij}}$ dihedral;
- one orbit of 0-cells (vertices) per (unordered) triple $i, j, k \in J$ with $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle$ finite, with stabilizer $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(m_{ij}, m_{ik}, m_{jk})$.

We introduce the following notation (after having fixed an order on the Coxeter generators, or equivalently, on the faces of the polyhedron) for the simplices of \mathcal{P} :

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_i & && \text{(faces),} \\ e_{ij} &= f_i \cap f_j && \text{(edges),} \\ v_{ijk} &= f_i \cap f_j \cap f_k = e_{ij} \cap e_{ik} \cap e_{jk} && \text{(vertices),} \end{aligned}$$

whenever the intersections are non-empty, that is, whenever $m_{ij} \neq \infty$, respectively when $\{m_{ij}, m_{ik}, m_{jk}\}$ equals $\{2, 2, m\}$ for some $m \geq 2$, $\{2, 3, 3\}$, $\{2, 3, 4\}$ or $\{2, 3, 5\}$.

2.4. A spectral sequence. We ultimately want to compute the K -theory groups of the reduced C^* -algebra of Γ via the Baum–Connes conjecture. Note that the conjecture holds for these groups: Coxeter groups have the Haagerup property [3] and hence satisfy Baum–Connes [5]. Therefore, it suffices to compute the equivariant K -homology groups $K_*^\Gamma(X)$, since X is a model of $\underline{E}\Gamma$. In turn, these groups can be obtained by the Bredon homology of X and an equivariant Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence coming from the inclusion of the skeleta of the Γ -CW-complex X [17]. The second page of this spectral sequence is given by the Bredon homology groups (cf. [17])

$$(4) \quad E_{p,q}^2 = \begin{cases} H_p^{\text{fin}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}}) & q \text{ even,} \\ 0 & q \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The coefficients of the Bredon homology groups (a functor from the orbit category with respect to the family of finite subgroups of Γ , to \mathbb{Z} -modules) are given by the complex representation ring of the cell stabilizers, which are finite subgroups. In order to simplify notation, we will often write H_p to denote $H_p^{\text{fin}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$.

Before defining Bredon homology, we note that $\dim(X) = 3$ already implies, by spectral theoretic arguments, the following.

Proposition 1. *There are short exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{coker}(d_{3,0}^3) \longrightarrow K_0^\Gamma(X) \longrightarrow H_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow K_1^\Gamma(X) \longrightarrow \ker(d_{3,0}^3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(Here $d_{3,0}^3: E_{3,0}^3 = H_3 \longrightarrow E_{0,2}^3 = H_2$ is the differential on the E^3 -page.)

Proof. This follows at once from [17, Theorem 5.29] but, for completeness, we give a direct proof based on the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence. Write K_n for $K_n^\Gamma(X)$. Firstly, note that the E^2 -page is concentrated in the $0 \leq p \leq 3$ columns (since $\dim(X) \leq 3$), and in the q even rows —see (4) above.

Secondly, recall that the bidegree of the differentials $d_{p,q}^k$ on the E^k -page is $(-k, k-1)$. Thus the only non-trivial differentials are $d_{3,q}^3$, $q \geq 0$ even, from $E_{3,q}^3 = H_3$ to $E_{0,q+2}^3 = H_0$ (since $E^3 = E^2$). All in all, $E^\infty = E^2$ except $E_{3,q}^\infty = \ker(d_{3,q}^3)$ and

$E_{0,q}^\infty = \text{coker}(d_{3,q}^3)$, that is,

$$E_{p,q}^\infty = \begin{cases} H_p & p = 1, 2 \text{ and } q \text{ even,} \\ \text{coker}(d_{3,0}^3) & p = 0 \text{ and } q \text{ even,} \\ \ker(d_{3,0}^3) & p = 3 \text{ and } q \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now consider the filtration $\dots \subset F_{p-1,q+1} \subset F_{p,q} \subset \dots$ of K_{p+q} , where each $F_{p,q} = \text{Im}(K_{p+q}^\Gamma(X^p) \rightarrow K_{p+q}^\Gamma(X))$, the image of the map induced in K -homology by the inclusion of the p -skeleton in X . In particular, $F_{p,q} = 0$ for $p < 0$ and $F_{p,q} = K_{p+q}$ for $p \geq \dim(X) = 3$. The quotient $F_{p,q}/F_{p-1,q+1}$ is isomorphic to $E_{p,q}^\infty = E_{p,q}^2$, as given above. Therefore we have, on one hand, the filtration:

$$0 = F_{-1,2} = F_{0,1} \subset F_{1,0} = F_{2,-1} \subset F_{3,-2} = K_1,$$

with only two non-trivial quotients isomorphic to $E_{1,0}^\infty = H_1$ and $E_{3,-2}^\infty = \ker(d_{3,0}^3)$. On the other hand, we have the filtration:

$$0 = F_{-1,1} \subset F_{0,0} = F_{1,-1} \subset F_{2,-2} = F_{3,-3} = K_0,$$

with non-trivial quotients isomorphic to $E_{0,0}^\infty = \text{coker}(d_{3,0}^3)$ and $E_{2,-2}^\infty = H_2$. These results combined together give the short exact sequences above. \square

2.5. Bredon Homology. To shorten notation, write Γ_e for $\text{stab}_\Gamma(e)$. The Bredon homology groups in (4) can be defined as the homology groups of the following chain complex (recall that X is a model of $\underline{E}\Gamma$)

$$(5) \quad \dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{e \in I_d} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_e) \xrightarrow{\partial_d} \bigoplus_{e \in I_{d-1}} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_e) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

where I_d is a set of orbit representatives of d -cells ($d \geq 0$), and ∂_d is defined via the geometric boundary map and induction between representation rings, as follows. If $g e'$ is in the boundary of e ($e \in I_d$, $e' \in I_{d-1}$, $g \in \Gamma$), then ∂ restricted to $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_e) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_{e'})$ is given by the composition

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_e) \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_{g e'}) \xrightarrow{\cong} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_{e'}),$$

where the first map is the induction homomorphism of representation rings associated to the subgroup inclusion $\Gamma_e \subset \Gamma_{g e'}$, and the second is the isomorphism induced by conjugation $\Gamma_{g e'} = g \Gamma_{e'} g^{-1}$. Finally, we add a sign depending on a chosen (and thereafter fixed) orientation on the faces of \mathcal{P} . The value $\partial_d(e)$ equals the sum of these maps over all boundary cells of e .

Since \mathcal{P} is a strict fundamental domain, we can choose the faces of \mathcal{P} as orbit representatives and thus g (as above) is always the identity. We will implicitly make this assumption from now on.

3. ANALYZING THE BREDON CHAIN COMPLEX FOR Γ

Let $S = \{s_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the set of Coxeter generators and $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$(6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_3 \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathcal{C}_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Bredon chain complex associated to X (since X is 3-dimensional).

Next we analyse each differential in the chain complex above. Recall that for a finite group G the complex representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ is defined as the free abelian group with basis the set of irreducible representations of G (the ring structure is

not relevant in this setting). Hence $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{c(G)}$, where we write $c(G)$ for the set of conjugacy classes in G .

3.1. Analysis of ∂_3 . Let G be a finite group with irreducible representations ρ_1, \dots, ρ_m of degree n_1, \dots, n_m , and τ the only representation of the trivial subgroup $\{1_G\} \leq G$. Then τ induces the regular representation in G :

$$(7) \quad \text{Ind}_{\{1_G\}}^G(\tau) = n_1\rho_1 + \dots + n_m\rho_m.$$

Lemma 1. *Let X be a Γ -CW-complex with finite stabilizers, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If there is a unique orbit of k -cells and this orbit has trivial stabiliser, then $H_k = 0$, provided that $\partial_k \neq 0$.*

Proof. The Bredon module \mathcal{C}_k equals $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle 1 \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator τ , the trivial representation. Then $\partial_k(\tau) \neq 0$ implies $\ker(\partial_k) = 0$ and therefore the corresponding homology group vanishes. \square

From the lemma we immediately conclude that $H_3 = 0$ if $\partial_3 \neq 0$. The case $\partial_3 = 0$ occurs if and only if all boundary faces are pairwise identified, which cannot happen since \mathcal{P} is a strict fundamental domain (the group acts by reflections on the faces). We conclude that $H_3 = 0$ and, using Proposition 1, we obtain:

Proposition 2. *We have $K_1^{\Gamma}(X) \cong H_1$, and there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H_0 \longrightarrow K_0^{\Gamma}(X) \longrightarrow H_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

3.2. Analysis of ∂_2 . Let f be a face of \mathcal{P} and $e \in \partial f$ an edge. Suppose, using the notation in (3), that $f = f_i$ and $e = e_{ij}$. Then we have a map $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i \rangle) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle)$ induced by inclusion. Recall that $\langle s_i \rangle \cong C_2$ and $\langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_{m_{ij}}$. A straightforward analysis (see Appendix A for character tables, and Appendix B for induction homomorphism notation and calculations) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \uparrow &= \chi_1 + \widehat{\chi}_4 + \sum \phi_p, \\ \rho_2 \uparrow &= \chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_3 + \sum \phi_p, \end{aligned}$$

if $i < j$, or

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \uparrow &= \chi_1 + \widehat{\chi}_3 + \sum \phi_p, \\ \rho_2 \uparrow &= \chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_4 + \sum \phi_p, \end{aligned}$$

if $j < i$. That is, as a map of free abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{c(D_{m_{ij}})}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) &\mapsto \pm(a, b, \widehat{b}, \widehat{a}, a+b, \dots, a+b) \quad \text{or} \\ (a, b) &\mapsto \pm(a, b, \widehat{a}, \widehat{b}, a+b, \dots, a+b), \end{aligned}$$

Using the analysis above, we can now show

Theorem 1. *For any compact \mathcal{P} , we have that $H_2 = 0$.*

From this theorem and Proposition 2, we immediately obtain

Corollary 1. *$K_0^{\Gamma}(X) = H_0$ and $K_1^{\Gamma}(X) = H_1$.*

Proof of Theorem. Fix an orientation on the polyhedron \mathcal{P} , and consider the induced orientations on the faces. At an edge we have two incident faces f_i and f_j

with opposite orientations so without loss of generality we have, as a map of free abelian groups,

$$(8) \quad R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i \rangle) \oplus R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_j \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{c(D_{m_{ij}})} \cong R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle)$$

$$(a, b | c, d) \mapsto (a - c, b - d, \widehat{a - d}, \widehat{b - c} | S, \dots, S)$$

where $S = a + b - c - d$, and the elements with a hat $\widehat{}$ appear only when m_{ij} is even. Note that we use vertical bars $|$ for clarity, to separate elements coming from different representation rings.

By the preceding analysis, $\partial_2(x) = 0$ implies that, for each $i, j \in J$, if the faces f_i and f_j meet, then

- (1) $a_i = a_j$ and $b_i = b_j$, if m_{ij} is odd, and
- (2) $a_i = a_j = b_i = b_j$, if m_{ij} is even.

Suppose that f_1, \dots, f_n are the faces of \mathcal{P} . Let $x = (a_1, b_1 | \dots | a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{C}_2$ be an element in $\text{Ker}(\partial_2)$. Note that $\partial\mathcal{P}$ is connected (since P is homeomorphic to \mathbb{D}^3), so by (1) and (2) above, we have that $a_1 = \dots = a_n$ and $b_1 = \dots = b_n$. Since the stabilizer of a vertex is a spherical triangle group, there is an even m_{ij} , which also forces $a = b$. Therefore we have $x = (a, a | \dots | a, a)$ so $x = \partial_3(a)$ (note that the choice of orientation above forces all signs to be positive), and this gives $\text{ker}(\partial_2) \subseteq \text{im}(\partial_3)$, which suffices to prove equality and hence the vanishing of the second homology group. \square

3.3. Analysis of ∂_1 . Let $e = e_{ij}$ be an edge and $v = v_{ijk} \in \partial e$ a vertex, using the notation in (3). We study all possible induction homomorphisms $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle)$ in Appendix B and conclude that H_1 is torsion-free, as follows.

Theorem 2. *There is no torsion in H_1 .*

Proof. Consider the Bredon chain complex

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathcal{C}_0.$$

To prove that $H_1 = \text{ker}(\partial_1)/\text{im}(\partial_2)$ is torsion-free, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{C}_1/\text{im}(\partial_2)$ is torsion-free. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k\alpha \in \text{im}(\partial_2)$. We shall prove that $\alpha \in \text{im}(\partial_2)$.

Since $k\alpha \in \text{im}(\partial_2)$, we can find $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_2$ with $\partial_2(\beta) = k\alpha$. Suppose that \mathcal{P} has n faces, and write $\beta = (a_1, b_1 | \dots | a_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{C}_2$, using vertical bars $|$ to separate elements coming from different representation rings. We shall see that one can find a 1-chain β' , homologous to β , and with every entry of β' a multiple of k .

At an edge e_{ij} , the differential ∂_2 takes the form (cf. §3.2)

$$(9) \quad R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i \rangle) \oplus R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_j \rangle) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle)$$

$$(a, b | a', b') \mapsto \pm(a - a', b - b', \widehat{b - a'}, \widehat{a - b'} | S, \dots, S),$$

where $S = a + b - a' - b'$, and the elements with a hat $\widehat{}$ appearing only when m_{ij} is even. Since every entry of $\partial_2(\beta)$ is a multiple of k , using (9), we have that for every pair of intersecting faces f_i and f_j ,

$$a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{k} \quad \text{and} \quad b_i \equiv b_j \pmod{k}.$$

Equation (9) also shows that $\mathbf{1}_{\partial P} = (1, 1 | \dots | 1, 1)$, the formal sum over all generators of representation rings of face stabilizers of $\partial P \in \mathcal{C}_2$, is in the kernel of ∂_2 . In particular, setting $\beta' = \beta - a_1 \mathbf{1}_{\partial P}$, we see that $\partial_2(\beta') = \partial_2(\beta) = \alpha$ and we can assume without loss of generality that β' satisfies $a'_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.

Let us consider the coefficients for the 1-chain β' . For every face f_j intersecting f_1 , we have $a'_1 - a'_j \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, which implies $a'_j \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. Since $\partial\mathcal{P}$ is connected, repeating this argument we have $a'_i \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for all i . In addition, there are even m_{ij} (the stabilizer of a vertex is a spherical triangle group), and hence (9) also gives $a'_i - b'_j \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, which implies $b'_j \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. Exactly the same argument as above gives then $b'_i \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for all i .

Since all coefficients of β' are divisible by k , we conclude that $\alpha = \partial_2(\beta'/k) \in \text{im}(\partial_2)$, as desired. \square

We note that a similar method of proof can be used (in many cases) to show that H_0 is torsion-free. This approach is carried out in Section 4.

Corollary 2. *Let $cf(\Gamma)$ be the number of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in Γ , and $\chi(\mathcal{C})$ the Euler characteristic of the Bredon chain complex (6). Then we have*

$$H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{cf(\Gamma) - \chi(\mathcal{C})}.$$

Proof. The Euler characteristic of a chain complex coincides with the alternating sum of the ranks of the homology groups

$$\chi(\mathcal{C}) = \text{rank}(H_0) - \text{rank}(H_1) + \text{rank}(H_2) - \text{rank}(H_3).$$

Since $H_3 = H_2 = 0$, we have $\text{rank}(H_1) = \text{rank}(H_0) - \chi(\mathcal{C})$, and $\text{rank}(H_0) = cf(\Gamma)$ [17]. Since H_1 is torsion-free (Theorem 2), the result follows. \square

Note that both $cf(\Gamma)$ and $\chi(\mathcal{C})$ can be obtained directly from the geometry of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} or, equivalently, from the Coxeter integers m_{ij} . We show this explicitly in Section 6.

