

Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial actions of \mathbb{Z}^d by translations on a nilmanifold

A. Leibman

Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, OH 43210, USA
e-mail: leibman@math.ohio-state.edu

January 30, 2004

Abstract

Generalizing the one-parameter case, we prove that the orbit of a point on a compact nilmanifold X under a polynomial action of \mathbb{Z}^d by translations on X is uniformly distributed on the union of several sub-nilmanifolds of X . As a corollary we obtain the pointwise ergodic theorem for polynomial actions of \mathbb{Z}^d by translations on a nilmanifold.

1. Formulations

1.1. Let G be a nilpotent Lie group, Γ be a closed uniform subgroup of G and X be the compact *nilmanifold* G/Γ . G acts on X by left translations: for $a \in G$ and $x = b\Gamma \in X$ one defines $ax = ab\Gamma$.

We will say that a mapping $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ is *polynomial* if g can be written in the form $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \dots a_m^{p_m(n)}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_m \in G$ and p_1, \dots, p_m are polynomial mappings $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Such a mapping will also be called a *polynomial action* of \mathbb{Z}^d on X by translations, in contrast with a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$, which will be referred to as a *linear action*. We are going to show the following:

1.2. Theorem A. *Let g be a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$. For any $x \in X$, $f \in C(X)$ and Følner sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{Z}^d , $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} f(g(n)x)$ exists.*

An analogous result for polynomial actions of \mathbb{R}^d , in a much more general situation, was obtained in [Sh1]. The one-parameter case $d = 1$ of Theorem A was proved in [L].

1.3. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow X$ be a mapping from a countable amenable group A and let Y be a *sub-nilmanifold* of X , that is, a closed subset of the form $Y = Hy$ where H is a closed subgroup of G and $y \in Y$. Let B be a subset of A ; we will say that $\{\varphi(a)\}_{a \in B}$ is *well distributed in Y* if $\varphi(B) \subseteq Y$ and for any $f \in C(Y)$ and any Følner sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ in A one has $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N \cap B|} \sum_{a \in \Phi_N \cap B} f(\varphi(a)) = \int_Y f d\mu_Y$, where μ_Y is the H -invariant probability measure on Y . In particular, this implies $\overline{\varphi(B)} = Y$.

1.4. In order to prove Theorem A we will show that the closure $Y = \overline{\text{Orb}(x)}$ of the orbit $\text{Orb}(x) = \{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ of $x \in X$ is a disjoint finite union of sub-nilmanifolds of X and that $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is well distributed in the connected components of Y . This fact is known for linear actions by translations:

Theorem. *Let A be a finitely generated amenable group and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. For any $x \in X$ there exists a closed subgroup $E \subseteq G$ such that $\varphi(A) \subseteq E$, $\overline{\varphi(A)x} = Ex$ and $\{\varphi(a)x\}_{a \in A}$ is well distributed in Ex .*

For a simple proof of this theorem see [L]. A more general theorem can be found in [Sh2].

1.5. In the case of polynomial actions the situation is a little bit more complicated; we prove the following:

Supported by NSF grant DMS-0070566 and by the A. Sloan Foundation.

Theorem B. *Let $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ be a polynomial mapping and let $x \in X$. There exist a connected closed subgroup H of G and points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $\overline{\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$ and for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, $\{g(n)x\}_{n: g(n)x \in Hx_j}$ is well distributed in Hx_j . In particular, if $Y = \overline{\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}}$ is connected then $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is well distributed in Y .*

1.6. A more detailed information about the behavior of $g(n)x$ is given by the following theorem:

Theorem B*. *Let $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ be a polynomial mapping and let $x \in X$. There exist a connected closed subgroup H of G , a homomorphism $\omega: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow W$ onto a finite group W and a set $\{x_w, w \in W\} \subseteq X$ such that the sets $Y_w = Hx_w, w \in W$, are closed in X and $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \omega^{-1}(w)}$ is well distributed in Y_w for every $w \in W$.*

Notice that the sets Y_w are not assumed to be all distinct.

1.7. Corollary. *For any $f \in C(X)$ and any Følner sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{Z}^d ,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N} f(g(n)x) = \frac{1}{|W|} \sum_{w \in W} \int_{Y_w} f d\mu_{Y_w}.$$

In particular, Theorem A follows.

1.8. Let A_1, \dots, A_l be finitely generated subgroups of G . Theorem 1.4 says that, for every i , the orbit of any $x \in X$ under the action of A_i is well distributed in a sub-nilmanifold of X . It now follows from Theorem B that the orbit $A_1 \dots A_l x$ of x under the product $A_1 \dots A_l$ is also well distributed in the union of several disjoint submanifolds of X .

