



L_2 forms) regarded as an element of $\bar{K}(E)$.

$$\text{div}(f) = [P] + [Q] + [R]$$

$$- ([P+Q] + [O] + [R])$$

$$= [P] - [O] + [Q] - [O] - ([P+Q] - [O])$$

line $L_2=0$

Thus in $\mathbb{P}.c^0(E)$, $J(P) + J(Q) - J(P+Q) = 0$. \square

Our goal in the next two weeks: prove the

Mordell-Weil Theorem: Let K be a number field.

Let (E, O) be an elliptic curve over K .

Then the abelian group $E(K)$ is

finitely-generated. (Hence \cong (finite torsion group) $\times \mathbb{Z}^r$ some $r \geq 1$).

Isogenies:

Defn: Let (E, O) and (E', O') be elliptic curves over k . An isogeny from (E, O) to (E', O') is a non-constant (hence surjective) morphism

$$\phi: E \longrightarrow E' \text{ such that}$$

$$\phi(O) = O'$$

Key example: $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. The multiplication by

$$m \text{ isogeny: } [m]: E \longrightarrow E$$

- For $m > 0$, $[m]P = \underbrace{P + P + \dots + P}_m P$
- For $m < 0$, $[m]P = -[-m]P$
- Clearly $[m]$ is a morphism and a group hom.
- For $m \neq \pm 1$, $[m]$ is not an isomorphism

Ex: Suppose $\text{char } k \neq 2$, and for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ distinct

$$E: y^2 = (x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2)(x - \lambda_3), \quad \mathcal{O} = [0, 1, 0]$$

Then $[2]P = \mathcal{O} \iff P = \mathcal{O}$ or $P = (\lambda_i, 0)$ for some i .

Notation: We write $E[m]$ for $\ker([m])$

$$(\{P \in E \mid [m]P = \mathcal{O}\}).$$

and write $E[m](k)$ (or $E(k)[m]$) for $E(k) \cap E[m]$.

Important exercise: $[m]$ is actually non-constant! See PSet 5 when $\text{char } k \neq 2$ (sufficient for our proof of M-W).

- We won't need other isogenies, but they are important in the general theory. We'll sketch

one nice general fact, though:

Prop: Every isogeny $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ is a group homomorphism.

Sketch: $J: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(E)$ $\xrightarrow{[\mathcal{P}] - [\mathcal{O}]}$
 $\phi \downarrow$ \vdots define a map $\phi_*: \text{Pic}^0(E) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E')$
 $J': E' \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(E')$ \downarrow
 $P' \longmapsto [P] - [\mathcal{O}']$ by
 $\phi_* \left(\sum_{P \in E} c_P \cdot [P] \right)$
 $= \sum c_P [\phi(P)]$

With this defn, the diagram commutes since ϕ is an isogeny.

Thus $\phi = (J')^{-1} \circ \phi_* \circ J$ is a group homomorphism since J, ϕ_*, J' are.

This is obviously a gp hom $\text{Div}^0(E) \rightarrow \text{Div}^0(E')$
 Must check that ϕ_* descends to $\text{Pic}^0(E) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(E')$.

□

In particular, we can speak of the

kernel of an isogeny.

Cor: $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ an isogeny. Then $\ker(\phi) = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}')$ is a finite subgroup of E . (eq, $E[m]$ is a finite subgroup of E ($m \neq 0$))

Pf: Since $\deg(\phi) = \sum_{P \in \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}')} e_{\phi}(P)$, $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}')$ is finite. \square

Let's return to $[m]: E \rightarrow E$.

Some fundamental facts:

Theorem: Let (E, \mathcal{O}) be an elliptic curve over k , and let $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

① $[m]: E \rightarrow E$ is an isogeny of degree m^2 .

② If $(m, \text{char } k) = 1$, then $[m]$ is separable ($k(E)/[m]^*k(E)$ is separable), and

$$E[m] := \ker([m]) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/m$$

* The proof of the M-W theorem will depend on an analysis of the G_k -module $E[m]$; from the exercise above, we know at least that this is finite. (a more refined understanding of the $E[m]$ as G_k -module is central in studying elliptic curves —)

Road to Mordell-Weil

eg in the proof Fermat's last thm

F - number field.

E an elliptic curve over F

Thm^{MW} $E(F)$ is finitely-generated.

Two steps:

Thm¹ (Weak Mordell-Weil) For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$,

$E(F)/_m E(F)$ is finite.

Rmk. ① Without further info about $E(F)$, this does not guarantee finite generation. (eg \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{Z}_p or ...)