Remark 2. Our results agree with a previous article by three of the authors [9], where we computed the rank of the Bredon homology for groups Γ with a cocompact, 3-manifold model X of the classifying space $\underline{E}\Gamma$. Firstly, note that Proposition 1 coincides with [9, Lemma 3], and, with respect to the vanishing of H_3 , Proposition 2 follows from [9, Lemma 7]. The rank of $H_2(X)$ is given in [9, Corollary 14] by $\beta_2(Y)$ if X/Γ is a closed oriented 3-manifold, or $s + t' + 2t + \beta_2(Y) - 1$ otherwise. Here Y is the union of the closures of all interior faces of X/Γ along with all the non-dihedral boundary components, s is the number of orientable non-odd dihedral components, t the number of orientable odd dihedral components (see [9] for definitions), t' is the number of orientable, odd, connected components in ∂Y , and $\beta_2(\cdot)$ indicates the second Betti number. In our case, $X/G = \mathcal{P}$ has a unique boundary component, which must be dihedral (all edges stabilizers are of the form $D_{m_{ij}}$ with $m_{ij} \geq 2$), and there are no interior faces. Therefore $Y = \emptyset$, $t' = 0$ and either $s = 0$ and $t = 1$ (if all m_{ij} are odd), or $s = 0$ and $t = 1$ (if there is at least one m_{ij} even). Picking any vertex v on $\partial\mathcal{P}$, it has stabilizer which is a finite triangle group, and hence at least one m_{ij} equals 2. Thus we have indeed $s = 0$ and $t = 1$ and we conclude that $\text{rank}(H_2) = 0$, as expected. The rank of H_0 coincides with the number of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in Γ . This number can be deduced from the 1-skeleton of a model of $\underline{E}\Gamma$, as explained in [9, §3.2], or in §6.1 below. Finally, the rank of H_1 is obtained, in both [9] and this article, from the rank of the other homology groups, and the Euler characteristic of the chain complex (5) (or (1) in [9]), which equals the alternating sum of the number of conjugacy classes in the stabilizers of Γ -orbits of cells.

To complete the computation of the Bredon homology, and hence of the equivariant K -homology, all that remains is to compute the torsion subgroup of H_0 . We will show that in fact H_0 is also torsion-free.

Theorem 3. *There is no torsion in H_0 .*

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3 is

Corollary 3. *$K_0^\Gamma(X)$ is torsion-free of rank $cf(\Gamma)$.*

The arguments for Theorem 3 are quite involved. It is tempting to try to mimic the proof of Theorem 2. This approach works to some extent, as we show in Section 4 below. The arguments exploit the geometry of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} , and rely on local analysis of the induction homomorphisms (Appendix C). Although the strategy is similar to the proof of Theorem 2, the calculations are much more involved, and only cover the case where all vertex stabilizers of \mathcal{P} are of the form $\Delta(2, 2, m)$ with $m \geq 3$ (which may vary from vertex to vertex).

In order to deal with the general case, we give a second proof in Section 5 based on the representation ring splitting technique of [19]. This relies on a simultaneous basis transformation, shown in Appendix B, of the vertex blocks of ∂_1 (Definition 1). This approach provides a criterion for $H_0^{\text{fin}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ to be torsion-free which is quite efficient to check (by brute force linear algebra), and which is satisfied for Γ a hyperbolic Coxeter group. This proof, however, does not provide any particular insight into the geometry of hyperbolic Coxeter groups.

In Section 6, we will give a formula for $cf(\Gamma)$ and $\chi(\mathcal{C})$ from the geometry of the polyhedron. Combining Corollary 1, Corollary 2, and Corollary 3 immediately yields the **Main Theorem**.

4. NO TORSION IN H_0 – THE GEOMETRIC APPROACH

We present a geometric proof, similar to the proof of Theorem 2, but with further technical difficulties. The proof works under the assumption that all vertices have stabilizers of the form $\Delta(2, 2, m)$. It is not obvious how to adapt this strategy for the remaining cases, as we will explain after the proof (Remark 3). Specifically, we establish

Theorem 4. *Assume the compact polyhedron \mathcal{P} is such that all vertex stabilizers are of the form $D_m \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $m \geq 3$ can vary from vertex to vertex. Then there is no torsion in the 0-dimensional Bredon homology group $H_0^{\text{fin}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$.*

First we discuss some terminology and the overall strategy of the proof. Fix $k \geq 2$ an integer. Our overall objective is to rule out k -torsion in H_0 . Let $\beta \in C_1$ (from the Bredon chain complex of Γ) such that $\partial_1(\beta)$ is the zero vector modulo k . (An element $\alpha \in C_0$ has order k in $H_0 = C_0/\text{im}(\partial_1)$ if and only if $k\alpha = \partial_1(\beta)$). Recall that

$$C_1 = \bigoplus_{e_{ij} \text{ edge}} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle),$$

the direct sum of the representation rings (as abelian groups) of the edge stabilisers. The element x along a particular edge e_{ij} is by definition the projection of x to $\mathbb{Z}^{n_{ij}} \cong R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j \rangle)$, where n_{ij} is the dimension of the representation ring (number of conjugacy classes of the edge stabiliser). By *fixing β along edge e_{ij}* we mean substituting β by a homologous element $\beta' \in C_1$, that is, $\partial_1(\beta) = \partial_1(\beta')$, such that

β' along that edge is congruent to the zero vector modulo k . When the 1-chain β is clear from the context, we will simply say that an edge e is *good* if all the coefficients in the chain β which are supported on e are multiples of k . We sometimes refer to an edge with stabiliser D_n as an *n-edge*, or *edge of type n*.

Our goal is to replace β with a homologous chain β' for which all the edges are good, that is,

- (1) $\partial_1(\beta') = \partial_1(\beta)$, and
- (2) every coefficient in the 1-chain β' is divisible by k .

If we can do this, it follows that $\alpha = \partial_1(\beta'/k)$, and hence that α is zero in H_0 .

The construction of β' is elementary, but somewhat involved. It proceeds via a series of steps, which will be described in the following subsections.

4.1. Coloring the 1-skeleton. Recall that $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_1 = \bigoplus_{e \in \mathcal{P}(1)} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_e)$, so we can view β as a formal sum of complex representations of the stabilizers of the various edges in the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{P} . The edge stabilizers are dihedral groups. Let us 2-color the edges of the polyhedron, blue if the stabilizer is D_2 , and red if the stabilizer is D_m where $m \geq 3$. From our constraints on the vertex groups, we see that every vertex has exactly two incident blue edges. Of course, any graph with all vertices of degree two decomposes as a disjoint union of cycles.

The collection of blue edges thus form a graph, consisting of pairwise disjoint loops, separating the boundary of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} (topologically a 2-sphere) into a finite collection of regions, at least two of which must be contractible. The red edges appear in the interior of these individual regions, joining pairs of vertices on the boundary of the region. Fixing one such contractible region R_{∞} , the complement will be planar. We will henceforth fix a planar embedding of this complement. This allows us to view all the remaining regions as lying in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 .




FIGURE 1. Example of enumeration of regions (Section 4.2).

4.2. Enumerating the regions. Our strategy for modifying β is as follows: we will work region by region. At each stage, we will modify β by only changing it on edges contained in the closure of a region. In order to do this, we need to enumerate the regions.

We have already identified the (contractible) region R_{∞} – this will be the last region dealt with. In order to decide the order in which we will deal with the remaining regions, we define a partial ordering on the set of regions. For distinct

regions R, R' , we write $R < R'$ if and only if R is contained in a bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus R'$. This defines a partial ordering on the finite set of regions. For example, any region which is minimal with respect to this ordering must be simply connected (hence contractible). We can thus enumerate the regions R_1, R_2, \dots so that, for any $i < j$, we have $R_j \not< R_i$ (Figure 1). We will now deal with the regions in the order they are enumerated. Concretely, the choice of enumeration means that by the time we get to the i^{th} region, we have already dealt with all the regions which are “interior” to R_i (i.e. in the bounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus R_i$).




FIGURE 2. Contractible region, its dual tree T with an enumeration of its vertices, and corresponding enumeration of the faces (Section 4.3).

4.3. Enumerating faces within a region. We now want to fix the red edges inside a fixed region R . To do this, we first need to order the 2-faces inside R . Consider the dual graph G to the decomposition of R into 2-faces. This graph has one vertex for each 2-face in R , and an edge joining a pair of vertices if the corresponding 2-faces share a (necessarily red) edge. Notice that every vertex lies on a pair of blue edges. It follows that, if we remove the blue edges from the region R , the result deformation retracts onto G .

If s denotes the number of regions $R_i < R$ with $\partial R \cap \partial R_i$ non-empty, then the first homology $H_1(G) = H_1(R)$ will be free abelian of rank s . We now choose any spanning tree T for the graph G , and note that $G \setminus T$ consists of precisely s edges, each of which is dual to a red edge inside the region R . Since T is a tree, we can enumerate the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n so that (i) v_1 is a leaf of the tree (vertex of degree one), and (ii) the subgraph induced by any $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, is connected. Since vertices of the dual tree correspond to 2-faces in R , this gives us an enumeration of the 2-faces F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n inside the region R . Figure 2 illustrates this process for a contractible region, while Figure 3 gives an illustration for a non-contractible region.

4.4. Fixing red edges dual to a spanning tree. Continuing to work within a fixed region R , we now explain how to fix all the red edges which are dual to the edges in the spanning tree T . As explained in Section 4.3, we have an




FIGURE 3. Non-contractible region, its dual graph G , a spanning tree T for G (green edges), enumeration of the vertices, and corresponding enumeration of the faces (Section 4.3).

enumeration F_1, F_2, \dots of the 2-faces contained inside the region R . From our choice of enumeration, we have that each F_{k+1} shares precisely one distinguished red edge with $\cup_{i=1}^k F_i$. This red edge is dual to the unique edge in the tree T connecting the vertex v_{k+1} to the subtree spanned by v_1, \dots, v_k .

We orient the (blue) edges along the boundary loops of R clockwise, and the red edges cutting through R in an arbitrary manner. For each 2-face F_i , we want to choose corresponding elements η_i in the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_{F_i}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $\Gamma_{F_i} \cong C_2$ is the stabilizer of F_i . These η_i will be chosen so that the 1-chain $\beta + \partial_2(\sum \eta_i)$, which is clearly homologous to β , has all red edges dual to T which are good.

Pick an η_1 arbitrarily. We now assume that η_1, \dots, η_k are given, and explain how to choose η_{k+1} . By our choice of enumeration of vertices, the vertex v_{k+1} is adjacent to some v_j where $j \leq k$. Dual to the two vertices v_j, v_{k+1} we have a pair F_j, F_{k+1} of 2-faces inside the region R . Dual to the edge that joins v_j to v_{k+1} is the (red) edge $F_j \cap F_{k+1}$. We see that $\partial_2(\eta_j + \eta_{k+1})$ is the only term which can change the portion of β supported on the edge $F_j \cap F_{k+1}$. Since η_j is already given, we want to choose η_{k+1} in order to ensure that the resulting 1-chain *has all coefficients supported on $F_j \cap F_{k+1}$ divisible by k* . This will arrange key property (2) for the (red) edge $F_j \cap F_{k+1}$.

That such an η_{k+1} can be chosen is the content of Lemma 5 in Appendix C. Iterating this process, we find that the 1-chain $\beta + \partial_2(\sum \eta_i)$ is homologous to β , and has all red edges dual to edges in T which are good.

4.5. Forming the graph B . Performing the process in Section 4.4 for each region R (including the region R_∞), we finally obtain a 1-chain homologous to our original β (which by abuse of notation we will still denote β) which is good except possibly along:

- s_i red edges inside each region R_i , where s_i denotes the number of regions entirely enclosed by the region R_i who share a boundary with R_i ;

- all the blue edges inside the 1-skeleton, which we recall decompose into a finite collection of blue loops.

We now use these to form a graph B , which captures all the remaining potentially bad edges for the chain β . B is formed with one vertex for each blue loop. Note that each remaining potentially bad red edge joins a pair of vertices which lie on some blue loops γ_1, γ_2 (as all vertices lie on blue loops). For each such red edge, we define an edge in the graph B joining the two vertices v_i corresponding to the blue loops γ_i (Figure 4).




FIGURE 4. Graph B of blue loops and red edges associated to the non-contractible region R (Section 4.5).

Lemma 2. *The graph B is a tree.*

Proof. It follows immediately from the discussion in Section 4.3 that B is connected. Thus it suffices to show B has no embedded cycles. Observe that, since blue loops separate the plane into two connected components, it follows that each vertex of B corresponding to a blue loop is a cutpoint of the graph B . Thus if there is an embedded cycle, it cannot cross any such vertex of B . This means that any embedded cycle has the property that all the vertices it passes through correspond to blue components lying in the closure of a single region R (and all red edges lie inside that region R).

Now by way of contradiction, assume that e_1, \dots, e_k forms a cycle in B , cyclically joining vertices $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$, where the γ_i are blue loops inside the closure of the region R . We can concatenate the corresponding (red) edges e_i along with paths on the blue loops γ_i to obtain an embedded edge loop η contained in the closure of the region R . Now pick a pair of 2-faces F_1, F_2 inside the region R , where F_1 is contained inside the loop η , while F_2 is contained outside of the loop η , e.g. pick the 2-faces on either side of the red edge e_1 . Note that, by construction, the closed loop η separates these regions from each other.

These two regions correspond to vertices v_1, v_2 in the graph G associated to the region R . Since T was a spanning tree for the graph G , it follows that we can find a sequence of edges in the tree T connecting v_1 to v_2 . This gives rise to a sequence of 2-faces connecting F_1 to F_2 , where each consecutive face share *an edge distinct from any of the red edges e_i* . Thus we obtain a continuous path joining F_1 to F_2

which is completely disjoint from η , a contradiction. We conclude that B cannot contain any cycles, and hence is a tree. \square

The tree B contains a distinguished root vertex, corresponding to the outermost blue component (i.e. the blue loop which is the boundary of the “region at infinity” R_∞). Thus, we can enumerate the vertices v_1, v_2, \dots in the graph B (i.e. the blue loops) according to their distance from the root in B .

4.6. Coefficients along the blue loops. Our goal will be to modify β in order to make all the remaining red edges (i.e. edges in the graph B) good. Note that the 1-chain β might not be an integral 1-cycle, but it is a 1-cycle mod k . We will now exploit this property to analyze the behavior of the 1-chain β along the blue components.

Proposition 3. *Let γ be any blue loop, oriented clockwise. Then the coefficients on the blue edges are all congruent to each other modulo k , that is, if (a, b, c, d) and (a', b', c', d') are the coefficients along any two blue edges in a given blue loop, then $(a, b, c, d) \equiv (a', b', c', d') \pmod{k}$. Moreover, along any red edge in the graph B , the coefficient is congruent to zero mod k , except possibly if the edge has an even label, in which case the coefficient is congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some z (which may vary from edge to edge).*

Proof. To see this, we argue inductively according to the ordering of the blue connected components (see Section 4.5).

Base Case. For the initial case, consider the blue loop corresponding to vertex v_1 in the tree B . By hypothesis, this blue loop γ has a single bad (red) edge incident to it, corresponding to the unique edge in the tree B incident to v_1 . Let w denote the single vertex on γ where the bad red edge is incident, allowing us to view γ as a path starting and terminating at w . Since all the remaining red edges incident to γ are good, applying Lemma 6 in Appendix C (with all the $\hat{z} \equiv 0$) for each incident good red edge shows that all the coefficients along the path γ are congruent to each other mod k . Note that, from our labelling of faces, we are always in one of the cases $i < j < k$ or $j < k < i$ of Lemma 6, according to whether the good red edge lies in the unbounded or bounded region determined by the blue loop γ . This establishes the first statement of the Proposition. To get the second statement, we apply Lemma 7 in Appendix C at the vertex w , and we are done.

Inductive Step. Now inductively, let us assume that we are focusing on the blue loop γ_i corresponding to some vertex v_i in B . We assume that all the blue loops γ_j corresponding to vertices v_j with $j < i$ already satisfy the desired property. We also assume that all red edges in the graph B connected to vertices v_j with $j < i$ have coefficients of the form described in the Proposition.

From the directed structure of the graph B , the vertex v_i has a *unique* edge e connecting to a vertex v_j with index $j > i$, and all the remaining edges in B connect to a v_j for some $j < i$. By the inductive hypothesis, this tells us that all but one of these red edges have coefficients congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}_j, -\hat{z}_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some z_j (which might depend on the edge). Again, viewing γ_i as a path starting and terminating at the same vertex w (where e is incident to γ), we may apply Lemma 6 and conclude that γ_i has coefficients along all edges that are congruent to each other. Applying Lemma 7 at the vertex w , shows that the coefficients along the

edge e must also be of the form $(0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some z . This completes the inductive step and the proof of the Proposition. \square

4.7. Equivalence classes of red edges. Now consider a potentially bad red edge, corresponding to an edge in the graph B joining vertices v_i to v_j . The red edge thus joins the blue loop γ_i to the blue loop γ_j . From Proposition 3, we see that the coefficient along the red edge must be congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some z . In particular, there is a single residue class that determines the coefficients along the red edge (modulo k).

