

10.5.1(d,e). Suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & B' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & C' \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of module homomorphisms with exact rows.

10pt (d) If β is injective and α and φ are surjective, prove that γ is injective.

Solution. Let $c \in C$ be such that $\gamma(c) = 0$. Since φ is surjective, there exists $b \in B$ such that $\varphi(b) = c$. Put $b' = \beta(b)$. Then $\varphi'(b') = \gamma(\varphi(b)) = \gamma(c) = 0$. Since the second row is exact, there exists $a' \in A'$ such that $\psi'(a') = b'$. Since α is surjective, there exists $a \in A$ such that $\alpha(a) = a'$. Then $\beta(\psi(a)) = \psi'(\alpha(a)) = \psi'(a') = b' = \beta(b)$. Since β is injective, $b = \psi(a)$. Since the first row is exact, $c = \varphi(\psi(a)) = 0$. Hence, γ is injective.

10pt (e) If β is surjective and γ and ψ' are injective, prove that α is surjective.

Solution. Let $a' \in A'$; we need to find $a \in A$ such that $\alpha(a) = a'$. Since β is surjective, there exists $b \in B$ such that $\beta(b) = \psi'(a')$. Since the second row is exact, $\varphi'(\psi'(a')) = 0$, so $\gamma(\varphi(b)) = \varphi'(\beta(b)) = \varphi'(\psi'(a')) = 0$. Since γ is injective, $\varphi(b) = 0$. Since the first row is exact, $b = \psi(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Then $\psi'(\alpha(a)) = \beta(\psi(a)) = \beta(b) = \psi'(a')$; since ψ' is injective, $\alpha(a) = a'$.

A1. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow & & \gamma \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & B' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & C' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of module homomorphisms with exact rows. Define a mapping $\delta: \ker \gamma \rightarrow \text{coker } \alpha$ in the following way: for $c \in \ker(\gamma)$ choose $b \in \varphi^{-1}(c)$ and put $b' = \beta(b)$; then $\varphi'(b') = \gamma(c) = 0$, so $b' = \psi'(a')$ for some $a' \in A'$. Now put $\delta(c) = a' \text{ mod } \alpha(A)$.

5pt (a) Prove that δ is well defined (i.e. doesn't depend on the choice of b) and is a homomorphism.

Solution. To show that δ is well defined, let $b_1, b_2 \in B$ be such that $\varphi(b_1) = \varphi(b_2) = c$. Then $\beta_1 - \beta_2 \in \ker(\varphi) = \text{Ran}(\psi)$, so $\beta_1 - \beta_2 = \psi(d)$ for some $d \in A$. Let $b'_1 = \beta(b_1)$ and $b'_2 = \beta(b_2)$ and $a'_1, a'_2 \in A'$ be such that $b'_1 = \psi'(a'_1)$, $b'_2 = \psi'(a'_2)$. Then $\psi'(a'_1 - a'_2) = \beta(b_1 - b_2) = \beta(\psi(d)) = \psi'(\alpha(d))$; since ψ' is injective this implies that $a'_1 - a'_2 = \alpha(d)$, that is, $a'_1 = a'_2 \text{ mod } \alpha(A)$.

To prove that δ is a homomorphism, let $c_1, c_2 \in \ker(\gamma)$ and let $b_1, b_2 \in B$ and $a'_1, a'_2 \in A'$ be such that $\varphi(b_1) = c_1$, $\varphi(b_2) = c_2$, $\psi'(a'_1) = \beta(b_1)$, and $\psi'(a'_2) = \beta(b_2)$, so that $\delta(c_1) = a'_1$ and $\delta(c_2) = a'_2$. then $\varphi(b_1 + b_2) = c_1 + c_2$ and $\psi'(a'_1 + a'_2) = \psi'(a_1) + \psi'(a_2) = \beta(b_1) + \beta(b_2) = \beta(b_1 + b_2)$, so $\delta(c_1 + c_2) = a'_1 + a'_2$. Also for any r is a scalar, then $\varphi(rb_1) = rc_1$ and $\psi'(ra'_1) = r\psi'(a_1) = r\beta(b_1) = \beta(rb_1)$, so $\delta(rc_1) = ra'_1$.

10pt (b) Prove that $\ker(\delta) = \varphi(\ker(\beta))$.

Solution. Let $c \in \ker(\gamma)$, let b, b' and $a' = \delta(c)$ be as in the construction of δ .

