

C^* -algebras

An involution on a C -alg. is a fct $\ast : A \rightarrow A$; a C^* S.t.

① $(\lambda a + b)^\ast = \bar{\lambda} a^\ast + b^\ast \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in A$

② $(ab)^\ast = b^\ast a^\ast \quad \text{forall } a, b \in A$

③ $a^{\ast\ast} = a \quad \text{forall } a \in A$

$\hookrightarrow (A, \ast)$ called a complex \ast -alg.

Examples:

① $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ w/ adjoint/conjugate transpose.

② $C \otimes \mathbb{C}$ w/ componentwise addition + multiplication,
and $(a, b)^\ast := (\bar{b}, \bar{a})$.

③ $C(\Sigma)$ w/ cpt. trace, $f^\ast = \bar{f}$

④ $C_0(\Sigma)$ w/ loc. cpt. trace.

⑤ $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ w/ $f^\ast(x) := \bar{f(x)}$

⑥ $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ w/ $f^\ast = \bar{f}$.

⑦ $Disk$ alg $A(D)$ w/ $f^\ast(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$.

⑧ A \ast -closed subalg of $B(H)$, H a Hilb. space (next week).

Note: If A unital, $1^\ast = 1$: $1^\ast = 1^\ast 1 = (1^\ast 1)^\ast = (1^\ast 1)^\ast = 1^\ast = 1$

Def: A C^* -alg is a normed \ast -alg $(A, \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_{\ast})$ s.t.

① $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach alg

② $\|a^\ast a\| = \|a\|^2$ forall.

Exercise: Determine which of ①-⑧ above are C^* alg's.

Operators: $a \in A$ is called

- ① self-adjoint if $a = a^*$
 - ② positive (case) if $a = b^*b$ for some $b \in A$ [positive \Rightarrow s.a.]
 - ③ normal if $a^*a = aa^*$
 - ④ a projection if $a = a^* = a^2$
 - ⑤ an isometry if $a^*a = 1_A$ (and A is unital)
 - ⑥ a unitary if $a^*a = 1_A = aa^*$ (and A is unital).
- Note: unitary \Leftrightarrow invertible isometry
 \Leftrightarrow normal isometry
- ⑦ a partial isometry if a^*a is a projection.

We'll study these in great detail, especially when $A = B(H)$.

Elementary Properties: Let A be a C^* -alg.

- ① $*$ is isometric: Suppose $a \in \mathbb{C}$. $\|a\|^2 = \|aa^*\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|a^*\|$
 $\|a^*\|^2 = \|aa^*\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|a^*\|$
- ② $\|ab\| = \|La\|$ for $L_a \in B(A)$.
Pf: $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \Rightarrow \|ab\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$. Now $\|a^*b\| = \|b\|^2 = \|b\| \cdot \|a^*\|$.
 $\|a^*b\| \leq \|a^*\| \cdot \|b\| \Rightarrow \|a^*b\| \leq \|a^*\| \cdot \|b\|$.
 $\|ab\| = \|La\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\| = \|ab\|$.
- ③ (Adjoining a unit) $A_1 = A \oplus \mathbb{C}1$ w.r.t. usual addition + mult, w.r.t.
 $(a+\lambda)^* := a^* + \bar{\lambda}$, and $\|ab\|_{A_1} := \sup \{ \|ab+bd\| \mid b \in \mathbb{C} \} = (\|La\|)_S$
 \Rightarrow a C^* -alg which contains A isometrically as a closed ideal.
Pf: By ②, suffices to prove C^* -axiom: For $a \in A$, $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$,
let $b \in A$ s.t. $\|b\| = 1$ and
 $\|(a+\delta)b\|_{A_1}^2 - \varepsilon \leq \|(ab+bd)\|^2 = \|(a^*b+bd)^*\|_{A_1} = \|(a^*b+bd)^*\|_{A_1} \leq \|(a^*b+bd)\|_{A_1}$
 $= \|(a^*+\bar{\delta})(a+\delta)b\|_{A_1} \leq \|(a^*+\bar{\delta})(a+\delta)\|_{A_1} \leq \|(a^*+\bar{\delta})\|_{A_1} \cdot \|(a+\delta)\|_{A_1}$
 $\Rightarrow \|(a+\delta)b\|_{A_1}^2 \stackrel{(**)}{\leq} \|(a^*+\bar{\delta})(a+\delta)\|_{A_1} \leq \|(a^*+\bar{\delta})\|_{A_1} \cdot \|(a+\delta)\|_{A_1} \leq$
 $\|ab\|_{A_1} \leq \|(a+\delta)\|_{A_1}$, and by symmetry, $\|ab\|_{A_1} \geq \|(a+\delta)\|_{A_1}$.
Thus the above inequalities (**) are equalities.