Next let us momentarily focus on a fixed blue loop γ , and assume the coefficients along the edges of γ are all congruent to (a, b, c, d) modulo k , as ensured by Proposition 3 (Figure 5). We define an equivalence relation on *all* the red edges with *even* label incident to γ , by defining the two equivalence classes:

- (a) the incident red edges that lie in the bounded region corresponding to γ , and
- (b) those that lie in the unbounded region.

It follows from Lemma 6 (Appendix C), that all edges in the equivalence class (a) have corresponding coefficients congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}_j, -\hat{z}_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ where each $z_j \equiv b - a$, while all edges in equivalence class (b) have corresponding coefficients congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}_j, -\hat{z}_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ where each $z_j \equiv c - a$ (Figure 5).




FIGURE 5. Bad red edges incident to blue loop γ . All *interior* edges have coefficients satisfying one congruence, while all *exterior* edges have coefficients satisfying a different congruence (Section 4.7).

This equivalence relation is defined locally, and can be extended over all blue loops/paths in the 1-skeleton, resulting in an equivalence relation on the collection of all red edges with even label. Observe that, by construction, each equivalence class has the property that there is a single corresponding residue class $z \pmod{k}$, with the property that *all* the edges within that equivalence class have coefficient congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$, i.e. the z is the same for the entire equivalence class.

Corollary 4. *The edges in the graph B that are bad form a finite collection of equivalence classes for this relation.*

Proof. Let e be an edge in B , and assume that e is equivalent to an edge e' which is *not* an edge in B . Since all edges that are not in B are good, it follows that the coefficient on e' is congruent to zero mod k . Thus the value of z for the equivalence class \mathcal{E} containing e' is $z = 0$. Since $e \in \mathcal{E}$, this forces e to be good, a contradiction. \square

4.8. Fixing the remaining red edges. Observe that the edges in each equivalence class form a connected subgraph, and hence a subtree (see Lemma 2), of the graph B . This collection of subtrees partitions the graph B . Any vertex of B corresponding to a blue loop is incident to at most two such subtrees (the incident red edges lying “inside” and “outside” the blue loop). On the other hand, any vertex of B corresponding to a blue path has all incident edges lying in the same subtree.

We now proceed to fix our chain along the remaining red edges. Fix an equivalence class \mathcal{E} of red edges, and associate to it a 1-chain $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ whose coefficients are given as follows:

- (1) if a blue loop γ has an incident red edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$, and e lies in the *bounded* region of γ , then assign $(0, 1, 0, 0)$ to each blue edge on γ ;
- (2) if a blue loop γ has an incident red edge $e \in \mathcal{E}$, and e lies in the *unbounded* region of γ , then assign $(0, 0, 1, 0)$ to each blue edge on γ ;
- (3) along the red edges in the equivalence class (recall that all these edges have even labels), assign $\pm(0, 0, \hat{1}, -\hat{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, with sign chosen to ensure that the local 1-cycle condition holds at both endpoints (see Lemma 6).

Notice that, one can choose the signs in (3) coherently because the equivalence class defines a subtree of the tree B – and thus there are no cycles (these could have potentially forced the sign along an edge to be both positive and negative). Another key feature of the 1-chains $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ is that they are linearly independent. More precisely, two distinct equivalence classes $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ have associated 1-chains $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}'}$ whose supports are disjoint, except possibly along a single blue loop γ . In the case where the supports overlap along γ , adding multiples of $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ does not affect the z -value along the class \mathcal{E}' (and vice versa).

It is now immediate from the equality case of Lemma 6 in Appendix C that the 1-chain $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ is in fact an integral 1-cycle. Subtracting multiples of $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}$ from our given chain β , we may thus obtain a homologous 1-chain for which all the red edges in \mathcal{E} are now good. Repeating this for each of the equivalence classes, we have now obtained a homologous 1-chain (still denoted β) for which *all the red edges are good*.

4.9. Fixing the remaining blue edges. We now have obtained a 1-chain with prescribed differential, whose coefficients along all red edges are good. It remains to fix the coefficients along the blue loops.

If γ is one of the blue loops, then since all incident red edges are good, we see that all the edges on γ have coefficients which are congruent to either (a, b, c, d) , (a, b, a, b) , (a, a, c, c) , or (a, a, a, a) , according to the equivalence classes that are incident to γ .

Let us discuss, as an example, the case (a, b, a, b) . Note that this case occurs if the only incident red edges to γ with even label lie in the unbounded region determined by γ . Consider the pair of integral 1-cycles α_{13}, α_{24} supported on γ , obtained by assigning to each edge on γ the coefficient $(1, 0, 1, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0, 1)$ respectively. From the equality case of Lemma 6 we see that α_{13}, α_{24} are in fact 1-cycles. By adding multiples of α_{13}, α_{24} , we can now arrange for the coefficients

along the blue loop γ to all be good. The three other cases can be dealt with similarly; we leave the details to the reader.

4.10. Completing the proof. Performing this process described in Section 4.9 for all the blue components, we finally obtain the desired 1-chain β' . Since β' now satisfies properties (1) and (2) mentioned at the beginning of the proof, we conclude that the given hypothetical torsion class $\alpha \in H_0$ was in fact the zero class. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Remark 3. It is not obvious how to adapt the strategy in the geometric proof above to the case when other vertex stabilizer types are allowed. In the case of vertex stabilizers of the type $\Delta(2, 3, 3)$, $\Delta(2, 3, 4)$, and $\Delta(2, 3, 5)$, most of the arguments can be adapted. The main difficulty lies in the arguments of Subsection 4.4, which rely heavily on Lemma 6 in Appendix C. Unfortunately, the analogue of that Lemma does not seem to hold when one allows these other types of vertices as endpoints of the edge. For vertices with stabilizer $\Delta(2, 2, 2)$, additionally difficulties arise, notably in Sections 4.7, 4.8, and 4.9.

5. NO TORSION IN H_0 – THE LINEAR ALGEBRA APPROACH

In this section we prove that H_0 is torsion-free for all vertex types. We will describe the general strategy, leaving the explicit calculations (simultaneous base transformations, and corresponding induction homomorphisms) for Appendices A and B. This proof follows the “representation ring splitting” technique of [19].

We start with a simple linear algebra lemma.

Lemma 3. *For square matrices M and B , we have*

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix} = \det(M) \cdot \det(B).$$

Proof. Writing $M = (a_{i,j})$ and denoting by $M^{i,j}$ the (i,j) -minor of M (that is, the determinant of the block obtained by omitting the i -th row and the j -th column), we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{\#M} a_{1,j} (-1)^{1+j} M^{1,j} \cdot \det(B),$$

by iterating the development of the determinant by minors $(\#M - 1)$ times, and making use of the zero block each time. \square

Definition 1. The *vertex block* of a given vertex v in a Bredon chain complex differential matrix ∂_1 consists of all the blocks of ∂_1 that are representing maps induced (on complex representation rings from $\Gamma_e \rightarrow \Gamma_v$) by edges e adjacent to v .

Therefore, if v is adjacent to edges e_1 , e_2 and e_3 , with corresponding representation rings of rank n_1 , n_2 and n_3 , then its vertex block is a submatrix of δ_1 (identified with its matrix representation after fixing a basis) of size $n_1 + n_2 + n_3$ by n_0 , the rank of $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_v)$.

Our strategy is based on the following criterion that guarantees that $H_0^{\delta^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free.

Theorem 5. *If there exists a base transformation such that all minors in all vertex blocks are in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, then $H_0^{\delta^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free.*

Proof. As a generality on Smith Normal Forms, known already to Smith [21], we note that the elementary divisors of a matrix A can be computed (up to multiplication by a unit) as $\alpha_i = \frac{d_i(A)}{d_{i-1}(A)}$, where $d_i(A)$ (called i -th determinant divisor) equals the greatest common divisor of all $i \times i$ minors of the matrix A , and $d_0(A) := 1$.

Let us use the notation

$$\text{pre-rank}(\partial_1) := \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} C_1 - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \ker \partial_1,$$

where C_1 is the module of 1-chains in the Bredon chain complex.

Then $H_0^{\text{fin}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free if and only if $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \text{pre-rank}(\partial_1)$, which, by the remark above, follows from finding an $(i \times i)$ -minor in the Bredon chain complex differential matrix ∂_1 with value ± 1 , for each $1 \leq i \leq \text{pre-rank}(\partial_1)$. Let us show this is indeed the case, by induction on i .

Induction basis. For $i = 1$, we observe that there are vertices with adjacent edges for the action of Γ , hence there are non-zero vertex blocks. As by assumption all the entries in the vertex blocks are in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, there exists an entry of value ± 1 .

Inductive step. Let $2 \leq i \leq \text{pre-rank}(\partial_1)$, and assume that there exists an $(i-1) \times (i-1)$ -minor of ∂_1 of value ± 1 . We have to find an $i \times i$ -minor of ∂_1 of value ± 1 . Let B' be the $(i-1) \times (i-1)$ -block of ∂_1 , whose determinant is ± 1 according to the inductive hypothesis. As $i \leq \text{pre-rank}(\partial_1)$, there exists a vertex block V with the following property : After suitable base transformation, which puts V into the upper left corner of ∂_1 , the block B' can be extended to an $i \times i$ -block

$$B'' = \det \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ * & B \end{pmatrix},$$

with B a square sub-block of B' , and M a square sub-block of V . Here, B is completely disjoint with V , and therefore we get the zero block in the upper right corner of B'' (note that the vertex blocks have been constructed to contain all entries from adjacent edges, so the remainders of their rows are zero). In case that B' is already disjoint with V , we simply have $B = B'$, and M is then a single entry of V . Otherwise, we construct B as the maximal square sub-block of B' that has all of its rows and columns outside rows and columns in which V is present. Then M is constructed by taking the intersection of B' and V , and extending it to a square block of size $n - \text{size}(B)$ inside V . Lemma 3 yields $\det(B'') = \det(M) \cdot \det(B)$. Now $\det(M) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by the assumption that there is no torsion in the vertex blocks; and as $n \leq \text{pre-rank}(\partial_1)$, we can reach $\det(B'') \neq 0$ and hence $\det(M) \neq 0$. For B as a sub-block of B' , $\det(B') \in \{-1, 1\}$ entails $\det(B) \in \{-1, 1\}$. This implies $\det(B'') = \pm 1$ and we are done. \square

Using Theorem 5, the lack of non-trivial torsion in H_0 is a consequence of the following result, whose proof depends on the simultaneous base transformations in Appendix B.

Proposition 4. *For a system of finite subgroups of types $A_5 \times C_2$, S_4 , $S_4 \times C_2$, $\Delta(2, 2, 2) = (C_2)^3$ and $\Delta(2, 2, m) = C_2 \times D_m$ for $m \geq 3$ as vertex stabilizers, with their three 2-generator Coxeter subgroups as adjacent edge stabilizers, there is a simultaneous base transformation such that all vertex blocks have all their minors contained in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.*

Proof. We apply the base transformation specified in Appendix B (all Tables referenced in this proof can be found there). Then we already see that all of the induced maps have all of their entries in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Next, we assemble the vertex blocks from the three vertex-edge-adjacency induced maps for any given vertex stabilizer type. By Tables 24 and 25, the vertex block of a stabilizer of type D_m for $m \geq 3$ odd consists of

$$\text{two blocks } \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and one block } \pm \begin{pmatrix} \text{identity matrix of size } \frac{m+3}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3, the columns which are concentrated in one entry ± 1 cannot increase the absolute value of the determinant of a block which is being extended into them. We conclude that all minors are in $\{0, \pm 1\}$.

For $m \geq 6$ even, but not a power of 2, Tables 26 and 27 yield the following vertex block, where each matrix block is specified up to orientation sign (we make this assumption from now on),

$D_m \times C_2$	$D_m \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0 1 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0 0 1 -1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0 0 0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots$	$\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots$
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_{\frac{m}{2}-1} - \phi_{\frac{m}{2}-2}) \downarrow$	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$		0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$		0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$		$\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots$	$\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots$
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_{\frac{m}{2}-1} - \phi_{\frac{m}{2}-2}) \downarrow$		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0

By Lemma 3, we can ignore the rows and columns which have at most one entry ± 1 , and reduce the above vertex block to the finite block

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \pm 1 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which we can easily check that it has all its minors in $\{0, \pm 1\}$.

For $m \geq 4$ a power of 2, Tables 28 and 29 yield the following vertex block,

$D_m \times C_2$	$D_m \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0 1 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0 1 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0 -1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0 0 1 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\vdots \vdots \vdots$	$\vdots \vdots \vdots$
$(\phi_{\frac{m}{2}-1} - \phi_{\frac{m}{2}-2}) \downarrow$	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$		0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$		0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$		$\vdots \vdots \vdots$	$\vdots \vdots \vdots$
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_{\frac{m}{2}-1} - \phi_{\frac{m}{2}-2}) \downarrow$		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0

By Lemma 3, we can ignore the rows and columns which have at most one entry ± 1 , and reduce the above vertex block to the finite block

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pm 1 & 0 & \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \pm 1 & 0 & \pm 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which we can easily check that it has all its minors in $\{0, \pm 1\}$. For the finitely many remaining stabilizer types, we can proceed case-by-case: we input each vertex block into a computer routine which computes all of its minors (such a routine is straightforward to implement and takes approximately two seconds per vertex block on a standard computer; the third author's implementation is available at <http://math.uni.lu/~rahm/vertexBlocks/>). Note that for the groups under consideration, the matrix rank of the vertex block is at most 7, so the 8×8 -minors are all zero, and it is enough to compute the $n \times n$ -minors for $n \leq 7$. \square

Corollary 5. *For any Coxeter group Γ having a system of finite subgroups of types $\Delta(2, 2, 2) = (C_2)^3$, $\Delta(2, 2, m) = C_2 \times D_m$ for $m \geq 3$, S_4 , $S_4 \times C_2$ or $A_5 \times C_2$ as vertex stabilizers, we have that the Bredon homology group $H_0^{\tilde{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free.*

Remark 4. Note that to use the criterion in Theorem 5 for proving that $H_n^{\tilde{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free for a group Γ , the following should be taken into account:

- The proof of the theorem, stated for $n = 0$, implicitly uses the fact that $H_0(\underline{B}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ is always torsion-free. To extend the theorem to $n > 0$, one should either work with groups for which $H_n(\underline{B}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free (such as Coxeter groups), or use the splitting described in section 7 of [19] in order to make a statement only about the part of $H_n^{\tilde{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ complementary to $H_n(\underline{B}\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$.
- Before trying to prove the hypothesis in the theorem using base transformations (as in Appendix A), which can become quite laborious, one should construct the vertex blocks without any base transformation and compute their elementary divisors. If there exists a suitable simultaneous base transformation which proves the criterion, then those elementary divisors must be in the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. Hence if that is not the case, no simultaneous base transformation can exist.

6. $cf(\Gamma)$ AND $\chi(\mathcal{C})$ FROM THE GEOMETRY OF \mathcal{P}

Let Γ be the reflection group of the compact 3-dimensional hyperbolic polyhedron \mathcal{P} . In this section, we compute the number of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order of Γ , $cf(\Gamma)$, and the Euler characteristic of the Bredon chain complex (5), $\chi(\mathcal{C})$, from the geometry of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} . This makes our Bredon homology, and equivariant K -theory results, in particular the **Main Theorem**, explicit.

6.1. Conjugacy classes of elements of finite order. We now give an algorithm to calculate $cf(\Gamma)$, the number of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in the Coxeter group Γ . We know that each element of finite order can be conjugated to one which stabilizes one of the k -dimensional faces of the polyhedron, for some $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Of course, the only element which stabilizes *all* faces is the identity element. Let us set that aside, and consider the non-identity elements, to which we associate the integer k . We now count the elements according to the integer k , in descending order.

Case $k = 2$: These are the conjugacy classes represented by the canonical generators of the Coxeter group Γ . The number of these is given by the total number $|\mathcal{P}^{(2)}|$ of facets of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} .

Case $k = 1$: These elements are edge stabilizers which are not conjugate to the stabilizer of a face. We first note that there are some possible conjugacies between edge stabilizers. Geometrically, these occur when there is a geodesic $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ whose projection onto the fundamental domain \mathcal{P} covers multiple edges inside the 1-skeleton $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}$. A detailed analysis of when this can happen is given in [8]. Following the description in that paper, we decompose the 1-skeleton into equivalence classes of edges, where two edges are equivalent if there exists a geodesic whose projection passes through both edges. Denote by $[\mathcal{P}^{(1)}]$ the set of equivalence classes of edges, and note that each equivalence class $[e]$ has a well defined group associated to it, which is just the dihedral group Γ_e stabilizing a representative edge. We can thus count the conjugacy classes in the corresponding dihedral group, and discard the three conjugacy classes already accounted for (the conjugacy class of the two canonical generators counted in case $k = 2$, as well as the identity). Thus the contribution from finite elements of this type is given by

$$\sum_{[e] \in [\mathcal{P}^{(1)}]} (c(\Gamma_e) - 3).$$

(Recall that $c(D_m)$, the number of conjugacy classes in a dihedral group of order $2m$, is $m/2 + 3$ if m even, and $(m - 1)/2 + 2$ if m is odd.)