If $c = \varphi(\ker(\beta))$, $c = \varphi(b)$ with $b' = \beta(b) = 0$, then $a' = 0$, so $\delta(c) = a' \text{ mod } \alpha(A) = 0$, so $c \in \ker(\delta)$.

Now assume that $c \in \ker(\delta)$. Then $a' \in \alpha(A)$, that is, $a' = \alpha(a)$ for some $a \in A$. Define $d = \psi(a)$; then $\beta(b - d) = b' - \beta(\psi(a)) = b' - \psi'(\alpha(a)) = b' - \psi'(a) = 0$, so $b - d \in \ker(\beta)$. On the other hand, $\varphi(b - d) = \varphi(b) - \varphi(\psi(a)) = \varphi(b) = c$. Hence, $c \in \varphi(\ker(\beta))$.

5pt **A2.** Consider the category where objects are integers and a morphism (an arrow) from object n to object m exists, and is unique, iff $n \mid m$. (Notice that in this category objects are not assumed to be sets and morphisms are not mappings!) The composition of two morphisms exists and is defined uniquely, since if $n \mid m$ and $m \mid k$ then $n \mid k$. What objects in this category are isomorphic? Are there universal repelling and/or attracting objects?

Solution. Distinct $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic iff both $n \mid m$ and $m \mid n$, which is true iff $m = -n$.

The universal repelling object is 1 (and -1), since 1 divides every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and so, the (unique) morphism $1 \rightarrow n$ exists. The universal attracting object is 0, since 0 is divisible by every integer.

10pt **A3.** Let H_1 and H_2 be two groups. Consider the category of groups G with homomorphisms $H_1, H_2 \rightarrow G$: the objects are triplets $(G, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ where G is a group and $\varphi_i: H_i \rightarrow G, i = 1, 2$, are group homomorphisms; the morphisms $(G, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \rightarrow (K, \psi_1, \psi_2)$ are homomorphisms $\varphi: G \rightarrow K$ for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_1 & \\ \varphi_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \psi_1 \\ G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K \\ \varphi_2 \swarrow & & \searrow \psi_2 \\ & H_2 & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Prove that in this category the universal repelling object is the free product $H_1 * H_2$ of H_1 and H_2 , defined in the following way. The elements of $H_1 * H_2$ are “alternating” words of the form $a_1 \cdots a_k$ where for all $i, a_i \in H_1 \setminus \{1\}$ or $H_2 \setminus \{1\}$ with $a_{i+1} \in H_2$ if $a_i \in H_1$ and $a_{i+1} \in H_1$ if $a_i \in H_2$. The operation in $H_1 * H_2$ is concatenation of such words with natural reduction: if a subword bc with both $b, c \in H_1$ or $b, c \in H_2$ occurs, it is replaced by the element bc of this group, and in the case $bc = 1$ it is removed and the process of reduction continues.

Solution. I will assume that $H_1 \cap H_2 = \emptyset$. (If not, I replace H_1 and H_2 by their disjoint copies.) Given homomorphisms $\varphi_1: H_1 \rightarrow G$ and $\varphi_2: H_2 \rightarrow G$, we define a homomorphism $\varphi: H_1 * H_2 \rightarrow G$ in the following way. First, for any word $a_1 \cdots a_k$ in the alphabet $H_1 \cup H_2$ we put $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_k) = \prod_{i=1}^k \tau_i(a_i)$, where for every $i, \tau_i = \varphi_1$ is $a_i \in H_1$ and $\tau_i = \varphi_2$ is $a_i \in H_2$. Then for any two such words w_1 and w_2 we clearly have $\varphi(w_1 w_2) = \varphi(w_1) \varphi(w_2)$. $H_1 * H_2$ is the set of reduced words, with the operation of “concatenation and reduction” (as in the definition of $H_1 * H_2$); if a word w' is obtained from a word w by reduction then $\varphi(w') = \varphi(w)$, since for any two elements b and c belonging to one of H_1 or H_2 we have $\varphi(bc) = \varphi(b) \varphi(c)$, and since $\varphi(1_{H_1}) = \varphi(1_{H_2}) = 1$. Hence, φ restricted on $H_1 * H_2$ is a homomorphism to G . H_1 and H_2 are naturally subgroups of $H_1 * H_2$, and we have $\varphi|_{H_i} = \varphi_i, i = 1, 2$, so φ is a morphism in our category. Such morphism is unique since H_1 and H_2 generate $H_1 * H_2$, and so, φ is uniquely defined by $\varphi|_{H_1}$ and $\varphi|_{H_2}$.

10.3.12. Let R be a commutative ring and let A, B , and M be R -modules. Prove the following isomorphisms of R -modules:

5pt (a) $\text{Hom}_R(A \oplus B, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$.