Corollary. *For any $x \in X$ there exist a connected closed subgroup H of G and points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $\overline{A_1 \dots A_l x} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$, and for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, $\{ax\}_{a \in A_1 \dots A_l: ax \in Hx_j}$ is well distributed in Hx_j (in the sense clear from the proof).*

Proof. For each $i = 1, \dots, l$, the finitely generated nilpotent group A_i possesses a finite *basis*, that is, $a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,r_i} \in A_i$ such that every element of A_i is representable in the form $a_{i,1}^{n_1} \dots a_{i,r_i}^{n_{r_i}}$ with $n_1, \dots, n_{r_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The mapping $\mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + \dots + r_l} \rightarrow G, (n_{1,1}, \dots, n_{l,r_l}) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{r_i} a_{i,j}^{n_{i,j}}$ is therefore a polynomial mapping onto $A_1 \dots A_l$. By Theorem B, $\overline{A_1 \dots A_l x}$ has form $\bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$, and $A_1 \dots A_l x$ is well distributed in the components of this union (with respect to any Følner sequence in $\mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + \dots + r_l}$). ■

1.9. Theorem B also remains true if, instead of the orbit of a point in X , one considers the orbit of a sub-nilmanifold of X . Let us say that a family $\{Z_n\}_{n \in B}, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$, of sub-nilmanifolds of X is *well distributed* in a sub-nilmanifold Y of X if $Z_n \subseteq Y$ for all $n \in B$ and for any $f \in C(Y)$ and any Følner sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{Z}^d one has $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N \cap B|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N \cap B} \int_{Z_n} f d\mu_{Z_n} = \int_Y f d\mu_Y$. In particular, $\bigcup_{n \in B} Z_n = Y$ in this case.

Corollary. *Let $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ be a polynomial mapping and let Z be a connected sub-nilmanifold of X . There exist a connected closed subgroup H of G and points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(n)Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$, and for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, $\{g(n)Z\}_{n: g(n)Z \subseteq Hx_j}$ is well distributed in Hx_j .*

Proof. Let $x \in Z$ and let $a \in G$ be such that $\{a^l x\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is well distributed in Z . (Letting F be a closed subgroup of G such that $Z = Fx$, take any $a \in F$ such that the projection of $\{a^l x\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is well distributed in the maximal factor-torus of Z ; see 1.10 below.) Consider the polynomial sequence $h(n, l) = g(n)a^l, n \in \mathbb{Z}^d, l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(n)Z = \overline{\{h(n, l)x\}_{(n,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}}}$ and by Theorem B, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} g(n)Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$ for suitable H and x_1, \dots, x_k .

For $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let $B_j = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : g(n)Z \subseteq Hx_j\}$ and $C_j = B_j \times \mathbb{Z}$. Now let $\{\Phi_N\}_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Følner sequence in \mathbb{Z}^d ; given $f \in C(Hx_j)$ consider a Følner sequence $\Psi_N = \Phi_N \times \{1, \dots, p_N\}, N \in \mathbb{N}$, in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} . Then, if the integers p_N tend to infinity fast enough, one has $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N \cap B_j|} \sum_{n \in \Phi_N \cap B_j} \int_{g(n)Z} f d\mu_{g(n)Z} =$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Psi_N \cap C_j|} \sum_{(n,l) \in \Psi_N \cap C_j} f(h(n, l)x) = \int_{Hx_j} f d\mu_{Hx_j}. \quad \blacksquare$$

1.10. It follows from Theorem B that if the orbit $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ of a point $x \in X$ is dense in X then it is well distributed in X . In the case where X is connected we have a simple criterion of this situation. Let G° be the identity component of G ; if X is connected, then X is a homogeneous space of G° , $X = G^\circ/(\Gamma \cap G^\circ)$. The factor $T = [G^\circ, G^\circ] \backslash X = G^\circ/((\Gamma \cap G^\circ)[G^\circ, G^\circ])$ of X is a compact connected abelian Lie group, which we will call *the maximal factor-torus of X* .

Theorem C. *Let X be connected, let T be the maximal factor-torus of X , let $p: X \rightarrow T$ be the factorization mapping and let g be a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$. The orbit $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ of $x \in X$ is dense in X iff $\{g(n)p(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is dense in T .*

1.11. Let $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ be a (multiparameter) sequence in a topological space X . A point z_m of this sequence is called *recurrent* if for in any neighborhood U of z_m the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : z_n \in U\}$ is infinite. If $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ is a polynomial mapping and $x \in X$, it follows from Theorem B that every point of $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is recurrent.

Actually, a stronger fact holds. The set of finite sums of distinct elements of a sequence in \mathbb{Z}^d is called *an IP-set*; a subset of \mathbb{Z}^d that has nonempty intersection with any IP-set is called *an IP*-set*. IP*-sets are “regular” and “large”; in particular, any IP*-set is syndetic, that is, has bounded gaps. (See [F], ch. 9.) Given a (multiparameter) sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ in a topological space X , following [F] we say that a point z_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is *IP*-recurrent* if for any neighborhood U of z_m the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d : z_n \in U\}$ is IP*.