Case $k = 0$: Finally, we consider the contribution from the elements in the vertex stabilizers *which have not already been counted*. That is to say, for each vertex $v \in \mathcal{P}^{(0)}$, we count the conjugacy classes of elements in the corresponding 3-generated spherical triangle group, which cannot be conjugated into one of the canonical 2-generated special subgroups. This number, $\bar{c}(\Gamma_v)$, depends only on the isomorphism type of the spherical triangle group Γ_v , see Table 1. The contribution from these types of finite elements is thus

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \bar{c}(\Gamma_v).$$

Γ_v	$c(\Gamma_v)$	$\bar{c}(\Gamma_v)$
$\Delta(2, 2, m)$	$2c(D_m)$	$c(D_m) - 3$
$\Delta(2, 3, 3)$	5	1
$\Delta(2, 3, 4)$	10	3
$\Delta(2, 3, 5)$	10	5

TABLE 1. Number of conjugacy classes in spherical triangle groups. The left column is the total number (cf. Appendix A), and the right column the number of those not conjugated into one of the three canonical 2-generated special subgroups.

Combining all these, we obtain the desired (combinatorial) formula for the number of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order inside the group Γ :

$$cf(\Gamma) = 1 + |\mathcal{P}^{(2)}| + \sum_{[e] \in [\mathcal{P}^{(1)}]} (c(\Gamma_e) - 3) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \bar{c}(\Gamma_v).$$

6.2. Euler characteristic. The Euler characteristic of the Bredon chain complex can be easily calculated from the stabilizers of the various faces of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} , according to the formula:

$$\chi(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{P}} (-1)^{\dim(f)} \dim(R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Gamma_f)).$$

Depending on the dimension of the faces, we know exactly what the dimension of the complex representation ring is (the number of conjugacy classes in the stabilizer):

- for the 3-dimensional face (the interior), the stabilizer is trivial, so there is a 1-dimensional complex representation ring;
- for the 2-dimensional faces, the stabilizer are \mathbb{Z}_2 , and there is a 2-dimensional complex representation ring;
- for the 1-dimensional faces e , the stabilizers are dihedral groups, and there is a $c(\Gamma_e)$ -dimensional complex representation ring;
- for the 0-dimensional faces v , the stabilizers are spherical triangle groups, and there is a $c(\Gamma_v)$ -dimensional complex representation ring.

Putting these together, we obtain

$$\chi(\mathcal{C}) = -1 + 2|\mathcal{P}^{(2)}| - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}} c(\Gamma_e) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{(0)}} c(\Gamma_v).$$

All in all, we have a more explicit version of the **Main Theorem**, from the geometry of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} .

Main Theorem (explicit). *Let Γ be a cocompact 3-dimensional hyperbolic reflection group, generated by reflections in the side of a hyperbolic polyhedron $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{H}^3$. Then $K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ is a torsion-free abelian group of rank*

$$cf(\Gamma) = 1 + |\mathcal{P}^{(2)}| + \sum_{[e] \in [\mathcal{P}^{(1)}]} (c(\Gamma_e) - 3) + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \bar{c}(\Gamma_v),$$

and $K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ is a torsion-free abelian group of rank

$$cf(\Gamma) - \chi(\mathcal{C}) = 2 - |\mathcal{P}^{(2)}| + \sum_{[e] \in [\mathcal{P}^{(1)}]} (c(\Gamma_e) - 3) + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{P}^{(1)}} c(\Gamma_e) - \sum_{v \in \mathcal{P}^{(0)}} (c(\Gamma_v) - \bar{c}(\Gamma_v)),$$

and the values $c(\Gamma_v)$ and $\bar{c}(\Gamma_v)$ can be obtained from Table 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem. Characters and K -theory of discrete groups. *Invent. Math.*, 114(3):489–514, 1993.
- [2] E. M. Andreev. Convex polyhedra of finite volume in Lobačevskiĭ space. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 83(125):256–260, 1970.
- [3] M. Bożejko, T. Januszkiewicz, and R. J. Spatzier. Infinite Coxeter groups do not have Kazhdan’s property. *J. Operator Theory*, 19(1):63–67, 1988.
- [4] J. F. Davis and W. Lück. The topological K -theory of certain crystallographic groups. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 7(2):373–431, 2013.
- [5] N. Higson and G. Kasparov. Operator K -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space. *Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3:131–142 (electronic), 1997.
- [6] O. Isely. *K-theory and K-homology for semi-direct products of \mathbb{Z}_2 by \mathbb{Z}* . 2011. Thèse de doctorat : Université de Neuchâtel (Switzerland).
- [7] J.-F. Lafont, B. A. Magurn, and I. J. Ortiz. Lower algebraic K -theory of certain reflection groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 148(2):193–226, 2010.
- [8] J.-F. Lafont and I. J. Ortiz. Lower algebraic K -theory of hyperbolic 3-simplex reflection groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84(2):297–337, 2009.
- [9] J.-F. Lafont, I. J. Ortiz, and R. J. Sánchez-García. Rational equivariant K -homology of low dimensional groups. In *Topics in noncommutative geometry*, volume 16 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 131–163. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [10] M. Langer and W. Lück. Topological K -theory of the group C^* -algebra of a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m$ for a free conjugation action. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 4(2):121–172, 2012.
- [11] W. Lück. Chern characters for proper equivariant homology theories and applications to K - and L -theory. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 543:193–234, 2002.
- [12] W. Lück. K - and L -theory of the semi-direct product of the discrete 3-dimensional Heisenberg group by $\mathbb{Z}/4$. *Geom. Topol.*, 9:1639–1676, 2005.
- [13] W. Lück. Rational computations of the topological K -theory of classifying spaces of discrete groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 611:163–187, 2007.
- [14] W. Lück and B. Oliver. Chern characters for the equivariant K -theory of proper G -CW-complexes. In *Cohomological methods in homotopy theory (Bellaterra, 1998)*, volume 196 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 217–247. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [15] W. Lück and H. Reich. The Baum-Connes and the Farrell-Jones conjectures in K - and L -theory. In *Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2*, pages 703–842. Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [16] W. Lück and R. Stamm. Computations of K - and L -theory of cocompact planar groups. *K-Theory*, 21(3):249–292, 2000.
- [17] G. Mislin. Equivariant K -homology of the classifying space for proper actions. In *Proper group actions and the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, pages 1–78. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [18] G. Mislin and A. Valette. *Proper group actions and the Baum-Connes conjecture*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [19] A. D. Rahm. Sur la K -homologie équivariante de PSL_2 sur les entiers quadratiques imaginaires. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 66(4):1667–1689, 2016.
- [20] J.-P. Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42.
- [21] H. J. S. Smith. On systems of linear indeterminate equations and congruences. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 151:293–326, 1861.
- [22] M. Yang. *Crossed products by finite groups acting on low dimensional complexes and applications*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1997. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Saskatchewan (Canada).

APPENDIX A. CHARACTER TABLES AND BASE TRANSFORMATIONS

In this Appendix, we list the character tables of all the groups involved in the Bredon chain complex (6), that is, finite Coxeter subgroups of Γ up to rank three. This serves as a reference for the main text, and fixes the notation. In the character tables below, rows correspond to irreducible representations, and columns to representatives of conjugacy classes, written in term of the Coxeter generators s_1, \dots, s_n in a fixed Coxeter presentation of Γ , as in (1).

In addition, for each character table, we apply elementary row operations to obtain the transformed tables needed for Appendix B, which are in turn used in the linear algebra proof that H_0 is torsion-free (Section 5). Although the rows of the transformed tables do no more consist of irreducible characters, it is easy to check that they still constitute bases of the complex representation rings.

Note that, for consistency across subgroups, we will pay attention to the order of the Coxeter generators in a subgroup. We write e for the identity element in Γ .

A.1. **Rank 0.** This is the trivial group, with character table given below.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & e \\ \hline \tau & 1 \end{array}$$

TABLE 2. Character table of the trivial group.

A.2. **Rank 1.** A rank 1 Coxeter group is a cyclic group of order 2. Write s_i for its Coxeter generator, then its character table is Table 3 below.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} C_2 & e & s_i \\ \hline \rho_1 & 1 & 1 \\ \rho_2 & 1 & -1 \end{array}$$

TABLE 3. Character table of $\langle s_i \rangle \cong C_2$.

A.3. **Rank 2.** A finite rank 2 Coxeter group with Coxeter generators s_i and s_j is a dihedral group of order $m = m_{ij} \geq 2$,

$$(10) \quad D_m = \langle s_i, s_j \mid s_i^2 = s_j^2 = (s_i s_j)^m \rangle.$$

The character table of this group is given in Table 4 below, where $0 \leq r \leq m-1$, p varies between 1 and $m/2-1$ if m is even or $(m-1)/2$ if m is odd, and the hat $\widehat{}$ denotes a character which appear only when m is even. In order to be consistent, we assume the Coxeter generators are ordered so that $i < j$. If $j < i$, then the character table is identical except that the third and fourth rows (the characters $\widehat{\chi}_3$ and $\widehat{\chi}_4$) are interchanged, since $(s_j s_i)^r = (s_i s_j)^{-r}$ and $s_i (s_j s_i)^r = s_j (s_i s_j)^{-r-1}$.

For the case $m = 2$, that is, $D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$, we will sometimes use the notation coming from the character table of C_2 (Table 3) instead. (Recall that the irreducible characters of a direct product $G \times H$ are obtained from the irreducible characters of G and H as $\rho_i \otimes \tau_j$, where $(\rho_i \otimes \tau_j)(g, h) = \rho_i(g) \cdot \tau_j(h)$.) This gives the notation and

D_m	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$s_j (s_i s_j)^r$
χ_1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1
$\widehat{\chi_3}$	$(-1)^r$	$(-1)^r$
$\widehat{\chi_4}$	$(-1)^r$	$(-1)^{r+1}$
ϕ_p	$2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}\right)$	0

TABLE 4. Character table of $\langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_m$, where $i < j$.

characters in Table 5, which are equivalent to Table 4 with $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 = \chi_1$, $\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 = \chi_4$, $\rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 = \chi_3$ and $\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 = \chi_2$. As before, we assume $i < j$, or, if $j < i$, the third and fourth rows (characters) must be interchanged.

$C_2 \times C_2$	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$
$\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$	1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \rho_1$	1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2$	1	-1	-1	1

TABLE 5. Alternative character table of $\langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$, $i < j$.

We now give the base transformations of the character table of D_m needed later, shown in Tables 6, 7 and 8.

D_2	e	$s_i s_j$	s_i	s_j
$\sum \chi_i$	4	0	0	0
$\chi_2 + \chi_3$	2	0	-2	0
χ_3	1	-1	-1	1
$\chi_3 + \chi_4$	2	-2	0	0

TABLE 6. Base transformation of the character table of D_2 .

A.4. **Rank 3.** A finite rank 3 Coxeter subgroup is one of the spherical triangle groups $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, with $m \geq 2$, $\Delta(2, 3, 3)$, $\Delta(2, 3, 4)$, or $\Delta(2, 3, 5)$, using the notation in (2), or, more compactly, the Coxeter diagrams in Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. Coxeter diagrams of rank 3 spherical Coxeter groups.

In these diagrams, the vertices represent Coxeter generators and the edges are labelled by m_{ij} , with the conventions: no edge if $m_{ij} = 2$, and no label if $m_{ij} = 3$.

D_m	e	s_i	$s_i s_j$	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$(s_i s_j)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$
$\chi_1 + \chi_2 + 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	$2m$	0	0	...	0
$\chi_2 + \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	m	-1	0	...	0
$\sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	$m-1$	0	-1	...	-1
$\vdots \sum_{p=k}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p \vdots$	$m-2k+1$	\vdots	$a_{k,1}$	$a_{k,r}$	$a_{k, \frac{m-1}{2}}$
$\phi_{\frac{m-1}{2}}$	2	0	b_1	b_r	$b_{\frac{m-1}{2}}$

TABLE 7. Base transformation of the character table of D_m , $m \geq 3$ odd. Here, $a_{k,r} := \sum_{p=k}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{\pi(m-1)r}{m})$, and $1 < k, r < \frac{m-1}{2}$.

D_m	e	s_i	$s_i s_j$	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$(s_i s_j)^{\frac{m}{2}}$	$s_j s_i s_j$
$\sum_{p=1}^4 \chi_p + 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	$2m$	0	0	...	0	0
$\chi_2 + \chi_3 + \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	m	0	0	...	0	-2
$\chi_3 + \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	$m-1$	1	-1	...	-1	-1
$\chi_2 + \chi_4 + \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	m	-2	0	...	0	0
$\sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p$	$m-2$	0	0	$-1 - (-1)^r$	$-1 - (-1)^{\frac{m}{2}}$	0
$\vdots \sum_{p=k}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \phi_p \vdots$	$m-2k$	\vdots	$a_{k,1}$	$a_{k,r}$	$2 \sum_{p=k}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} (-1)^p$	0
$\phi_{\frac{m}{2}-1}$	2	0	b_1	b_r	$2(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}-1}$	0

TABLE 8. Base transformation of the character table of D_m , $m \geq 4$ even. Here, $a_{k,r} := \sum_{p=k}^{\frac{m-1}{2}} 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{\pi(m-2)r}{m})$, $1 < k < \frac{m}{2} - 1$ and $1 < r < \frac{m}{2}$.

A.4.1. $\Delta(2, 2, 2)$. This triangle group is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and we have irreducible characters $\rho_{abc} := \rho_a \otimes \rho_b \otimes \rho_c$, $a, b, c \in \{1, 2\}$, from Table 3, listed in Table 9 below, where $\rho_a \otimes \rho_b \otimes \rho_c(x) = \rho_a(x_1) \cdot \rho_b(x_2) \cdot \rho_c(x_3)$ for all $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Here we assume that we have ordered the Coxeter generators s_i, s_j, s_k so that $i < j < k$. Finally, the base transformation of the character table of $\Delta(2, 2, 2)$ needed later is shown in Table 10.

A.4.2. $\Delta(2, 2, m)$ with $m > 2$. This group is isomorphic to $C_2 \times D_m$, and has Coxeter presentation

$$\Delta(2, 2, m) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^2, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^m \rangle,$$

where we have sorted the Coxeter generators s_j and s_k such that $j < k$ (the generator s_i is uniquely determined from the presentation). As a direct product of two groups, the character table of this group can be obtained from those of C_2 (Table 3) and D_m (Table 4). This is shown on Table 11, where T_{D_m} is the matrix of entries of the character table of D_m (Table 4). As explained before, if $k < j$ one needs to swap the characters χ_3 and χ_4 , that is, swap $\rho_1 \otimes \chi_3$ and $\rho_1 \otimes \chi_4$, and $\rho_2 \otimes \chi_3$ and $\rho_2 \otimes \chi_4$.

$C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$	e	s_i	s_j	s_k	$s_i s_j$	$s_i s_k$	$s_j s_k$	$s_i s_j s_k$
$\rho_{111} := \rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \otimes \rho_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_{112} := \rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$	1	1	1	-1	1	-1	-1	-1
$\rho_{121} := \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1$	1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_{122} := \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2$	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
$\rho_{211} := \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \otimes \rho_1$	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_{212} := \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1
$\rho_{221} := \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1$	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_{222} := \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2$	1	-1	-1	-1	1	1	1	-1

TABLE 9. Character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 2, 2) = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, $i < j < k$, from Table 3.

$C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$	e	s_i	s_j	s_k	$s_i s_j$	$s_i s_k$	$s_j s_k$	$s_i s_j s_k$
ρ_{111}	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_{112} - \rho_{111}$	0	0	0	-2	0	-2	-2	-2
$\rho_{121} - \rho_{111}$	0	0	-2	0	-2	0	-2	-2
$\rho_{122} - \rho_{121}$	0	0	0	-2	0	-2	2	2
$\rho_{211} - \rho_{111}$	0	-2	0	0	-2	-2	0	-2
$\rho_{212} - \rho_{211}$	0	0	0	-2	0	2	-2	2
$\rho_{221} - \rho_{121}$	0	-2	0	0	2	-2	0	2
$\rho_{222} - \rho_{221}$	0	0	0	-2	0	2	2	-2

TABLE 10. Base transformation of the character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 2, 2) = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, $i < j < k$.