② For M-W, suffices to prove for a single m

(e.g., taking $m=2$ can give somewhat easier here-and-there arguments, at least if you assume --- about $E[2](F)$ --)

Thm² (Descent via heights) Detailed

statement later. Roughly, "heights" are a way of measuring the size of elements of $E(F)$,

and we show : $[m] : E(F) \rightarrow E(F)$

increases the height, and $\forall X \in \mathbb{R}, E(F)$ has only

finitely many points with height $\leq X$.

Thm¹ + Thm² \Rightarrow Thm^{MW}.

We'll first discuss weak Mordell-Weil.
 It will use a chunk of algebraic number theory & one crucial fact from the study of elliptic curves over local fields (like \mathbb{Q}_p & its finite extensions).

We know $E(\bar{F}) \xrightarrow{[m]} E(\bar{F})$ is surjective

$E(F) \xrightarrow{[m]} E(F)$ is not. To show $E(F)/_m E(F)$ is finite, we'll show that \exists finite extension L/F such that $\forall P \in E(F)$, $[m]^{-1}(P) \in E(L)$

(clear $[L:F]$ bdd. local analysis will bound the set of ramified primes).

The local input: Let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension. The integral closure \mathcal{O}_K of \mathbb{Z}_p in K is still a DVR, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_K = (\varpi)$ (ϖ is a choice of uniformizer)

$p \in \mathfrak{m}_K$, so $p = u \cdot \varpi^{e_K}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$, $e_K \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

$e_K =$ the ramification index of K/\mathbb{Q}_p . When $e_K = 1$, we say K/\mathbb{Q}_p is unramified.

The quotient $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K = \mathbb{k}$ is called the residue field of K .

$$[k:\mathbb{F}_p] < \infty,$$

Ex: $K = \mathbb{Q}_p[\sqrt{p}]$ has $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}_p[\sqrt{p}]$, $\varpi = \sqrt{p}$
 $e_K = 2.$

Like \mathbb{Z}_p , \mathcal{O}_K is a complete DVR:

$$\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{m}_K^n = \left\{ (\dots, a_n, \dots, a_2, a_1) \mid a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{m}_K^i \right. \\ \left. \text{and } a_{i+1} \equiv a_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_K^i} \right\}$$

Hensel's Lemma holds exactly as before.

Ex: • Let k/\mathbb{F}_p be finite, $|k| = q = p^f$. Then \exists an unramified extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p such that $\mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{m}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} k$

Pf: Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p[\alpha]$ (α gen. of k^*), let $\bar{f}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be the min. poly. of α . Lift $\bar{f}(x)$ to a monic $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. $\bar{f}(x)$ irr. $\Rightarrow f(x)$ is irr. in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x] \xrightarrow{\text{(Gauss)}} f(x)$ is irr. in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$

$\Rightarrow K := \mathbb{Q}_p[x]/(f(x))$ is a field extension of \mathbb{Q}_p

with $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p] = \deg(f) = \deg(\bar{f}) = [k:\mathbb{F}_p]$. This works:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(f(x), p) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & k \\ \downarrow & & \searrow \Rightarrow k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K / \varpi \mathcal{O}_K \\ \mathcal{O}_K / p \mathcal{O}_K & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K / \varpi \mathcal{O}_K \end{array}$$

) define this
) s.t. the diagram commutes

$$\text{and } [k:\mathbb{F}_p] = [K:\mathbb{Q}_p] \geq [O_K/\omega O_K:\mathbb{Z}/p]$$

$$\text{Thus } k \simeq O_K/\omega O_K$$

(concretely,
 $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{q-1})$).

- For any K/\mathbb{Q}_p with residue field k and any L/k finite, \exists unramified extension L/K with residue field L . (same proof)

What we'll need: \leftarrow means $m_K \cdot \mathcal{O}_L = m_L$

Let K/\mathbb{Q}_p be a finite extension. Let E/K be an elliptic curve, given by a w -E. We may and do assume (by rescaling) that the coefficients all lie in \mathcal{O}_K and are not all divisible by w .

Thus the reduction mod \bar{w} of the w -E gives a w -E, possibly singular, over $\mathcal{O}_K/\bar{w}\mathcal{O}_K$.

Ex: $y^2 = x^3 + px$ over \mathbb{Q}_p (ell curve)

\downarrow
 $y^2 = x^3$ over \mathbb{F}_p (singular cubic)
 $(0,0)$ is the singular point.