Theorem D. *Let $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ be a polynomial mapping and let $x \in X$. The point $g(0)x$ is IP*-recurrent for $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$.*

2. Proofs

2.1. By $[a, b]$ we will denote $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$. If B is a subset of a group G , we will denote by $\langle B \rangle$ the subgroup of G generated by B . Given a group G , by G_2 we will denote the derived subgroup $[G, G]$ of G .

When G is a nilpotent Lie group we will denote by G° the identity component of G . Any connected nilpotent Lie group is exponential and so, for any $a \in G^\circ$ there exists a one-parameter group $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subseteq G^\circ$ with $\alpha(1) = a$. We will denote $\alpha(t)$ by a^t (ignoring the fact that a^t may not be uniquely defined).

2.2. Let \mathcal{F} be the free group generated by continuous generators a_1, \dots, a_l and discrete generators e_1, \dots, e_m , that is, the group of words in the alphabet $\{a_1^{t_1}, \dots, a_l^{t_l}, e_1^{k_1}, \dots, e_m^{k_m}\}_{\substack{t_i \in \mathbb{R} \\ k_j \in \mathbb{Z}}}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \supseteq \dots$ be

the lower central series of \mathcal{F} : $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} = [\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$; we will call the nilpotent Lie group $F = \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}_{r+1}$ *the free nilpotent Lie group (of class r , with continuous generators a_1, \dots, a_l and discrete generators e_1, \dots, e_m)*. The discrete subgroup of F generated by the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_l, e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is uniform in F ; we will denote it by $\Gamma(F)$.

2.3. Lemma. *Let G be a nilpotent Lie group of class $\leq r$ and let F be a free nilpotent Lie group of class r with continuous generators a_1, \dots, a_l and discrete generators e_1, \dots, e_m . Any mapping $\eta: \{a_1, \dots, a_l, e_1, \dots, e_m\} \rightarrow G$ with $\eta(\{a_1, \dots, a_l\}) \subseteq G^\circ$ extends to a homomorphism $F \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. Put $\eta(a_i^t) = (\eta(a_i))^t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, then η extends to a homomorphism $\eta: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$ from the free group \mathcal{F} generated by $\{a_1^{t_1}, \dots, a_l^{t_l}, e_1, \dots, e_m\}_{t_i \in \mathbb{R}}$. Since $\eta(\mathcal{F}_{r+1}) \subseteq G_{r+1} = \{1_G\}$, η factors to a homomorphism $F \rightarrow G$. ■

2.4. Let G be a nilpotent Lie group such that G/G° is finitely generated. Then G is generated by a set of the form $\{a_1^{t_1}, \dots, a_l^{t_l}, e_1, \dots, e_m\}_{t_i \in \mathbb{R}}$, where $a_1^{t_1}, \dots, a_l^{t_l}$ generate G° and e_1, \dots, e_m generate G/G° . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that G is a factor of the free nilpotent Lie group of the same nilpotency class as G with continuous generators a_1, \dots, a_l and discrete generators e_1, \dots, e_m .

2.5. Lemma. *Let G be a nilpotent group and let H be a subgroup of G such that $HG_2 = G$. Then $H = G$.*

Proof. Let $G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_r \supseteq G_{r+1} = \{1_G\}$ be the lower central series of G . By induction on r , $HG_r = G$, and it is only to be checked that $G_r \subseteq H$. G_r is generated by elements of the form $[b, a]$ with $a \in G$ and $b \in G_{r-1}$. Let $c \in H$ be such that $cG_2 = aG_2$ and $d \in H \cap G_{r-1}$ be such that $dG_r = bG_r$. Then $[d, c] \in H$ and $[d, c] = [b, a]$. ■

2.6. Lemma. *Let F be a free nilpotent Lie group and let a self-homomorphism τ of F be such that the induced self-homomorphism of F/F_2 is invertible. Then τ is also invertible.*

Proof. Since $\tau(F)F_2 = F$, $\tau(F) = F$ by Lemma 2.5. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that there exists a homomorphism $\sigma: F \rightarrow F$ such that $\tau\sigma = \text{Id}_F$. Since σ induces an automorphism of F/F_2 , σ is also surjective. Hence, $\sigma = \tau^{-1}$. ■

2.7. We say that an automorphism τ of a group G is *unipotent* if the mapping $\xi: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $\xi(a) = \tau(a)a^{-1}$, $a \in G$, satisfies $\xi^{\circ q} \equiv \mathbf{1}_G$ for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough.