$\Delta(2, 2, m)$	$(s_j s_k)^r$	$s_k (s_j s_k)^r$	$s_i (s_j s_k)^r$	$s_i s_k (s_j s_k)^r$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	T_{D_m}		T_{D_m}	T_{D_m}
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_2$				
$\rho_1 \otimes \overline{\chi_3}$				
$\rho_1 \otimes \overline{\chi_4}$				
$\rho_1 \otimes \phi_p$				
$\rho_2 \otimes \chi_1$	T_{D_m}		$-T_{D_m}$	
$\rho_2 \otimes \chi_2$				
$\rho_2 \otimes \overline{\chi_3}$				
$\rho_2 \otimes \overline{\chi_4}$				
$\rho_2 \otimes \phi_p$				

TABLE 11. Character table of $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, $m = m_{jk} > 2$, $j < k$.

The corresponding base transformations for $\Delta(2, 2, m) \cong C_2 \times D_m$ are given in Table 12 (m odd), Table 13 ($m \geq 6$ even not a power of 2), and Table 14 ($m \geq 4$ a power of 2).

$D_m \times C_2$	e	s_i	$(s_i s_j)^r$	α	αs_i	$\alpha(s_i s_j)^r$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	-2	0	0	-2	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	b_r	0	0	b_r
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}),$	0	0	$a_{p,r}$	0	0	$a_{p,r}$
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1$	0	0	1	-2	-2	-2
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	0	4	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	0	-4	$-2b_r$
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}),$	0	0	0	0	0	$-2a_{p,r}$

TABLE 12. Base transformation of the character table of $D_m \times C_2$ for $m \geq 3$ odd. Here $a_{p,r} := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}) - 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi(p-1)r}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{m}) - 2$, $2 \leq p \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ and $1 \leq r \leq m-1$.

$D_m \times C_2$	s_i	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$s_j s_i s_j$	αs_i	$\alpha(s_i s_j)^r$	$\alpha s_j s_i s_j$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1)$	-2	0	-2	-2	0	-2
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2)$	2	c_r	0	2	c_r	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_1)$	-2	c_r	0	-2	c_r	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_3 - \chi_1)$	-2	b_r	0	-2	b_r	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \vdots$	0	$a_{p,r}$	0	0	$a_{p,r}$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1$	0	0	0	-2	-2	-2
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	4	0	4
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2)$	0	0	0	-4	$-2c_r$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	0	$-4c_r$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	0	$-2(b_r + c_r)$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1})$	0	0	0	0	$-2a_{p,r}$	0

TABLE 13. Base transformation of the character table of $D_m \times C_2$ for $m \geq 6$ even, not a power of 2. Here $a_{p,r} := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}) - 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi(p-1)r}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{m}) - (-1)^r - 1$, $c_r = (-1)^r - 1$ and $1 < p, r < \frac{m}{2}$.

A.4.3. $\Delta(2, 3, 3)$. This triangle group has Coxeter presentation

$$(11) \quad \Delta(2, 3, 3) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^3, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^3 \rangle.$$

This group is, in standard notation, A_3 , and it is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_4 , with Coxeter generators $s_i = (1\ 2)$, $s_j = (2\ 3)$ and $s_k = (3\ 4)$, for instance. Then we have conjugacy classes (cycle types in S_4) represented, in terms of the Coxeter generators, by $s_i = (1\ 2)$, $s_i s_j = (1\ 3\ 2)$, $s_i s_j s_k = (1\ 4\ 3\ 2)$ and $s_i s_k = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)$. In particular, we have the character table for $\Delta(2, 3, 3)$ shown in Table 15 below. In this case, the Coxeter generators are unique up to conjugation since $\text{Out}(S_4)$ is trivial, hence the choice of s_i and s_k does not affect the character table.

The required base transformation of the character table Table 15 is given in Table 16.

A.4.4. $\Delta(2, 3, 4)$. This triangle group has Coxeter presentation

$$(12) \quad \Delta(2, 3, 4) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^3, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^4 \rangle,$$

$D_m \times C_2$	s_i	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$s_j s_i s_j$	αs_i	$\alpha(s_i s_j)^r$	$\alpha s_j s_i s_j$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1)$	-2	0	-2	-2	0	-2
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2)$	0	c_r	-2	0	c_r	-2
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_1)$	0	c_r	2	0	c_r	2
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_3 - \chi_1)$	0	b_r	0	0	b_r	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \vdots$	0	$a_{p,r}$	0	0	$a_{p,r}$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1$	0	0	0	-2	-2	-2
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	4	0	4
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2)$	0	0	0	0	$-2c_r$	4
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	0	$-4c_r$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1)$	0	0	0	0	$-2b_r$	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1})$	0	0	0	0	$-2a_{p,r}$	0

TABLE 14. Base transformation of the character table of $D_m \times C_2$ for $m \geq 4$ even a power of 2. Here $a_{p,r} := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}) - 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi(p-1)r}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{m}) - 2$, $c_r = (-1)^r - 1$ and $1 < p, r < \frac{m}{2}$.

$\Delta(2, 3, 3)$	e	s_i	$s_i s_j$	$s_i s_j s_k$	$s_i s_k$
ξ_1	1	1	1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1	1	-1	1
ξ_3	2	0	-1	0	2
ξ_4	3	1	0	-1	-1
ξ_5	3	-1	0	1	-1

TABLE 15. Character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 3) \cong S_4$.

S_4	e	s_i	$s_i s_j$	$s_i s_j s_k$	$s_i s_k$
ξ_1	1	1	1	1	1
$\tilde{\xi}_2 := \xi_2 - \xi_1$	0	-2	0	-2	0
$\tilde{\xi}_3 := \xi_3 - \xi_2 - \xi_1$	0	0	-3	0	0
$\tilde{\xi}_4 := \xi_4 - \xi_3 - \xi_1$	0	0	0	-2	-4
$\tilde{\xi}_5 := \xi_5 - \xi_4 - \xi_2 + \xi_1$	0	0	0	4	0

TABLE 16. Base transformation of the character table of $\Delta(2, 3, 3) \cong S_4$.

and the Coxeter generators are uniquely determined from the presentation. In standard notation, this is the finite Coxeter group B_3 (or C_3). This group is isomorphic to $S_4 \times C_2$ with Coxeter generators, for instance, $s_i = (1\ 2)\alpha$, $s_j = (1\ 3)\alpha$ and $s_k = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)\alpha$, where α is the generator of the C_2 factor. We can choose representatives of the conjugacy classes in terms of the Coxeter generators, for

example,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
e \sim e & \alpha \sim (s_i s_j s_k)^3 \\
(1\ 2) \sim s_i s_k & (1\ 2)\alpha \sim s_i \\
(1\ 2\ 3) \sim s_i s_j & (1\ 2\ 3)\alpha \sim s_i s_j s_k \\
(1\ 2\ 3\ 4) \sim s_j s_k & (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)\alpha \sim s_i (s_j s_k)^2 \\
(1\ 2)(3\ 4) \sim (s_j s_k)^2 & (1\ 2)(3\ 4)\alpha \sim s_k
\end{array}$$

where ‘ \sim ’ means ‘conjugate’. Using this representatives, and the fact that $\Delta(2, 3, 4)$ is a direct product, the character table of this group can be written as in Table 17 below, where T_{S_4} is the matrix of coefficients of the character table of S_4 (Table 15).

$S_4 \times C_2$	e	$s_i s_k$	$s_i s_j$	$s_j s_k$	$(s_j s_k)^2$	$(s_i s_j s_k)^3$	s_i	$s_i s_j s_k$	$s_i (s_j s_k)^2$	s_k
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	T_{S_4}					T_{S_4}				
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$										
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$										
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$										
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	T_{S_4}					$-T_{S_4}$				
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$										

TABLE 17. Character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 4) = S_4 \times C_2$.

The base transformation needed is given in Table 18, where $\{\tilde{\xi}_i\}$ is the transformed basis of the character table of S_4 , Table 16.

A.4.5. $\Delta(2, 3, 5)$. This group has Coxeter presentation

$$(13) \quad \Delta(2, 3, 5) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^3, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^5 \rangle$$

and it is isomorphic to $A_5 \times C_2$ with Coxeter generators $s_i = (1\ 2)(3\ 5)\alpha$, $s_j = (1\ 2)(3\ 4)\alpha$, $s_k = (1\ 5)(2\ 3)\alpha$, for example. In standard notation, this is the exceptional finite Coxeter group H_3 .

Remark 5. These Coxeter generators are *not* unique, since $\text{Out}(A_5 \times C_2) \cong C_2$. There are then two sets of Coxeter generators up to conjugation, the other one given by conjugation by a suitable $g \in S_5 \setminus A_5$, for instance, conjugating by $g = (2\ 4)$: $s'_i = (1\ 4)(3\ 5)\alpha$, $s'_j = (1\ 4)(2\ 3)\alpha$, $s'_k = (1\ 5)(3\ 4)\alpha$. In the first case $s_j s_k = (1\ 3\ 4\ 2\ 5)$, conjugated to $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)$, and in the second case $s'_j s'_k = (1\ 3\ 2\ 4\ 5)$, conjugated to $(1\ 3\ 2\ 4\ 5)$, which represent different conjugacy classes in A_5 .

$S_4 \times C_2$	(1)	(12)	(123)	(1234)	(12)(34)	α	$\alpha(12)$	$\alpha(123)$	$\alpha(1234)$	$\alpha(12)(34)$
α_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
α_2	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	-2	0	-2	0
α_3	0	0	-3	0	0	0	0	-3	0	0
α_4	0	-2	0	4	-4	2	0	2	6	-2
α_5	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
α_6	0	2	0	2	0	-2	0	-2	0	-2
α_7	0	-4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
α_8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
α_9	0	0	0	6	-4	4	0	4	-6	8
α_{10}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-8	0

where $\alpha_1 := \rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$, $\alpha_2 := \rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$, $\alpha_3 := \rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$, $\alpha_4 := \rho_1 \otimes (\xi_4 + 2\xi_5) - (\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1 - \rho_1 \otimes \xi_2)$,
 $\alpha_5 := \rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$, $\alpha_6 := \rho_2 \otimes \xi_1 - \rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$, $\alpha_7 := \rho_2 \otimes \xi_2 - \rho_2 \otimes \xi_1 + \rho_1 \otimes (\xi_5 - \xi_4)$, $\alpha_8 := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \xi_3$,
 $\alpha_9 := \rho_2 \otimes (\xi_4 + 2\xi_5) + (\rho_1 - \rho_2) \otimes (\xi_1 + \xi_2)$, $\alpha_{10} := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \xi_5$.

TABLE 18. Base transformation of the character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 4) = S_4 \times C_2$.

A character table for the alternating group A_5 reads as follows.

A_5	e	(1 2 3)	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 3 4 5 2)
ξ_1	1	1	1	1	1
ξ_2	4	1	0	-1	-1
ξ_3	5	-1	1	0	0
ξ_4	3	0	-1	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
ξ_5	3	0	-1	$\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$

If we call T_{A_5} the matrix of coefficients of this table then the character table of $\Delta(2, 3, 5)$ is given by Table 19, where we have used the following representatives of the conjugacy classes in terms of the Coxeter generators (‘ \sim ’ means ‘conjugate’)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
e \sim e & \alpha \sim (s_i s_j s_k)^5 \\
(1\ 2\ 3) \sim s_i s_j & (1\ 2\ 3)\alpha \sim s_i (s_j s_k)^2 \\
(1\ 2)(3\ 4) \sim s_i s_k & (1\ 2)(3\ 4)\alpha \sim s_i \\
(1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5) \sim s_j s_k & (1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5)\alpha \sim s_i s_j s_k \\
(1\ 2\ 3\ 5\ 4) \sim (s_i s_j s_k)^4 & (1\ 2\ 3\ 5\ 4)\alpha \sim s_j s_i s_k
\end{array}$$

Remark 6. With the non-conjugate set of Coxeter generators s'_i, s'_j, s'_k (see Remark 5), we get the analogous set of representatives except swapping the obvious ones, that is, $s_j s_k \sim (s'_i s'_j s'_k)^4$, $(s_i s_j s_k)^4 \sim s'_j s'_k$, $(s_i s_j s_k)^5 s_j s_k \sim s'_i s'_j s'_k$ and $s_i s_j s_k \sim (s'_i s'_j s'_k)^5 s'_j s'_k$. On the character table this amounts to interchanging $\rho_i \otimes \xi_4$ with $\rho_i \otimes \xi_5$ for $i = 1$ and 2 .

$A_5 \times C_2$	e	$s_i s_j$	$s_i s_k$	$s_j s_k$	$(s_i s_j s_k)^4$	$(s_i s_j s_k)^5$	$s_i (s_j s_k)^2$	s_i	$s_i s_j s_k$	$s_j s_i s_k$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$										
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$										
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$					T_{A_5}				T_{A_5}	
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$										
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$					T_{A_5}				$-T_{A_5}$	
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$										
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$										

TABLE 19. Character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 5) = A_5 \times C_2$.

For the base transformation required, first note that the character table for the alternating group A_5 above can be transformed as follows,

A_5	e	(1 2 3)	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 3 4 5 2)
ξ_1	1	1	1	1	1
$\tilde{\xi}_2 := \xi_2 - 4\xi_1$	0	-3	-4	-5	-5
$\tilde{\xi}_3 := \xi_3 - \xi_2 - \xi_1$	0	-3	0	0	0
$\tilde{\xi}_4 := \xi_4 - \xi_2 + \xi_1$	0	0	0	$\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
$\tilde{\xi}_5 := \xi_5 + \xi_4 - \xi_1 - \xi_3$	0	0	-4	0	0

and then

A_5	e	(1 2 3)	(1 2)(3 4)	(1 2 3 4 5)	(1 3 4 5 2)
ξ_1	1	1	1	1	1
$\tilde{\tilde{\xi}}_2 := \tilde{\xi}_2 - \tilde{\xi}_3 - \tilde{\xi}_5$	0	0	0	-5	-5
$\tilde{\xi}_3$	0	-3	0	0	0
$\tilde{\xi}_4$	0	0	0	$\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
ξ_5	0	0	-4	0	0

With this notation, the required base transformation is given in Table 20.

APPENDIX B. INDUCTION HOMOMORPHISMS

In this Appendix, we compute all possible induction homomorphisms $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ appearing in the Bredon chain complex (6). That is, G is a finite Coxeter subgroup of Γ of rank n generated by $n \leq 3$ of the Coxeter generators (1), and H is a subgroup of G generated by a subset of exactly $n - 1$ of those Coxeter generators.

We give explicit induction homomorphisms with respect to the standard character tables, and also with respect to the transformed bases in Appendix A for rank 3 subgroups, as this is needed for the simultaneous base transformation argument in the linear algebra proof that $H_0^{\tilde{\delta}^{\text{in}}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion-free (Section 5).

We implicitly use the character tables and notation in Appendix A, and Frobenius reciprocity [20], throughout this Appendix. We also note that Frobenius reciprocity extends linearly:

$A_5 \times C_2$	e	$s_i s_j$	$s_i s_k$	$s_j s_k$	$(s_i s_j s_k)^4$	$(s_i s_j s_k)^5$	$s_i (s_j s_k)^2$	s_i	$s_i s_j s_k$	$s_j s_i s_k$
β_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
β_2	0	0	0	$\sqrt{5}$	$-\sqrt{5}$	0	0	0	$\sqrt{5}$	$-\sqrt{5}$
β_3	0	-3	0	0	0	0	-3	0	0	0
β_4	0	0	0	$\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$
β_5	0	0	-4	0	0	4	4	0	4	4
β_6	0	0	0	0	0	-2	-2	-2	-2	-2
β_7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10
β_8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
β_9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$-5 - \sqrt{5}$	$-5 + \sqrt{5}$
β_{10}	0	0	0	0	0	-8	-8	0	-8	-8

where $\beta_1 := \rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$, $\beta_2 := \rho_1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}_2 + 2\rho_1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}_4$, $\beta_3 := \rho_1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}_3$, $\beta_4 := \rho_1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}_4$,
 $\beta_5 := \rho_1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}_5 - 2(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \xi_1$, $\beta_6 := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \xi_1$, $\beta_7 := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \tilde{\xi}_2$, $\beta_8 := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \tilde{\xi}_3$,
 $\beta_9 := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \tilde{\xi}_4$, $\beta_{10} := (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\tilde{\xi}_5 + 4\xi_1)$.