Defn: E/K has good reduction if it is possible to choose a w -E. for E such that the reduction $\bar{E}/(\mathcal{O}_K/\bar{w})$ is nonsingular (and thus is an elliptic curve over \mathcal{O}_K/\bar{w} , with identity $[0, 1, 0] \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathcal{O}_K/\bar{w})$).

(This is a little subtle — we haven't discussed how unique a w -E. for E is, but it can be extracted from

the Riemann-Roch argument.)

Lemma: Let E/\mathbb{F} be an elliptic curve over a number field \mathbb{F} . Then for all but finitely many ("almost all") primes \mathfrak{p} of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, the elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ has good reduction.

Pf: Choose any W.E. for E , which we may assume has the form $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.
 $\Delta(a, b) = 4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$ since E is nonsingular.
For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ prime, as long as $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \Delta(a, b)$, the reduction $\overline{E}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $E \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ is still nonsingular. \square

* See any of the standard references for a more thorough treatment of reduction of elliptic curves. For simplicity, since it suffices for M-W, we will restrict to:

↓↓↓ ASSUME THIS IN WHAT FOLLOWS

K/\mathbb{Q}_p finite. E/K an elliptic curve with good reduction. \overline{E} = the resulting ell. curve over $k = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$

We have a map (of sets)

$$E(K) \rightarrow \bar{E}(k) \text{ given by}$$

$$[x, y, z] \mapsto [\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}] \text{ where we choose}$$

$$(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{O}_K^3 \setminus \bar{w}\mathcal{O}_K^3 \quad (\bar{x} = x \bmod \bar{w}, \text{ etc.}), \text{ and such}$$

normalization is unique up to \mathcal{O}_K^\times -multiple, yielding a well-defined reduction $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}] \in \bar{E}(k) \subset \mathbb{P}^2(k)$

$E(K) \rightarrow \bar{E}(k)$ is in fact a group homomorphism:

lines reduce to lines, and $P, Q, R \in E(K)$ satisfy

$$P + Q + R = \mathcal{O} \Leftrightarrow P, Q, R \text{ colinear in } \mathbb{P}^2(K)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{P}, \bar{Q}, \bar{R} \text{ colinear in } \mathbb{P}^2(k) \Leftrightarrow \bar{P} + \bar{Q} + \bar{R} = \mathcal{O} \text{ in } \bar{E}(k)$$

(check is required to see this still works when $\bar{P}, \bar{Q}, \bar{R}$ not distinct - exercise).

Lemma: Let $E_1(K) = \ker(E(K) \rightarrow \bar{E}(k))$.

Then we have an exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow E_1(K) \rightarrow E(K) \rightarrow \bar{E}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

Pf: The only thing to show is surjectivity of $E(K) \rightarrow \bar{E}(k)$

Since each point of $\bar{E}(k)$ is nonsingular, surjectivity

follows from (multivariable) Hensel's Lemma as

discussed at the start of the course.

Here is the input we'll need for Mordell-Weil:

Theorem: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ not divisible by p ,

① $[m]: E_1(K) \rightarrow E_1(K)$ is an isomorphism.

② For any $P \in E(K)$, there exists a finite unramified extension L/K and a $Q \in E(L)$ such that $[m]Q = P$.

③ The reduction map $E(K)[m] \rightarrow \bar{E}(k)$ is injective.

Pf: ① will take work. Let's show ① \Rightarrow (② and ③).

Assume ①. ②: Take $P \in E(K)$, $\bar{P} \in \bar{E}(k)$ the reduction.

$[m]: \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{E}$ is an isogeny, so $\exists k'/k$ finite and $\bar{Q} \in \bar{E}(k')$ such that $[m]\bar{Q} = \bar{P}$.

Let K'/K be the unramified extension with residue field k' (lemma start of class). Have exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1(K') \rightarrow E(K') \rightarrow \bar{E}(k') \rightarrow 0$$

$$\exists \begin{array}{ccc} \cup & & \cup \\ \bar{Q}' & \longrightarrow & \bar{Q} \end{array}$$

Now $[m]Q - P$ reduces to \bar{Q} in $\bar{E}(k')$, hence

lies in $E_1(K') \stackrel{\text{①}}{=} [m] \cdot E_1(K')$, so

$[m]Q - P = [m]Q'$, $Q' \in E_1(K')$, so

$\bar{Q} = [m]\bar{Q}'$

$P = [m] \cdot Q$ for some $Q \in E(K')$.

(3): $E_1(K) \cap E(K)[m] = \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}(1)$ (sing.). ✓

Do
~~Finish~~ (1) next time →