2.8. Proposition. *Let G be a nilpotent group and let τ_1, \dots, τ_k be automorphisms of G such that the automorphisms induced by τ_1, \dots, τ_k on G/G_2 are unipotent and commute. Then the group extension of G by τ_1, \dots, τ_k is nilpotent. In particular, τ_1, \dots, τ_k generate a nilpotent group.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be the group of automorphisms of G generated by τ_1, \dots, τ_k . For $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ let $\xi_\delta: G \rightarrow G$ be defined by $\xi_\delta(a) = \delta(a)a^{-1}$, $a \in G$. Since τ_1, \dots, τ_k are unipotent and commuting on G/G_2 , there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi_\delta^{\circ q}(G) \subseteq G_2$ for any $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$. For $j = 0, 1, \dots$ let $A_{1,j}$ be the subgroup of G generated by G_2 and the set $\{\xi_{\delta_1 \circ \dots \circ \delta_j}(G), \delta_1, \dots, \delta_j \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We then have a \mathcal{T} -invariant series $G = A_{1,0} \supseteq A_{1,1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_{1,q-1} \supseteq A_{1,q} = G_2$ such that for any $j < q$, $a \in A_{1,j}$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ one has $\delta(a) = ca$ with $c \in A_{1,j+1}$.

Let $G = G_1 \supseteq G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_r \supseteq G_{r+1} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$ be the lower central series of G . For each $s = 2, \dots, r$ and $j \geq 0$ let $A_{s,j}$ be the subgroup of G_s generated by G_{s+1} and $\{[A_{s-1,l}, A_{1,m}] : l+m = j\}$. Then $A_{s,sq} = G_{s+1}$ and we get the \mathcal{T} -invariant series $G_s = A_{s,0} \supseteq A_{s,1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_{s,sq-1} \supseteq A_{s,sq} = G_{s+1}$.

Lemma. *For any $s \leq r$, $j < sq$, $a \in A_{s,j}$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ one has $\delta(a) = ba$ with $b \in A_{s,j+1}$.*

Proof. Let $a = [v, u]$ where $u \in A_{1,l}$ and $v \in A_{s-1,m}$ with $l+m = j$. Then $\delta(u) = cu$ with $c \in A_{1,l+1}$, and, by induction on s , $\delta(v) = dv$ with $d \in A_{s-1,m+1}$. Thus $\delta(a) = \delta([v, u]) = [dv, cu] = [d, c][v, c][d, u]v[w, u]$ with $w \in G_{s+1}$, and so, $\delta(a) = ba$ where $b = [d, c][v, c][d, u]w \in A_{s,j+1}$. ■

Let us now consider the “long” series

$$G = A_{1,0} \supseteq A_{1,1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_{1,q-1} \supseteq A_{1,q} = A_{2,0} \supseteq A_{2,1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_{2,q-1} \supseteq A_{2,2q} = A_{3,0} \supseteq \dots \\ \dots \supseteq A_{r-1,(r-1)q} = A_{r,0} \supseteq A_{r,1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_{r,rq-1} \supseteq A_{r,rq} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}.$$

Denote the distinct terms of this series by A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p so that $G = A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_p = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$ is a \mathcal{T} -invariant central series in G such that for any $j < p$, $a \in A_j$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ one has $\delta(a) = ba$ with $b \in A_{j+1}$. Also, define $A_{p+1} = A_{p+2} = \dots = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{T}_2 \supseteq \dots$ be the lower central series of \mathcal{T} .

Lemma. *For any $l, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_l$ and $a \in A_j$ one has $\tau(a) = ca$ with $c \in A_{j+l}$.*

Proof. We will use induction on l . Assume that the statement is true for some l ; let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_l$, $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$, $a \in A_j$, $\delta(a) = ba$ and $\tau(a) = ca$ with $b \in A_{j+1}$ and $c \in A_{j+l}$. Then $\delta^{-1}(a) = \delta^{-1}(b^{-1})a$ and $\tau^{-1}(a) = \tau^{-1}(c^{-1})a$. Also, we have $\tau(b) \equiv b \pmod{A_{j+l+1}}$ and $\delta(c) \equiv c \pmod{A_{j+l+1}}$. Performing calculations modulo A_{j+l+1} we obtain

$$[\tau, \delta](a) \equiv \tau^{-1}\delta^{-1}\tau\delta(a) \equiv \tau^{-1}\delta^{-1}\tau(ba) = \tau^{-1}\delta^{-1}(bca) \equiv \tau^{-1}(\delta^{-1}(b)c\delta^{-1}(b^{-1})a) \\ \equiv \tau^{-1}(\delta^{-1}(b)c\delta^{-1}(b^{-1}))\tau^{-1}(c^{-1})a \equiv \tau^{-1}([\delta^{-1}(b^{-1}), c^{-1}])a \equiv a \pmod{A_{j+l+1}}.$$

It follows that $\tau(a) = a$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_p$ and $a \in G$. Hence, \mathcal{T}_p is trivial and \mathcal{T} is nilpotent.