TABLE 20. Base transformation of the character table of $\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 5) = A_5 \times C_2$.

Lemma 4. *If H is a subgroup of a finite group G and ϕ and η , respectively τ and π , are representations of G , respectively H , then*

$$(\phi \downarrow + \xi \downarrow | \tau + \pi) = (\phi + \xi | \tau \uparrow + \pi \uparrow).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \downarrow + \xi \downarrow | \tau + \pi) &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} (\phi \downarrow + \xi \downarrow)(h) \cdot \overline{(\tau + \pi)(h)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} (\phi \downarrow \cdot \bar{\tau} + \xi \downarrow \cdot \bar{\tau} + \phi \downarrow \cdot \bar{\pi} + \xi \downarrow \cdot \bar{\pi})(h) \end{aligned}$$

which by Frobenius reciprocity on irreducible characters equals

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\phi \cdot \bar{\tau} \uparrow + \xi \cdot \bar{\tau} \uparrow + \phi \cdot \bar{\pi} \uparrow + \xi \cdot \bar{\pi} \uparrow)(h) = (\phi + \xi | \tau \uparrow + \pi \uparrow). \quad \square$$

B.1. Rank 1. The only induction homomorphism in this case is

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(\{e\}) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i \rangle)$$

which must be the regular representation $\tau \mapsto \rho_1 + \rho_2$ shown, in terms of free abelian groups, in Figure 7.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbb{C}}(\{e\}) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(C_2) \\ \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ a &\mapsto (a, a) \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 7. Induction homomorphism from $H = \{e\}$ to $G = \langle s_i \rangle \cong C_2$.

B.2. Rank 2. In this case G is a dihedral group with the presentation

$$G = \langle s_i, s_j \mid s_i^2 = s_j^2 = (s_i s_j)^m \rangle,$$

where $m = m_{ij}$ and we assume $i < j$. Consider first the case $H = \langle s_i \rangle$. The characters of D_m (Table 5) restricted to H are (note that $s_i = s_j (s_i s_j)^{m-1}$)

$D_m \downarrow$	e	s_i
χ_1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1
$\widehat{\chi}_3$	1	-1
$\widehat{\chi}_4$	1	1
ϕ_p	2	0

Multiplying with the rows of the character table of $H \cong C_2$ (Table 3) we obtain the induced representations

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \uparrow &= \chi_1 + \widehat{\chi}_4 + \sum \phi_p, \\ \rho_2 \uparrow &= \chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_3 + \sum \phi_p. \end{aligned}$$

The other case is when $H = \langle s_j \rangle$. This is analogous, but note that, in order to keep the notation consistent with Table 4, the characters χ_3 and χ_4 must be interchanged in the even case. Specifically, we have now $s_j = s_i (s_i s_j)^0$ and hence

$D_m \downarrow$	e	s_j
χ_1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1
$\widehat{\chi}_3$	1	1
$\widehat{\chi}_4$	1	-1
ϕ_p	2	0

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 \uparrow &= \chi_1 + \widehat{\chi}_3 + \sum \phi_p, \\ \rho_2 \uparrow &= \chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_4 + \sum \phi_p. \end{aligned}$$

All in all, as maps of free abelian groups, we have that the induction homomorphisms $R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ shown in Figure 8.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\ \mathbb{Z}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{c(D_m)} \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, b, \widehat{b}, \widehat{a}, a+b, \dots, a+b) \quad \text{for } H = \langle s_i \rangle, \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, b, \widehat{a}, \widehat{b}, a+b, \dots, a+b) \quad \text{for } H = \langle s_j \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 8. Induction homomorphisms from H to $G = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_m$, $m = m_{ij}$ and $i < j$.

B.3. Rank 3. We compute each case individually.

B.3.1. $G = \Delta(2, 2, 2)$. This group is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, and has Coxeter generators s_i, s_j, s_k where $i < j < k$. We compute the induction homomorphisms for the Coxeter subgroups $\langle s_i, s_j \rangle, \langle s_i, s_k \rangle$ and $\langle s_j, s_k \rangle$, all three direct factors of G and isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$. Using the bases of $R_{\mathbb{C}}(C_2 \times C_2)$ and $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\Delta(2, 2, 2))$ induced from C_2 (Tables 5 and 9), we immediately obtain the induction homomorphisms shown, as maps of free abelian groups, shown in Figure 9.

Remark 7. Recall how to the induction homomorphism works from a group A to direct product $A \times B$: if ρ is a representation of A then $\text{Ind}_A^{A \times B}(\rho) = \rho \otimes r_B$, where r_B is the regular representation of B .

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) & \rightarrow & R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
 \mathbb{Z}^4 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^8 \\
 (a, b, c, d) & \mapsto & (a, a, b, b, c, c, d, d) \quad \text{for } H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle, \\
 (a, b, c, d) & \mapsto & (a, b, a, b, c, d, c, d) \quad \text{for } H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle, \\
 (a, b, c, d) & \mapsto & (a, b, c, d, a, b, c, d) \quad \text{for } H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle.
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 9. Induction homomorphisms from H to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 2, 2) = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, and $i < j < k$.

On the other hand, with respect to the transformed bases (Tables 6 and 10 in Appendix A), the induction homomorphisms take the form shown in Tables 21, 22, and 23. Note that, for the transformed bases, we show the restricted characters, and the induced map, in two adjacent tables separated by double vertical bars.

$\langle s_j, s_k \rangle \hookrightarrow C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$	e	s_j	s_k	$s_j s_k$	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \chi_3)$	$(\cdot \chi_3 + \chi_4)$	$(\cdot \chi_4)$
$\rho_{111} \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_{112} - \rho_{111} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$\rho_{121} - \rho_{111} \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	1	1
$\rho_{122} - \rho_{121} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	2	0	1	0	-1
$\rho_{211} - \rho_{111} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_{212} - \rho_{211} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$\rho_{221} - \rho_{121} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_{222} - \rho_{221} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	2	0	1	0	-1

TABLE 21. Restricted characters and induced map $\langle s_j, s_k \rangle \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, 2) = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.

B.3.2. $G = \Delta(2, 2, m)$, $m > 2$. This group is isomorphic to $C_2 \times D_m$ with Coxeter presentation

$$\Delta(2, 2, m) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^2, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^m \rangle,$$

We have three relevant Coxeter subgroups H , which we treat separately. In each case, we restrict the characters of G (Table 11) to the subgroup H and then use Frobenius reciprocity to write the induced characters of H into G in terms of the characters of G .

$\langle s_i, s_k \rangle \hookrightarrow C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$	e	s_i	s_k	$s_i s_k$	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \chi_3)$	$(\cdot \chi_3 + \chi_4)$	$(\cdot \chi_4)$
$\rho_{111} \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_{112} - \rho_{111} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$\rho_{121} - \rho_{111} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_{122} - \rho_{121} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$\rho_{211} - \rho_{111} \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	1	1
$\rho_{212} - \rho_{211} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	2	0	1	0	-1
$\rho_{221} - \rho_{121} \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	1	1
$\rho_{222} - \rho_{221} \downarrow$	0	0	-2	2	0	1	0	-1

TABLE 22. Restricted characters and induced map $\langle s_i, s_k \rangle \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, 2) = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.

$\langle s_i, s_j \rangle \hookrightarrow C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \chi_3)$	$(\cdot \chi_3 + \chi_4)$	$(\cdot \chi_4)$
$\rho_{111} \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$(\rho_{112} - \rho_{111}) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_{121} - \rho_{111}) \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$(\rho_{122} - \rho_{121}) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_{211} - \rho_{111}) \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	1	1
$(\rho_{212} - \rho_{211}) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_{221} - \rho_{121}) \downarrow$	0	-2	0	2	0	0	1	0
$(\rho_{222} - \rho_{221}) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 23. Restricted characters and induced map $D_2 \cong \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, 2) = C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$.

Case 1: $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$.

The elements e, s_i, s_j and $s_i s_j$ of H are obtained from the 1st, 3rd, 2nd and 4th column of Table 11 for r equals 0, 0, $n-1$ and $n-1$ respectively, giving the restrictions (by abuse of notation we indicate the restricted character with the same symbols):

$C_2 \times D_m \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_2$	1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi_3}$	1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi_4}$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \phi_p$	2	2	0	0
$\rho_2 \otimes \chi_1$	1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \chi_2$	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \widehat{\chi_3}$	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \widehat{\chi_4}$	1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \phi_p$	2	-2	0	0

Now we use Frobenius reciprocity (multiply the rows of the character tables of H with those of $G \downarrow H$ above, and divide by $|H| = 4$) to obtain the coefficients of the induced irreducible representations of H in G in terms of the irreducible representations of G . If $i < j$ then the character table of H is the one given in Table 5, but if $j < i$ we should interchange the second and third characters (rows) in that

table. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 + \widehat{\rho_1 \otimes \chi_4} + \sum \rho_1 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_2 + \widehat{\rho_1 \otimes \chi_3} + \sum \rho_1 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \rho_2 \otimes \chi_1 + \widehat{\rho_2 \otimes \chi_4} + \sum \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \rho_2 \otimes \chi_2 + \widehat{\rho_2 \otimes \chi_3} + \sum \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p\end{aligned}$$

if $i < j$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 + \widehat{\rho_1 \otimes \chi_4} + \sum \rho_1 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \rho_2 \otimes \chi_1 + \widehat{\rho_2 \otimes \chi_4} + \sum \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_2 + \widehat{\rho_1 \otimes \chi_3} + \sum \rho_1 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \rho_2 \otimes \chi_2 + \widehat{\rho_2 \otimes \chi_3} + \sum \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p\end{aligned}$$

if $j < i$. Equivalently, as a map of free abelian groups, we have the homomorphisms shown in Figure 10.

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\ \mathbb{Z}^4 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2-c(D_m)} \\ (a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, b, \widehat{a}, a+b, \dots, a+b, c, d, \widehat{c}, c+d, \dots, c+d) \text{ if } i < j, \\ (a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, c, \widehat{a}, a+c, \dots, a+c, b, d, \widehat{b}, b+d, \dots, b+d) \text{ if } j < i.\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 10. Induction homomorphisms from $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 2, m) = C_2 \times D_m$, $m = m_{jk} > 2$, and $j < k$.

Case 2: $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$.

Restricting Table 11 to H (1st, 3rd, 2nd and 4th column for k equals 0) we get

$C_2 \times D_m \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_k	$s_i s_k$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_2$	1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi_3}$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi_4}$	1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \phi_p$	2	2	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$	1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_2$	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi_3}$	1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi_4}$	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \phi_p$	2	-2	0	0

Via Frobenius reciprocity we obtain, assuming first $i < k$,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 + \widehat{\rho_1 \otimes \chi_3} + \sum \rho_1 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_2 + \widehat{\rho_1 \otimes \chi_4} + \sum \rho_1 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \rho_2 \otimes \chi_1 + \widehat{\rho_2 \otimes \chi_3} + \sum \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p \\ \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \rho_2 \otimes \chi_2 + \widehat{\rho_2 \otimes \chi_4} + \sum \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p\end{aligned}$$

If $k < i$, the calculation is the same but we must interchange again the 2nd and 3rd generators. All in all, we have the homomorphisms shown in Figure 11 as maps between free abelian groups.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^4 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2 \cdot c(D_m)} \\
(a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, b, \widehat{a}, \widehat{b}, a+b, \dots, a+b, c, d, \widehat{c}, \widehat{d}, c+d, \dots, c+d) \text{ if } i < k, \\
(a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, c, \widehat{a}, \widehat{c}, a+c, \dots, a+c, b, d, \widehat{b}, \widehat{d}, b+d, \dots, b+d) \text{ if } k < i.
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 11. Induction homomorphisms from $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 2, m) = C_2 \times D_m$, $m = m_{jk} > 2$, and $j < k$.

Case 3: $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_m$

In this case the condition $j < k$ already holds. Restricting Table 11 to H (the first two columns)

$C_2 \times D_m \downarrow$	$(s_j s_k)^r$	$s_k (s_j s_k)^r$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1$		T_m
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_2$		
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi}_3$		
$\rho_1 \otimes \widehat{\chi}_4$		
$\rho_1 \otimes \phi_p$		
$\rho_2 \otimes \chi_1$		T_m
$\rho_2 \otimes \chi_2$		
$\rho_2 \otimes \widehat{\chi}_3$		
$\rho_2 \otimes \widehat{\chi}_4$		
$\rho_2 \otimes \phi_p$		

where T_m are the coefficients of the character table of D_m as in Table 4. This immediately gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_i \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \chi_i + \rho_2 \otimes \chi_i \text{ for all } i, \text{ and} \\
\phi_p \uparrow &= \rho_1 \otimes \phi_i + \rho_2 \otimes \phi_p \text{ for all } p.
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, as a map of free abelian groups, this induction homomorphism is the one given in Figure 12.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^{c(D_m)} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2 \cdot c(D_m)} \\
(a, b, \widehat{c}, \widehat{d}, r_1, \dots, r_N) &\mapsto (a, b, \widehat{c}, \widehat{d}, r_1, \dots, r_N, a, b, \widehat{c}, \widehat{d}, r_1, \dots, r_N).
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 12. Induction homomorphisms from $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_m$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 2, m) = C_2 \times D_m$, $m = m_{jk} > 2$, and $j < k$.

Finally, we compute the induction homomorphisms with respect to the transformed basis (Tables 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14 in Appendix A), summarising the results in Tables 24 to 29.

B.3.3. $G = \Delta(2, 3, 3)$. This group is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_4 with Coxeter presentation

$$(14) \quad \Delta(2, 3, 3) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^3, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^3 \rangle$$

$D_m \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	s_i	$(s_i s_j)^r$	α_1	α_2	α_3	β_k
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	-2	0	0	1	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	b_r	0	0	1	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	$a_{p,r}$	0	0	0	$\delta_{p,k}$
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0

where $\alpha_1 := \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \chi_\ell + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{(m-1)/2} \phi_\ell$, $\alpha_2 := \chi_2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{(m-1)/2} \phi_\ell$, $\alpha_3 := \sum_{\ell=1}^{(m-1)/2} \phi_\ell$, $\beta_k := \sum_{\ell=k}^{(m-1)/2} \phi_\ell$.

TABLE 24. Restricted characters and map induced by $D_m \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, m) = D_m \times C_2$ for $m \geq 3$ odd. Here $a_{p,r} := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}) - 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi(p-1)r}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{m}) - 2$, $\delta_{p,k}$ the Kronecker delta, $2 \leq p, k \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$ and $0 \leq r \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$.

$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	e	s_i	α	αs_i	$\sum \chi_i$	$\chi_2 + \widehat{\chi_3}$	$\widehat{\chi_3}$	$\widehat{\chi_3} + \widehat{\chi_4}$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	0	1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 25. Restricted characters and map induced by the two inclusions $D_2 \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, m) = D_m \times C_2$, for $m \geq 3$ odd. Here $2 \leq p \leq \frac{m-1}{2}$.

and we assume $i < k$. We have again three relevant Coxeter subgroups H .

Case 1: $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_3$

The expanded character table for D_3 (from Table 4), assuming first $i < j$, is

D_3	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$	$s_j s_i$	$s_i s_j s_i$
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
ϕ_1	2	0	0	-1	-1	0

There are 3 conjugacy classes, $\{e\}$, $\{s_i, s_j, s_i s_j s_i = s_j s_i s_j\}$ and $\{s_i s_j, s_j s_i\}$, which remain unchanged if we swap s_i and s_j , hence if $j < i$ the table stays the same and we do not have to treat those two cases separately. The character table of G (Table 15) restricted to H consists on the 1st, 2nd, 2nd, 3rd, 3rd, 2nd columns

$D_m \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	s_i	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$s_j s_i s_j$	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	β_k
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	-2	0	-2	0	1	0	1	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0	c_r	-2	0	0	1	-1	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	-2	c_r	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	-2	b_r	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	$a_{p,r}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\delta_{p,k}$
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	$\mathbf{0}$			$\mathbf{0}$					
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$									
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$									
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$									
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$									
$\vdots (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$									

where $\alpha_1 := \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \chi_\ell + 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_p$, $\alpha_2 := \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$, $\alpha_3 := \chi_3 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$, $\alpha_4 := \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$,
 $\alpha_5 := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$, $\beta_k := \sum_{\ell=k}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$.

TABLE 26. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusion $D_m \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, m) = D_m \times C_2$, for $m \geq 6$ even, not a power of 2. Here $a_{p,r} := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}) - 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi(p-1)r}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{m}) - (-1)^r - 1$, $c_r := (-1)^r - 1$, $\delta_{p,k}$ the Kronecker delta, $2 \leq p, k \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$, and $0 \leq r \leq \frac{m}{2}$.