Solution. Define a mapping $\Phi: \text{Hom}_R(A \oplus B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$ by $\Phi(\varphi) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ where $\varphi_1(u) = \varphi(u, 0), u \in A$, and $\varphi_2(v) = \varphi(0, v), v \in B$. The inverse mapping $\Psi: \text{Hom}_R(A, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(A \oplus B, M)$ is given by $(\Psi(\varphi_1, \varphi_2))(u, v) = \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(v)$. Hence, Φ is a bijection, and clearly Φ is a homomorphism of R -modules. (A sum of homomorphisms goes to a sum and a multiple goes to a multiple.) So, Φ is an isomorphism.

5pt (b) $\text{Hom}_R(M, A \oplus B) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, B)$.

Solution. Let π_1 and π_2 be the projections of $A \oplus B$ onto A and B respectively. Define a mapping $\Phi: \text{Hom}_R(M, A \oplus B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, B)$ by $\Phi(\varphi) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ where $\varphi_1(u) = \pi_1(\varphi(u))$ and $\varphi_2(u) = \pi_2(\varphi(u))$. The inverse mapping $\Psi: \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(M, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, A \oplus B)$ is $(\Psi(\varphi_1, \varphi_2))(u) = (\varphi_1(u), \varphi_2(u))$. Hence, Φ is a bijection, and clearly Φ is a homomorphism of R -modules. (A sum of homomorphisms goes to a sum and a multiple goes to a multiple.) So, Φ is an isomorphism.

5pt **10.3.15.** If e is a central idempotent in a unital ring R and M is an R -module, prove that $eM = \text{Ann}(1 - e), (1 - e)M = \text{Ann}(e)$, and $M = eM \oplus (1 - e)M$.

Solution. If e is an idempotent, then $1 - e$ is also an idempotent: $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - 2e + e^2 = 1 - 2e + e = 1 - e$.

If $u = ev$ for some v , then $(1 - e)u = ev - e^2v = 0$; conversely, if $(1 - e)u = 0$, then $u = eu$; so, $eM = \text{Ann}(1 - e)$. Since e and $1 - e$ are switchable, also $(1 - e)M = \text{Ann}(e)$.

Since e (and so $(1 - e)$) is a central element of R , eM and $(1 - e)M$ are submodules of M .

For any $u \in M$ we have $u = eu + (1 - e)u$ with $eu \in eM$ and $(1 - e)u \in (1 - e)M$, so $M = eM + (1 - e)M$. Also, $eM \cap (1 - e)M = 0$: indeed, if $eu = (1 - e)v$, then $eu = e^2u = e(1 - e)v = e - e^2v = 0$. Hence, $M = eM \oplus (1 - e)M$.

5pt **11.2.11(a,b).** Let R be a unital ring and let φ be an endomorphism of an R -module M such that $\varphi^2 = \varphi$. Prove that $M = \varphi(M) \oplus \ker \varphi$.

Solution. Define the multiplication by φ by $\varphi u = \varphi(u)$, $u \in M$. Since for every $a \in R$ we have $(\varphi a)(u) = \varphi(au) = a\varphi(u) = (a\varphi)(u)$, $u \in M$. M gets a structure of an $R[\varphi]$ -module, where $(a_n\varphi^n + \cdots + a_1\varphi + a_0)u = a_n\varphi^n(u) + \cdots + a_1\varphi(u) + a_0u$, $u \in M$. Since $\varphi^2 = \varphi$, φ is a central idempotent in $R[\varphi]$. By 10.3.15, $M = \varphi(M) \oplus (1 - \varphi)(M)$ and $(1 - \varphi)(M) = \text{Ann}(\varphi) = \ker \varphi$.

Another solution. We need to prove that $\varphi(M) \cap \ker \varphi = 0$ and $M = \varphi(M) + \ker \varphi$.

If $u \in \varphi(M) \cap \ker \varphi$, then $u = \varphi(v)$ for some $v \in M$ and $\varphi(u) = 0$, so $0 = \varphi(u) = \varphi^2(v) = \varphi(v) = u$.

Let $u \in M$; then $u = \varphi(u) + (u - \varphi(u))$. We have $\varphi(u) \in \varphi(M)$, and $\varphi(u - \varphi(u)) = \varphi(u) - \varphi^2(u) = \varphi(u) - \varphi(u) = 0$, so $u - \varphi(u) \in \ker \varphi$; hence, $M = \varphi(M) + \ker \varphi$.