Now let \widehat{G} be the extension of G by \mathcal{T} , that is, $\widehat{G} = \{(a, \delta), a \in G, \delta \in \mathcal{T}\}$ with $(a_1, \delta_1)(a_2, \delta_2) = (a_2\delta_1(a_2), \delta_1\delta_2)$. We will identify G and \mathcal{T} with their images in \widehat{G} ; then $(a, \delta) = a\delta$, $\delta(a) = \delta a\delta^{-1}$ and $[a, \delta] = a^{-1}\delta^{-1}(a)$. Given $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $b \in A_j$, $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_j$, $a \in G$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$, one has $\delta b\delta^{-1} = \delta(b) \in A_j$, $[b, \delta] = b^{-1}\delta^{-1}(b) \in A_{j+1}$ and $[\tau, a] = \tau^{-1}(a^{-1})a \in A_{j+1}$. Thus,

$$[b\tau, a\delta] = (\tau^{-1}[b, \delta]\tau)(\tau^{-1}\delta^{-1}[b, a]\delta\tau)([\tau, \delta]\delta^{-1}[\tau, a]\delta[\tau, \delta]^{-1})[\tau, \delta] \in A_{j+1}\mathcal{T}_{j+1}.$$

Hence $\widehat{G} = A_1\mathcal{T}_1 \supseteq A_2\mathcal{T}_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq A_p\mathcal{T}_p = \{\mathbf{1}_{\widehat{G}}\}$ is a central series in \widehat{G} and \widehat{G} is nilpotent. ■

2.9. We want also to mention the following fact. Assume that $\eta: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is a group homomorphism and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and Γ are closed subgroups of \tilde{G} and G respectively such that $\eta(\tilde{\Gamma}) \subseteq \Gamma$. Then η factors to a homomorphism of homogeneous spaces $\tilde{X} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\eta} X = G/\Gamma$. Assume that the set $\{\varphi(a)\}_{a \in A}$ of values of a mapping $\varphi: A \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ from an amenable group A is well distributed in \tilde{X} . Then $\{\eta(\varphi(a))\}_{a \in A}$ is well distributed in $Y = \eta(\tilde{X})$. Indeed, for any $f \in C(Y)$ and any Følner sequence $\{\Phi_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ in A we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{a \in \Phi_N} f(\eta \circ \varphi(a)) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Phi_N|} \sum_{a \in \Phi_N} f \circ \eta(\varphi(a)) = \int_{\tilde{X}} f \circ \eta d\mu_{\tilde{X}} = \int_Y f d(\eta_* \mu_{\tilde{X}}),$$

where $\mu_{\tilde{X}}$ is the \tilde{G} -invariant probability measure on \tilde{X} . $\eta_*(\mu_{\tilde{X}})$ is therefore the $\eta(\tilde{G})$ -invariant probability measure on Y , that is, μ_Y .

2.10. From now on let G be a nilpotent Lie group with identity component G^o , Γ be a closed uniform subgroup of G and $X = G/\Gamma$. We may and will assume that G/G^o is finitely generated and that Γ is discrete; see [L] for more detail.

The group G possesses a *basis*, that is, a system $a_1, \dots, a_l \in G^o$, $e_1, \dots, e_m \in G$, such that any element of G is representable in the form $a_1^{t_1} \dots a_l^{t_l} e_1^{k_1} \dots e_m^{k_m}$ with $t_1, \dots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and Γ is a subgroup of finite index in $\langle a_1, \dots, a_l, e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle$. (For the case of a connected G see [M], for the general case see [L].) We will refer to a_1, \dots, a_l as to *continuous generators* and to e_1, \dots, e_m as to *discrete generators*. The multiplication in a nilpotent group is polynomial; this implies that any polynomial mapping $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ can be written in the basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_l, e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ in the form $g(n) = a_1^{p_1(n)} \dots a_l^{p_l(n)} e_1^{q_1(n)} \dots e_m^{q_m(n)}$, where p_1, \dots, p_l are polynomial mappings $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and q_1, \dots, q_m are polynomial mappings $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

2.11. Proof of Theorem B*. Let $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ be a polynomial mapping and let $x \in X$. Our plan is to represent X as a factor, $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} X$, of a “larger” nilmanifold $\tilde{X} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{\Gamma}$ and find a homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ so that the “polynomial orbit” $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ would be the projection, $g(n)x = \tilde{\eta}(\varphi(n)\tilde{x})$, of the “linear orbit” $\{\varphi(n)\tilde{x}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ in \tilde{X} . (Let us remark that in the construction that follows G is not a factor-group of \tilde{G} and g is not a projection of φ .) This will allow us to derive Theorem B* from Theorem 1.4.