$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	e	$s_j s_i s_j$	α	$\alpha s_j s_i s_j$	$\sum \chi_i$	$\chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_3$	$\widehat{\chi}_3$	$\widehat{\chi}_3 + \widehat{\chi}_4$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	0	1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 27. Restricted characters and map induced by the two inclusions $D_2 \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, m) = D_m \times C_2$, for $m \geq 2$ even, not a power of 2. Here $2 \leq p \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$. Our choice on the generators is $(12)(34) \mapsto s_j s_i s_j$ and $(12) \mapsto \alpha$; any other choice yields an equivalent matrix.

(since $s_i \sim s_j$, $s_j s_i \sim s_i s_j$ and $s_i s_j s_i \sim s_i$):

$S_4 \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$	$s_j s_i$	$s_i s_j s_i$
ξ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
ξ_3	2	0	0	-1	-1	0
ξ_4	3	1	1	0	0	1
ξ_5	3	-1	-1	0	0	-1

$D_m \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	s_i	$(s_i s_j)^r$	$s_j s_i s_j$	α_1	α_2	α_3	α_4	α_5	β_k
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	-2	0	-2	0	1	0	1	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0	c_r	-2	0	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	c_r	2	0	-1	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	b_r	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \quad \vdots$	0	$a_{p,r}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\delta_{p,k}$
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	0			0					
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$									
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_2) \downarrow$									
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$									
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$									
$\vdots (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \quad \vdots$									

where $\alpha_1 := \sum_{\ell=1}^4 \chi_\ell + 2 \sum_{p}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_p$, $\alpha_2 := \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$, $\alpha_3 := \chi_3 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$, $\alpha_4 := \chi_2 + \chi_4 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$,
 $\alpha_5 := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$, $\beta_k := \sum_{\ell=k}^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \phi_\ell$.

TABLE 28. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusion $D_m \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, m) = D_m \times C_2$, for $m \geq 4$ a power of 2. Here $a_{p,r} := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi pr}{m}) - 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi(p-1)r}{m})$, $b_r := 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{m}) - 2$, $c_r := (-1)^r - 1$, $\delta_{p,k}$ the Kronecker delta, $2 \leq p, k \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$ where $1 < r < \frac{m}{2}$ and $1 < k < \frac{m}{2} - 1$.

$D_2 \hookrightarrow D_m \times C_2$	e	s_i	α	αs_i	$\sum \chi_i$	$\chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_3$	$\widehat{\chi}_3$	$\widehat{\chi}_3 + \widehat{\chi}_4$
$\rho_1 \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	0	0	1
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\chi_4 - \chi_2) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots \rho_1 \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \quad \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes \chi_1 \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	1	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_3 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_3 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_1 - \chi_2 - \chi_1) \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\vdots (\rho_2 - \rho_1) \otimes (\phi_p - \phi_{p-1}) \downarrow \quad \vdots$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 29. Restricted characters and map induced by the two inclusions $D_2 \hookrightarrow \Delta(2, 2, m) = D_m \times C_2$, for $m \geq 4$ a power of 2. Here $2 \leq p \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$. Our choice on the generators is (12)(34) $\mapsto s_i$ and (12) $\mapsto \alpha$, and any other choice yields an equivalent matrix.

Multiplying rows and dividing by $|H| = 6$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 \uparrow &= \xi_1 + \xi_4 \\ \chi_2 \uparrow &= \xi_2 + \xi_5 \\ \phi_1 \uparrow &= \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we have the map of free abelian groups shown in Figure 13.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^5 \\
(a, b, c) &\mapsto (a, b, c, a + c, b + c).
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 13. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_3$ or $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_3$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 3) = S_4$, and $i < k$.

Case 2: $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_3$

This case is completely analogous to the previous one, so we obtain the same map, also shown in Figure 13.

Case 3: $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$

The character table of G (Table 15) restricted to H consists on the 1st, 2nd, 2nd, 5th columns:

$S_4 \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_k	$s_i s_k$
ξ_1	1	1	1	1
ξ_2	1	-1	-1	1
ξ_3	2	0	0	2
ξ_4	3	1	1	-1
ξ_5	3	-1	-1	-1

Multiplying the rows with the characters of H (Table 5) and dividing by $|H| = 4$ we obtain (note that $i < k$ already holds)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\
\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
\rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow &= \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow &= \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_5
\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, this is the homomorphism of abelian groups shown in Figure 14. Note that this is the first time that of an induction homomorphism with nontrivial kernel.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^4 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^5 \\
(a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, d, a + d, a + b + c, b + c + d).
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 14. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 3) = S_4$, and $i < k$.

Now we give the induction homomorphisms with respect to the transformed bases (Tables 6, 7, 16 in Appendix A), summarised in Table 30.

B.3.4. $G = \Delta(2, 3, 4)$. This group is isomorphic to $S_4 \times C_2$ with Coxeter presentation

$$\Delta(2, 3, 4) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^3, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^4 \rangle.$$

The three relevant induction homomorphism are as follows.

Case 1: $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$

$D_2 \hookrightarrow S_4$	(1)	(1 2)	(1 2)(3 4)	$(\tilde{\xi}_i \sum \chi_i)$	$(\tilde{\xi}_i \chi_2 + \chi_3)$	$(\tilde{\xi}_i \chi_3)$	$(\tilde{\xi}_i \chi_4)$
$\tilde{\xi}_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\tilde{\xi}_2 \downarrow$	0	-2	0	0	1	0	0
$\tilde{\xi}_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\tilde{\xi}_4 \downarrow$	0	0	-4	0	0	1	1
$\tilde{\xi}_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$D_3 \hookrightarrow S_4$	(1)	(12)	(123)	$(\tilde{\xi}_i 2\phi_1 + \sum \chi_i)$	$(\tilde{\xi}_i \chi_2 + \phi_1)$	$(\tilde{\xi}_i \phi_1)$	
$\tilde{\xi}_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	0	0	
$\tilde{\xi}_2 \downarrow$	0	-2	0	0	1	0	
$\tilde{\xi}_3 \downarrow$	0	0	-3	0	0	1	
$\tilde{\xi}_4 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	
$\tilde{\xi}_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	

where $\tilde{\xi}_1 := \xi_1$, $\tilde{\xi}_2 := \xi_2 - \xi_1$, $\tilde{\xi}_3 := \xi_3 - \xi_2 - \xi_1$, $\tilde{\xi}_4 := \xi_4 - \xi_3 - \xi_1$, $\tilde{\xi}_5 := \xi_5 - \xi_4 - \xi_2 + \xi_1$.

TABLE 30. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusions of D_2 and D_3 into S_4 . The second inclusion $\langle (23), (34) \rangle \cong D_3 \hookrightarrow S_4$ induces the same map as the first one, because $(23) \sim (12)$ and $(234) \sim (123)$.

The character table of G (Table 17) restricted to H consists on the 1st, 7th, 10th and 2nd columns:

$S_4 \times C_2 \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_k	$s_i s_k$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$	1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$	2	0	2	0
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$	3	1	-1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$	3	-1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$	1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$	2	0	-2	0
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$	3	-1	1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$	3	1	1	-1

Suppose first that $i < k$. Multiplying these rows with the rows of Table 5 we deduce that (note the shortcut in notation)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \rho_1 \otimes _ & \rho_2 \otimes _ \\
 \rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \quad \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
 \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \quad \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_5 \\
 \rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_5 + \quad \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
 \rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \quad \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4
 \end{array}$$

On the other hand, if $k < i$, then we should interchange the 2nd and 3rd generators. All in all, we have the homomorphisms of free abelian groups shown in Figure 15.

Case 2: $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_3$

The characters of G (Table 17) restricted to H consists on the 1st, 7th, 7th, 3rd,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^4 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{10} \\
(a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, c, a+c, a+b+d, b+c+d, d, b, b+d, a+c+d, a+b+c) \text{ if } i < k, \\
(a, b, c, d) &\mapsto (a, b, a+b, a+c+d, b+c+d, d, c, c+d, a+b+d, a+b+c) \text{ if } k < i.
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 15. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 4) = S_4 \times C_2$.

3rd, 7th columns:

$S_4 \times C_2 \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$	$s_j s_i$	$s_i s_j s_i$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$	2	0	0	-1	-1	0
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$	3	1	1	0	0	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$	3	-1	-1	0	0	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$	2	0	0	-1	-1	0
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$	3	-1	-1	0	0	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$	3	1	1	0	0	1

Multiplying these rows with the rows of character table of D_3 above we deduce that (recall that this table is fixed by interchanging the Coxeter generators)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
& & \rho_1 \otimes _ & \rho_2 \otimes _ \\
\chi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_1 + \xi_4 + & \xi_2 + \xi_5 \\
\chi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_5 + & \xi_1 + \xi_4 \\
\phi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + & \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5
\end{array}$$

or, equivalently, the linear map shown in Figure 16.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) &\rightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{10} \\
(a, b, c) &\mapsto (a, b, c, a+c, b+c, b, a, c, b+c, a+c).
\end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 16. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_3$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 4) = S_4 \times C_2$.

Case 3: $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_4$

First we expand the character table of D_4 , assuming $j < k$,

D_4	e	s_j	s_k	$s_j s_k$	$s_k s_j$	$s_j s_k s_j$	$s_k s_j s_k$	$s_j s_k s_j s_k$
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1
χ_3	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1
χ_4	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
ϕ_1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-2

Note that if $k < j$ we should interchange the characters χ_3 and χ_4 in order to maintain the notation consistent. The characters of G restricted to H are the 1st, 7th, 10th, 4th, 4th, 10th, 7th, 5th columns of Table 17:

$S_4 \times C_2 \downarrow$	e	s_2	s_3	$s_2 s_3$	$s_3 s_2$	$s_2 s_3 s_2$	$s_3 s_2 s_3$	$s_2 s_3 s_2 s_3$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$	1	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	2
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$	3	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$	3	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$	2	0	-2	0	0	-2	0	2
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$	3	-1	1	-1	-1	1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	-1

Multiplying these rows with the rows of the character table of D_4 above we deduce that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \rho_1 \otimes _ & \rho_2 \otimes _ \\
 \chi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_5 \\
 \chi_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_5 + \xi_1 + \xi_3 \\
 \chi_3 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\
 \chi_4 \uparrow & = & \xi_4 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 \\
 \phi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_4 + \xi_5
 \end{array}$$

The computation in the case $k < j$ is identical, but interchanging χ_3 and χ_4 . All in all, we have the induction homomorphisms shown, as maps between abelian groups, in Figure X

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) & \rightarrow & R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
 \mathbb{Z}^5 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{10} \\
 (a, b, c, d, e) & \mapsto & (a, c, a+c, d+e, b+e, b, d, b+d, c+e, a+e) \text{ if } j < k, \\
 (a, b, c, d, e) & \mapsto & (a, d, a+d, c+e, b+e, b, c, b+c, d+e, a+e) \text{ if } k < j.
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 17. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_4$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 4) = S_4 \times C_2$.

On the other hand, the induction homomorphisms with respect to the transformed bases (Tables 7, 8, 18 in Appendix A) are summarised in Tables 31, 32 and 33.

$D_2 \hookrightarrow S_4 \times C_2$	(1)	$\alpha(12)$	$\alpha(12)(34)$	(34)	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_3)$	$(\cdot \widehat{\chi}_3 + \widehat{\chi}_4)$	$(\cdot \widehat{\chi}_4)$
$\alpha_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\alpha_2 \downarrow$	0	-2	0	-2	0	1	0	0
$\alpha_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_4 \downarrow$	0	0	-2	-2	0	0	1	1
$\alpha_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_6 \downarrow$	0	0	-2	2	0	0	1	0
$\alpha_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	1
$\alpha_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 31. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusion of D_2 into $S_4 \times C_2$. Here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{10}$ are as in Table 18.

$D_4 \hookrightarrow S_4 \times C_2$	(1)	$\alpha(13)$	(13)(24)	$\alpha(12)(34)$	(1432)
$\alpha_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1
$\alpha_2 \downarrow$	0	-2	0	0	-2
$\alpha_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_4 \downarrow$	0	0	-4	-2	4
$\alpha_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	4
$\alpha_6 \downarrow$	0	0	0	-2	2
$\alpha_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0

$D_4 \hookrightarrow S_4 \times C_2$	$(\cdot 2\phi_1 + \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \widehat{\chi}_3 + \phi_1)$	$(\cdot \widehat{\chi}_3 + \phi_1)$	$(\cdot \widehat{\chi}_4 + \chi_2)$	$(\cdot \phi_1)$
$\alpha_1 \downarrow$	1	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_2 \downarrow$	0	0	0	1	0
$\alpha_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_4 \downarrow$	0	1	0	-1	1
$\alpha_5 \downarrow$	0	0	-1	0	0
$\alpha_6 \downarrow$	0	1	0	0	0
$\alpha_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 32. Restricted characters (top) and map induced by the inclusion of D_4 into $S_4 \times C_2$ (bottom). Here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{10}$ are as in Table 18.

B.3.5. $G = \Delta(2, 3, 5)$. This group is isomorphic to $A_5 \times C_2$ with Coxeter presentation

$$\Delta(2, 3, 5) = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \mid s_i^2, s_j^2, s_k^2, (s_i s_j)^3, (s_i s_k)^2, (s_j s_k)^5 \rangle.$$

We have again three relevant induction homomorphisms.

Case 1: $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong D_2 = C_2 \times C_2$

The characters of G (Table 19) restricted to H consists on the 1st, 8th, 8th, 3rd

$D_3 \hookrightarrow S_4 \times C_2$	(1)	$\alpha(12)$	(123)	$(\cdot 2\phi_1 + \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \phi_1)$	$(\cdot \phi_1)$
$\alpha_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	0	0
$\alpha_2 \downarrow$	0	-2	0	0	1	0
$\alpha_3 \downarrow$	0	0	-3	0	0	1
$\alpha_4 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_6 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\alpha_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 33. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusion of D_3 into $S_4 \times C_2$. Here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{10}$ are as in Table 18.

columns

$A_5 \times C_2 \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_k	$s_i s_k$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$	4	0	0	0
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$	5	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$	3	-1	-1	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$	3	-1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$	4	0	0	0
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$	5	-1	-1	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$	3	1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$	3	1	1	-1

Suppose first $i < k$. Multiplying these rows with the rows of Table 5 we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
& \rho_1 \otimes _ & \rho_2 \otimes _ \\
\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_1 + \xi_2 + 2\xi_3 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
\rho_2 \otimes \rho_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 + 2\xi_3
\end{array}$$

If $k < i$ we must interchange the 2nd and 3rd generators, but note that we obtain the same map. All in one, we have one induction map, given as a homomorphism of free abelian groups in Figure 18.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) & \rightarrow & R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
\mathbb{Z}^4 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{10} \\
(a, b, c, d) & \mapsto & (a, b+c+d, 2a+b+c+d, b+c+d, b+c+d, \\
& & d, a+b+c, a+b+c+2d, a+b+c, a+b+c).
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 18. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_i, s_k \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 5) = A_5 \times C_2$.

Case 2: $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_3$

The characters of G (Table 19) restricted to H consists on the 1st, 8th, 8th, 2nd, 2nd and 8th columns:

$A_5 \times C_2 \downarrow$	e	s_i	s_j	$s_i s_j$	$s_j s_i$	$s_i s_j s_i$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$	4	0	0	1	1	0
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$	5	1	1	-1	-1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$	3	-1	-1	0	0	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$	3	-1	-1	0	0	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$	4	0	0	1	1	0
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$	5	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$	3	1	1	0	0	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$	3	1	1	0	0	1

Multiplying these rows with the rows of the character table of D_3 (which is independent of whether $i < j$ or $j < i$)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \rho_1 \otimes _ & \rho_2 \otimes _ \\
 \chi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_2 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
 \chi_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 \\
 \phi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + 2\xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_2 + 2\xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5
 \end{array}$$

This is then the map of free abelian groups shown in Figure 19.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 R_C(H) & \rightarrow & R_C(G) \\
 \mathbb{Z}^3 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{10} \\
 (a, b, c) & \mapsto & (a, a + b + c, a + 2c, b + c, b + c, \\
 & & b, a + b + c, b + 2c, a + c, a + c).
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 19. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_i, s_j \rangle \cong D_3$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 5) = A_5 \times C_2$.