Let $\pi: G \rightarrow X$ be the factorization mapping and let $a \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. Choose a basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_K\}$ in G , where some of a_k may be continuous and some may be discrete, and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c^s \in \Gamma$ for any $c \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_K \rangle$. Write $g(n)a = a_1^{p_1(n)} \dots a_K^{p_K(n)}$, where p_k , $k = 1, \dots, K$, is a polynomial mapping $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ if a_k is a discrete generator and $\mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if a_k is a continuous generator. Any polynomial mapping $p: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is representable in the form $p(n_1, \dots, n_d) = \sum_j \lambda_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^d r_{j,i}^{n_i} \right)$, $r_{j,i} \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$, with all $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $p(\mathbb{Z}^d) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. This allows us to write

$$g(n)a = \prod_{j=1}^J a_{k_j}^{\lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^d r_{j,i}^{n_i}}, \quad n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$ if a_{k_j} is a continuous generator and $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ if a_{k_j} is a discrete generator. For each $j = 1, \dots, J$ define $V_j = \prod_{i=1}^d \{0, \dots, r_{j,i}\}$, and let \tilde{G} be the free nilpotent Lie group of same nilpotency class as G , with generators $\alpha_{j,v}$, $v \in V_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, such that $\alpha_{j,v}$ is continuous if a_{k_j} is continuous, and discrete if a_{k_j} is discrete. Define an epimorphism $\eta: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ by

$$\eta(\alpha_{j,v}) = \begin{cases} a_{k_j} & \text{if } v = (r_{j,1}, \dots, r_{j,d}), \\ \mathbf{1}_G & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad v \in V_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, J\}.$$

Let $\Gamma(\tilde{G})$ be the lattice $\langle \alpha_{j,v}, j \in \{1, \dots, J\}, v \in V_j \rangle$ in \tilde{G} and let $\tilde{\Gamma} = \langle \gamma^s, \gamma \in \Gamma(\tilde{G}) \rangle$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a discrete uniform subgroup of \tilde{G} invariant under all automorphisms of $\Gamma(\tilde{G})$ and $\eta(\tilde{\Gamma}) \subseteq \Gamma$. Define $\tilde{X} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{\Gamma}$ and let $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the factorization mapping; then η factors to a mapping $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ so that $\eta \circ \tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \eta$.

We will now define automorphisms τ_1, \dots, τ_d of \tilde{G} . For each $i = 1, \dots, d$ let ϵ_i be the i -th basis vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in \mathbb{Z}^d and let

$$\tau_i(\alpha_{j,v}) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{j,v} \alpha_{j,v+\epsilon_i} & \text{if } v = (v_1, \dots, v_d) \text{ with } v_i < r_{j,i}, \\ \alpha_{j,v} & \text{if } v_i = r_{j,i} \end{cases}, \quad v \in V_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, J\}.$$

(The following diagram shows how $\alpha^{-1}\tau_i(\alpha)$ act; here $d = 2$, $r_{j,1} = 2$, $r_{j,2} = 3$, “ \rightarrow ” stands for $\alpha^{-1}\tau_1(\alpha)$ and “ \downarrow ” stands for $\alpha^{-1}\tau_2(\alpha)$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \alpha_{j,(0,0)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(1,0)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(2,0)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha_{j,(0,1)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(1,1)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(2,1)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha_{j,(0,2)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(1,2)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(2,2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha_{j,(0,3)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(1,3)} & \rightarrow & \alpha_{j,(2,3)}. \end{array}$$

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, τ_1, \dots, τ_d are extendible to automorphisms of \tilde{G} . One checks that for any $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_d)$ with $v_i = 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ if a_{k_j} is continuous and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ if a_{k_j} is discrete one has $\tau_i^n(\alpha_{j,v}^\lambda) = \prod_{m=0}^{r_{j,i}} \alpha_{j,v+m\epsilon_i}^{\lambda \binom{n}{m}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that for any $(n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ one has $\tau_1^{n_1} \dots \tau_d^{n_d}(\alpha_{j,(0,\dots,0)}^\lambda) = \prod_{m_d=0}^{r_{j,d}} \dots \prod_{m_1=0}^{r_{j,1}} \alpha_{j,(m_1,\dots,m_d)}^{\lambda \binom{n_1}{m_1} \dots \binom{n_d}{m_d}}$, and $\eta((\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i})(\alpha_{j,(0,\dots,0)}^\lambda)) = a_{k_j}^{\lambda \prod_{i=1}^d \binom{n_i}{r_{j,i}}}$. Define $\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^J \alpha_{j,(0,\dots,0)}^{\lambda_j}$, then

$$\eta\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right)(\alpha)\right) = \prod_{j=1}^J a_{k_j}^{\lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^d \binom{n_i}{r_{j,i}}} = g(n)a, \quad n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (2.1)$$

The automorphisms induced by τ_1, \dots, τ_d on \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_2 are unipotent and commute. (The automorphisms τ_i themselves do not commute: $\tau_1\tau_2\alpha_{j,(0,0)} = \alpha_{j,(0,0)}\alpha_{j,(1,0)}\alpha_{j,(0,1)}\alpha_{j,(1,1)}$, whereas $\tau_1\tau_2\alpha_{j,(0,0)} = \alpha_{j,(0,0)}\alpha_{j,(0,1)}\alpha_{j,(1,0)}\alpha_{j,(1,1)}$.) Let \hat{G} be the extension of \tilde{G} by the discrete group of automorphisms generated by τ_1, \dots, τ_d ; by Proposition 2.8, \hat{G} is nilpotent. \tilde{G} is normal in \hat{G} , so $(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i})\alpha(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i})^{-1} \in \tilde{G}$ and by (2.1),

$$\eta\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right)\alpha\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right)^{-1}\right) = g(n)a, \quad n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (2.2)$$

Define $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \hat{\Gamma}, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k \rangle$; since τ_i preserve $\hat{\Gamma}$ one has $\hat{\Gamma} \cap \tilde{G} = \hat{\Gamma}$. Hence, $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a discrete subgroup in \hat{G} and $\hat{G}/\hat{\Gamma} \simeq \tilde{G}/\hat{\Gamma} = \tilde{X}$; let $\hat{\pi}: \hat{G} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the factorization mapping. We get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G} & \subseteq & \hat{G} \\ \eta \downarrow & \hat{\pi} \searrow & \downarrow \hat{\pi} \\ G & & \tilde{X} \\ & \hat{\pi} \searrow & \downarrow \eta \\ & & X \end{array}$$

Let $\tilde{x} = \hat{\pi}(\alpha) \in \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{g}(n) = \prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then, since $\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i} \in \hat{\Gamma}$, we have by (2.2):

$$\tilde{g}(n)\tilde{x} = \hat{\pi}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right)\alpha\right) = \hat{\pi}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right)\alpha\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right)^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta} \pi(g(n)a) = g(n)x, \quad (2.3)$$

$n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

The polynomial mapping $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is not a homomorphism since τ_i do not commute. We will now repeat the procedure described above. Let $\tilde{\hat{G}}$ be the free nilpotent group of same nilpotency class as \hat{G} with generators $\alpha_{j,v}$ for $v \in V_j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, and discrete generators τ_i and δ_i for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Define an epimorphism $\tilde{\eta}: \tilde{\hat{G}} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}(\alpha_{j,v}) &= \alpha_{j,v}, \quad v \in V_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, J\}, \\ \tilde{\eta}(\tau_i) &= \tau_i \text{ and } \tilde{\eta}(\delta_i) = \mathbf{1}_{\tilde{\hat{G}}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

Define automorphisms σ_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$, of $\tilde{\hat{G}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(\alpha_{j,v}) &= \alpha_{j,v}, \quad v \in V_j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, J\}, \\ \sigma_i(\tau_l) &= \tau_l, \quad \sigma_i(\delta_l) = \begin{cases} \delta_l & \text{if } l \neq i \\ \delta_l \tau_i & \text{if } l = i \end{cases}, \quad l = 1, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

$\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ commute and the automorphisms induced by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ on $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{G}_2$ are unipotent. For any i one has $\sigma_i^n(\delta_i) = \delta_i \tau_i^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Put $\delta = (\prod_{i=1}^d \delta_i) \alpha$, then $(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i})(\delta) = (\prod_{i=1}^d \delta_i \tau_i^{n_i}) \alpha$ and

$$\widetilde{\eta}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}\right)(\delta)\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right) \alpha, \quad (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (2.4)$$

Now let \widehat{G} be the extension of \widetilde{G} by the discrete group generated by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$. By Proposition 2.8, \widehat{G} is nilpotent. \widetilde{G} is normal in \widehat{G} , so $(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}) \delta (\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i})^{-1} \in \widetilde{G}$ and by (2.4),

$$\widetilde{\eta}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}\right) \delta \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}\right)^{-1}\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right) \alpha, \quad (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (2.5)$$

Let $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \langle \widetilde{\Gamma}, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d \rangle \subseteq \widetilde{G}$ and $\widehat{\Gamma} = \langle \widehat{\Gamma}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d \rangle \subseteq \widehat{G}$. Then $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}$ are discrete uniform subgroups of \widetilde{G} and \widehat{G} respectively, and $\widetilde{X} := \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{\Gamma} \simeq \widehat{G}/\widehat{\Gamma}$; let $\widehat{\pi}: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be the factorization mapping. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{G} & \subseteq & \widehat{G} \\ \widetilde{\eta} \downarrow & \searrow \downarrow \widehat{\pi} & \\ \widetilde{G} & & \widetilde{X} \\ & \searrow \downarrow \widetilde{\eta} & \\ & & \widetilde{X} \end{array}$$

Put $\widetilde{x} = \widehat{\pi}(\delta)$ and define $\varphi(n) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then, since $\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$, we have by (2.5):

$$\varphi(n) \widetilde{x} = \widehat{\pi}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}\right) \delta\right) = \widehat{\pi}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}\right) \delta \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{n_i}\right)^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\eta}} \widehat{\pi}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i^{n_i}\right) \alpha\right) = \widetilde{g}(n) \widetilde{x},$$