Case 3: $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_5$

First we expand the character table for D_5 (from Table 4)

D_5	e	s_j	s_k	$s_j s_k$	$s_k s_j$	$s_j s_k s_j$	$s_k s_j s_k$	$s_j s_k s_j s_k$	$s_k s_j s_k s_j$	$s_j s_k s_j s_k s_j$
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
ϕ_1	2	0	0	$\varphi - 1$	$\varphi - 1$	0	0	φ	φ	0
ϕ_2	2	0	0	φ	φ	0	0	$\varphi - 1$	$\varphi - 1$	0

where φ is the golden ratio $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, and we have used $2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) = \varphi - 1$ and $2 \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right) = \varphi$. Note that this table is independent of interchanging s_i with s_j . Next we restrict the characters of G (Table 19) to H , that is, the 1st, 8th, 8th, 4th, 4th, 8th, 8th,

5th, 5th and 8th columns.

$A_5 \times C_2 \downarrow$	e	s_j	s_k	$s_j s_k$	$s_k s_j$	$s_j s_k s_j$	$s_k s_j s_k$	$s_j s_k s_j s_k$	$s_k s_j s_k s_j$	$s_j s_k s_j s_k s_j$
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_1$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_2$	4	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	0
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_3$	5	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_4$	3	-1	-1	φ	φ	-1	-1	$-\varphi + 1$	$-\varphi + 1$	-1
$\rho_1 \otimes \xi_5$	3	-1	-1	$-\varphi + 1$	$-\varphi + 1$	-1	-1	φ	φ	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_1$	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_2$	4	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	0
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_3$	5	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	-1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_4$	3	1	1	φ	φ	1	1	$-\varphi + 1$	$-\varphi + 1$	1
$\rho_2 \otimes \xi_5$	3	1	1	$-\varphi + 1$	$-\varphi + 1$	1	1	φ	φ	1

Remark 8. With a non-conjugated choice of Coxeter generators, it would be the 5th instead of the 4th column, or, equivalently, swapping $\rho_i \otimes \xi_4$ with $\rho_i \otimes \xi_5$ for $i = 1$ and 2.

Multiplying these rows with the rows of character table of D_5 above, and using that $\varphi^2 - \varphi = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \rho_1 \otimes - & \rho_2 \otimes - \\
 \chi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_1 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_5 \\
 \chi_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_4 + \xi_5 + \xi_1 + \xi_3 \\
 \phi_1 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4 \\
 \phi_2 \uparrow & = & \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_5 + \xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_5
 \end{array}$$

This gives the homomorphism of free abelian groups in Figure 20.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 R_{\mathbb{C}}(H) & \rightarrow & R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \\
 \mathbb{Z}^4 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{10} \\
 (a, b, c, d) & \mapsto & (a, c + d, a + c + d, b + c, b + d, \\
 & & b, c + d, b + c + d, a + c, a + d).
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 20. Induction homomorphism from $H = \langle s_j, s_k \rangle \cong D_5$ to $G = \langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle \cong \Delta(2, 3, 5) = A_5 \times C_2$.

We finish by giving the same induction homomorphisms but this time with respect to the transformed bases (Tables 6, 7, 20 in Appendix A) in Tables 34, 35 and 36.

APPENDIX C. LOCAL ANALYSIS

The geometric proof that $H_0^{\text{in}}(\Gamma; R_{\mathbb{C}})$ is torsion free, Theorem 4, relies on a detailed local analysis of the induction homomorphism at the vertices of the polyhedron \mathcal{P} , and the consequences for the coefficients of a 1-cycle (mod k) α along edges. We state and prove the results needed here. Although rather technical, they are all, unless an explicit proof is given, straightforward consequences of the induction homomorphisms in Appendix B. First, we introduce some notation, extending that of the main text.

$D_2 \hookrightarrow A_5 \times C_2$	(1)	(12)(35) α	(15)(23) α	(13)(25)
$\beta_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1
$\beta_2 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_4 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	-4
$\beta_6 \downarrow$	0	-2	-2	0
$\beta_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0

$D_2 \hookrightarrow A_5 \times C_2$	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \chi_3)$	$(\cdot \chi_3 + \chi_4)$	$(\cdot \chi_4)$
$\beta_1 \downarrow$	1	0	0	0
$\beta_2 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_4 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	1
$\beta_6 \downarrow$	0	1	1	0
$\beta_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0
$\beta_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0

TABLE 34. Restricted characters (top) and map induced by the inclusion of D_2 into $A_5 \times C_2$ (bottom).

$D_3 \hookrightarrow A_5 \times C_2$	(1)	(12)(34) α	(123)	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i + 2\phi_1)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \phi_1)$	$(\cdot \phi_1)$
$\beta_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	0	0
$\beta_2 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_3 \downarrow$	0	0	-3	0	0	1
$\beta_4 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_6 \downarrow$	0	-2	0	0	1	0
$\beta_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 35. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusion of D_3 into $A_5 \times C_2$.

At every vertex $v = v_{ijk}$, there are three incident edges $e_1 = e_{ij}$, $e_2 = e_{ik}$ and $e_3 = e_{jk}$, and let x_1, x_2 and x_3 be α projected along those edges, written as column vectors. Write A_1 for the (integer) matrix representing the induction homomorphism from e_1 to v , that is,

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(\{s_i, s_j\}) \longrightarrow R_{\mathbb{C}}(\{s_i, s_j, s_k\})$$

(as always, we will implicitly identify representation rings with free abelian groups with basis given and fixed in Appendix A), and define A_2 and A_3 analogously for

$D_5 \hookrightarrow A_5 \times C_2$	(1)	(12345)	(12354)	(12)(34) α	$(\cdot \sum \chi_i + 2\sum \phi_i)$	$(\cdot \chi_2 + \sum \phi_i)$	$(\cdot \sum \phi_i)$	$(\cdot \phi_2)$
$\beta_1 \downarrow$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$\beta_2 \downarrow$	0	$\sqrt{5}$	$-\sqrt{5}$	0	0	0	0	1
$\beta_3 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_4 \downarrow$	0	$\frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2}$	$\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}$	0	0	0	-1	0
$\beta_5 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_6 \downarrow$	0	0	0	-2	0	1	0	0
$\beta_7 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_8 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_9 \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\beta_{10} \downarrow$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 36. Restricted characters and map induced by the inclusion of D_5 into $A_5 \times C_2$.

e_2 and e_3 (each of these matrices is a submatrix of ∂_1 in matrix form). Then the value of $\partial_1(x)$ at the vertex $v = v_{ijk}$ (that is, projected to $R_{\mathbb{C}}(\langle s_i, s_j, s_k \rangle)$) is given by the matrix product

$$(\pm A_1 | \pm A_2 | \pm A_3) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

with signs depending on (edge) orientations. Note that the matrix $(A_1|A_2|A_3)$ is the vertex block of Definition 1. The product above is zero modulo k , by the hypothesis on α being a 1-cycle mod k . We can reduce modulo k all the entries and, abusing notation, still call the resulting matrices and vectors A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , x_1 , x_2 and x_3 . Furthermore, for simplicity, let us redefine A_1 as $-A_1$ etc as needed to take account of the chosen orientations. Then we have that the column vector representing α locally at v (i.e. along the incident edges) is in the kernel of the matrix representing ∂_1 locally at v , that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \ker(A_1|A_2|A_3).$$

One important consequence is that we can perform row operations on the matrix $A = (A_1|A_2|A_3)$ without changing its kernel and, in particular, we may row reduce A , for instance into its Hermite normal form, to simplify calculations. (Obviously, row reduction must be performed modulo k , that is, in \mathbb{Z}_k .) Another consequence is that, to study the consequences of fixing an edge, we only need to remove the corresponding matrix block and vector. For example, if α has been fixed along e_1 , that is, if x_1 is zero modulo k , then the equation above is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \ker(A_2|A_3),$$

and we can now row reduce this matrix to help us calculate its kernel, if we wish to. Recall that, in Section 4, we are only interested in the case where all vertices have stabilizers of the form $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, with $m > 2$. So let us now focus on that case.

For a $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, $m > 2$, vertex, recall that we assume $j < k$ and we have, from Figures 10, 11 and 12, induction matrices $M_{2,m}^{(1)<}$ (if $i < j$) or $M_{2,m}^{(1)>}$ (if $i > j$), $M_{2,m}^{(2)<}$

(if $i < k$) or $M_{2,m}^>$ (if $i > k$), and $M_{m,m}$ where

$$M_{2,m}^{(1)<} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \widehat{0} & \widehat{1} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} \\ \widehat{1} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{1} \\ \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{1} & \widehat{0} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M_{2,m}^{(2)<} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \widehat{1} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} \\ \widehat{0} & \widehat{1} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{1} & \widehat{0} \\ \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{0} & \widehat{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M_{m,m} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{c(D_m)} \\ \text{Id}_{c(D_m)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $M_{2,m}^{(1)>}$, respectively $M_{2,m}^{(2)>}$, equals $M_{2,m}^{(1)<}$, respectively $M_{2,m}^{(2)<}$, with the 2nd and 3rd columns interchanged.

In the following lemmas, let α be an integral 1-chain which is also a 1-cycle mod k , that is, $\partial_1(\alpha) \equiv 0$. Moreover, in Lemmas 6 and 7, let $\widehat{\cdot}$ denote coefficients that only appear when m is even, and recall the standard labelling of faces: the m -edge lies between the faces labelled F_j and F_k , and the labelling always satisfies (without loss of generality) that $j < k$. Finally, recall that we refer to an edge with stabiliser D_m as an m -edge, or *edge of type m* .

Lemma 5. *Let α be an integral 1-chain, which we assume is also a 1-cycle mod k . Let F_1, F_2 be a pair of adjacent 2-faces, sharing a common edge e , with endpoint v whose stabilizer is of the form $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, with $m > 2$. Assume that we are given an $\eta_1 = (n_1, m_1)$ in the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(C_2)$ associated to the stabilizer of the 2-face F_1 . Then there exists a choice of $\eta_2 = (n_2, m_2)$ in the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(C_2)$ associated to the stabilizer of the 2-face F_2 , with the property that $\alpha + \partial_2(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ has coefficient along e congruent to zero mod k (i.e. the edge e is now good).*




FIGURE 21. Local picture near the edge e (Lemma 5).

Proof. The edge e has stabilizer D_m , with $m > 2$. We will assume the orientations along the edges and faces are as given in Figure 21. Assume the coefficients of α supported on the edge e are given by $(a, b, \hat{c}, \hat{d}, r_1, \dots, r_k)$. We choose $\eta_2 :=$

$(a + n_1, r_1 + m_1 - a)$. A straightforward computation using the induction formulas shows that, with this choice of η_2 , the coefficient of $\alpha' := \alpha + \partial_2(\eta_1 + \eta_2)$ along the edge e is of the form $z := (0, b', \hat{c}', \hat{d}', 0, r'_2, \dots, r'_k)$. That is to say, we chose η_2 in order to force $a' = r'_1 = 0$.

We are left with checking that the remaining coefficients of α' are all congruent to zero mod k . To see this, we use the fact that α' is also a 1-cycle mod k . From the labelings of the faces around the vertex v , and the order in which we label faces (one region at a time), we see that we are in one of the two cases $i < j < k$ or $j < k < i$. Let us consider the case $i < j < k$, and assume that the coefficients along the 2-edges incident to v are given by $x := (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ and $y := (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$. As α' is a 1-cycle mod k , we have

$$\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)<} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)<} \mid -M_{m,m} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{0},$$

Note that the last k rows of the matrix are identical, giving rise to k identical relations $x_2 + x_4 - y_2 - y_4 + r'_i \equiv 0$ (for $1 \leq i \leq k$). Since $r'_1 = 0$, these equations immediately imply that all the remaining $r'_i \equiv 0$.

Let us now assume that m is odd. The first and third row of the matrix give rise to equations $x_1 - y_1 \equiv 0$ and $x_1 + x_3 - y_1 - y_3 \equiv 0$, forcing $x_3 - y_3 \equiv 0$. Using the second row, we get $x_3 - y_3 + b' \equiv 0$, which immediately gives $b' \equiv 0$. This completes the proof when $i < j < k$ and m is odd. The case where m is even is analogous – one just uses the equations obtained from the first five rows of the matrix to conclude that a', b', \hat{c}' , and \hat{d}' are all congruent to zero mod k .

Finally, if $j < k < i$, then one proceeds in a completely similar manner, but using the block matrix $\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)>} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)>} \mid -M_{m,m} \right)$ instead. It is again straightforward to work through the equations – we leave the details to the reader. \square

Lemma 6. *Consider a vertex of type $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, $m > 2$, with the incident 2-edges oriented compatibly. If the coefficients of α along the m -edge are congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some z , then the coefficients (a, b, c, d) and (a', b', c', d') along the pair of 2-edges satisfy the following congruences:*

- (i) if $i < j < k$, then $(a, b, c, d) \equiv (a', b', c', d')$, and $\hat{z} \equiv b - a \equiv d - c$;
- (ii) if $j < i < k$, then $(a, b, c, d) \equiv (a', c', b', d')$, and $\hat{z} \equiv c - a \equiv d - b$;
- (iii) if $j < k < i$, then $(a, b, c, d) \equiv (a', b', c', d')$, and $\hat{z} \equiv c - a \equiv d - b$;

and we have oriented the m -edge so that the vertex is its source. (With the opposite orientation, simply replace \hat{z} by $-\hat{z}$.) Moreover, the same statement holds if one changes all congruences to equalities.

Proof. Let $x_1 = (a, b, c, d)$, $x_2 = (a', b', c', d')$ and $x_3 = (0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$ be the coefficients of α along the edges incident to the vertex. Consider the case $i < j < k$ first. Since α is a 1-cycle mod k ,

$$\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)<} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)<} \mid -M_{m,m} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{0},$$

which gives $a - a' \equiv 0$, $b - b' \equiv 0$, $b - a' - \hat{z} \equiv 0$, $a - b' + \hat{z} \equiv 0$, $a + b - a' - b' \equiv 0$, $c - c' \equiv 0$, $d - d' \equiv 0$, $d - c' - \hat{z} \equiv 0$, $c - d' + \hat{z} \equiv 0$, and $c + d - c' - d' \equiv 0$, from which the result follows. The other two cases, $j < i < k$ and $j < k < i$, are analogous but for the

block matrix $\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)>} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)<} \mid -M_{m,m}\right)$, respectively $\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)>} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)>} \mid -M_{m,m}\right)$. The former gives the same congruences but with b and c interchanged, and the latter with b and c , and b' and c' , interchanged. For the opposite orientation of the m -edge, replace $-M_{m,m}$ by $M_{m,m}$ in the calculation above. \square

Lemma 7. *Consider a vertex of type $\Delta(2, 2, m)$, $m > 2$, with the incident 2-edges oriented compatibly. Assume the coefficients along the 2-edges are both congruent to (a, b, c, d) , that the m -edge is oriented compatibly with the first 2-edge, and that the faces are labelled so that $i < j < k$ or $j < k < i$ (so we are excluding the case $j < i < k$). Then the m -edge coefficients are congruent to $(0, 0, \hat{z}, -\hat{z}, 0, \dots, 0)$ where*

- (i) *if $i < j < k$, then $\hat{z} \equiv a - b$;*
- (ii) *if $j < k < i$, then $\hat{z} \equiv a - c$.*

(If we reverse the orientation on the m -edge, the congruencies above hold with \hat{z} replaced by $-\hat{z}$.) In particular, if m is odd, the m -edge is automatically good.

Proof. We are assuming $x_1 \equiv x_2 \equiv (a, b, c, d)$, and that $x_3 = (x, y, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, r_1, \dots, r_k)$ are the coefficients of α along the edges incident to the vertex. Consider the case $i < j < k$ first. Since α is a 1-cycle mod k ,

$$\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)<} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)<} \mid -M_{m,m}\right) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \mathbf{0},$$

which gives $x \equiv a - a \equiv 0$, $y \equiv b - b \equiv 0$, $\hat{c} \equiv a - b$, $\hat{d} \equiv b - a$, while all the remaining equations are of the form $r_i \equiv (a + b) - (a + b) \equiv 0$. The claim follows. The case $j < k < i$ is completely analogous, but uses instead the block matrix $\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)>} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)>} \mid -M_{m,m}\right)$. The details are left to the reader. \square

It is perhaps worth noting that the analogue of Lemma 7 is false if the faces are enumerated to satisfy $j < i < k$. In particular, the corresponding block matrix $\left(M_{2,m}^{(1)>} \mid -M_{2,m}^{(2)<} \mid -M_{m,m}\right)$ leads to, for example, $y \equiv b - c$, which is not necessarily zero.