$n = (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Combining this with (2.3) we get $\eta \circ \widetilde{\eta}(\varphi(n) \widetilde{x}) = g(n)x$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Since $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ commute, $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ is a group homomorphism. By Theorem 1.4, there exists a closed subgroup E of \widehat{G} such that $\varphi(\mathbb{Z}^d) \subseteq E$ and $\{\varphi(n) \widetilde{x}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is well distributed in Ex . Let \widetilde{H} be the identity component of E ; since $\widehat{G}/\widetilde{G}$ is discrete, $\widetilde{H} \subseteq \widetilde{G}$. $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}$ is a connected component of $E \widetilde{x}$; since $E \widetilde{x}$ is compact it consists of finitely many translates of $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}$ and so, the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x})$ of $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}$ has finite index in E . Let W be the finite group $\mathbb{Z}^d / \varphi^{-1}(\text{Stab}(\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}))$, let $\omega: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow W$ be the factorization mapping, for each $w \in W$ let $n_w \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a representative of w and $\widetilde{x}_w = \varphi(n_w) \widetilde{x}$. Then $Ex = \bigcup_{w \in W} \widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}_w$, $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}_w$ is closed and $\{\varphi(n) \widetilde{x}\}_{n \in \omega^{-1}(w)}$ is well distributed in $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}_w$ for any $w \in W$.

Now let $\widetilde{H} = \widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{H}) \subseteq \widetilde{G}$. Since \widetilde{H} is connected, $\widetilde{H} \subseteq \widetilde{G}$; let $H = \eta(\widetilde{H})$. Let $x_w = \eta \circ \widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{x}_w)$, $w \in W$. For each $w \in W$, since $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}_w$ is compact, $Hx_w = \eta \circ \widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}_w)$ is closed in X . Let $b \in \pi^{-1}(Hx_0)$; since Γ is discrete, Hb is a connected component of the closed set $\pi^{-1}(Hx_0)$, and thus H is closed in G . By 2.9, $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \omega^{-1}(w)} = \{\eta \circ \widetilde{\eta}(\varphi(n) \widetilde{x})\}_{n \in \omega^{-1}(w)}$ is well distributed in Hx_w for any $w \in W$. ■

2.12. Remark. Note that the components Hx_w of $\overline{\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}}$ do not have to be distinct though $\widetilde{H} \widetilde{x}_w$ are all distinct. Here is a simple example: let $G = \mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, $x = 0$, $d = 1$, $g(n) = \frac{n^2}{3} \in \mathbb{R}$; then $H = 0$, $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = x_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, so that $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{0, \frac{1}{3}\}$.

2.13. Proof of Theorem D. In the notation of 2.11, the action of \mathbb{Z}^d on X by $x \mapsto \varphi(n)x$, $x \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, is distal. (See, for example, [L].) It follows that the point $\varphi(0) \widetilde{x}$ is IP*-recurrent for the sequence $\{\varphi(n) \widetilde{x}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. ([F], Theorem 9.11.) Hence, the point $g(0)x$ is IP*-recurrent for the sequence $\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} = \{\eta \circ \widetilde{\eta}(\varphi(n) \widetilde{x})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$. ■

2.14. Proof of Theorem C. Let X be connected and let $g: \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow G$ be a polynomial mapping. Let $x \in X$ and let, by Theorem B, H be a connected closed subgroup of G such that $\overline{\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hx_j$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$.

Let $T = [G^o, G^o] \backslash X$ and $p: X \rightarrow T$ be the factorization mapping. Assume that $\{g(n)p(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is dense in T . Then $T = \bigcup_{j=1}^k Hp(x_j)$, and since T is connected, $Hp(x_j) = T$ for some j . Thus $H[G^o, G^o](\Gamma \cap G^o) = G^o$, and since Γ is countable, $H[G^o, G^o] = G^o$. By Lemma 2.5, $H = G^o$, so $\overline{\{g(n)x\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} = Hx_1 = X$. ■

Acknowledgment. I thank V. Bergelson for useful communications.

Bibliography

- [F] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [L] A. Leibman, Pointwise convergence of ergodic averages for polynomial sequences of translations on a nilmanifold, preprint. Available at <http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/~leibman/preprints>
- [Sh1] N. Shah, Limit distributions of polynomial trajectories on homogeneous spaces, *Duke Math. J.* **75** (1994), no.3, 711-732.
- [Sh2] N. Shah, Invariant measures and orbit closures on homogeneous spaces for actions of subgroups generated by unipotent elements, *Lie groups and ergodic theory (Mumbai, 1996)*, 229-271, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1998.
- [M] A. Malcev, On a class of homogeneous spaces, *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* **13** (1949), 9-32.