(1) If a is normal, then $\|a\| = \|\langle a \rangle\|$.

Pf: $\|a^*a\| = \|\langle a^* \rangle^*(\langle a \rangle)\| = \|\langle a^*a \rangle\|^2 = \|a^*a\|^2 = \|a\|^4 \Rightarrow \|a^*\| = \|a\|^2$.
 by self adjoint

Similarly, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|a^n\| = \|\langle a \rangle^n\| \Rightarrow r(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} = \|a\|$.

(2) If a is self adjoint, $\lambda \in \sigma_p(a) \iff \lambda \in \sigma_p(a^*)$.

(3) If A is mixed and $a \in \sigma(A)$, $(a^*)^{-1} = (a^{-1})^*$, and $\lambda \in \sigma(a) \iff \lambda^{-1} \in \sigma(a^{-1})$.

(4) If A is mixed and a is unitary, then $\sigma(a) \subset \partial D$.

If $a^* = a^{-1} \Rightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(a)$, $\lambda^{-1} \in \sigma(a)$. Since $|a| = 1$, $|\lambda|, |\lambda^{-1}| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lambda \in \partial D$.

(5) If $a = a^*$, then e^{ia} is unitary (defined using the polar form + normalization by i , if necessary).

Pf: $(e^{ia})^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ia)^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-ia)^n}{n!} = e^{-ia}$. By HPC, $e^{ia}e^{-ia} = 1$.
 by comm.

(6) If $a = a^*$, $\sigma_p(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$. (Note A need not be central!)

Pf: by (5), $\sigma(e^{ia}) \subset \partial D$. Now $\sigma(e^{ia}) = e^{\sigma(a)} \Rightarrow \sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

passes it
necessary.

Special
mapping Then

holes in the add!
holes in $\sigma_p(a)$.

(7) Every $a \in A$ can be written as $a = Re(a) + iIm(a)$ where $Re(a) = \frac{a+a^*}{2}$ and $Im(a) = \frac{a-a^*}{2i}$ both self adjoint.

(8) If A is comm., every $\lambda \in \sigma$ is a $*\text{-non}$.

Pf: $\sigma(a^*) = \sigma(Re(a) - iIm(a)) = \underbrace{\sigma(Re(a))}_{\text{esp}(Re(a))} \cup \overline{\sigma(iIm(a))} = \overline{\sigma(Re(a)) + i\sigma(Im(a))} = \overline{\sigma(a)}$.
 $\sigma(Im(a)) \neq \text{borel} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Gelfand-Naimark Thm 1: If A is a central comm. C*-alg, then A is isometrically *-isomorphic via Γ to $C(\hat{A})$.

Pf: Γ is an isometry: Every $a \in A$ is normal, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma(a)\|_{C(\hat{A})} &= \sup \{ |\varphi_a(\varphi)| \mid \varphi \in \hat{A} \} = \sup \{ |\varphi(a)| \mid \varphi \in \hat{A} \} \\ &= \sup \{ |\lambda| \mid \lambda \in \sigma_p(a) \} = r(a) = \|a\|_A. \end{aligned}$$

Γ is *-preserving: Every $\lambda \in \hat{A}$ is non-resolving, so if $a \in A$,

$$r(a^*)(\varphi) = \varphi_{a^*}(\varphi) = \varphi(a) = \overline{\varphi(a)} = \overline{\varphi(a)} = \overline{\varphi(a)} = \Gamma(a)(\varphi).$$

Γ is onto: $\Gamma(A)$ is a s.a. Banach subalg of $C(\hat{A})$ which contains 1 and separates pts of \hat{A} . Stone-Weierstrass $\Rightarrow \Gamma(A) = C(\hat{A})$.

Cor: A non-unital comm. C^* -alg A is banometrically isomorphic via Γ to $C(\widehat{A})$.

Pf: Let A_1 be the unitization, and recall $\widehat{A}_1 = \widehat{A} \cup \{\infty\}$ where $\infty = y_0$ s.t. $y_0 a = 0$. Then $\Gamma: A \rightarrow C(\widehat{A}_1)$ is isometric onto a subset of $C(\widehat{A})$ which separates pts and does not vanish at any $\widehat{a} \in \widehat{A}$.
By Stone-Weierstrass, Γ is onto.

Lemma (Spectral Permanence): Suppose $1_A \in B \subseteq A$ is a central inclusion of C^* -algs and $b \in B$. Then $\text{sp}_B(b) = \text{sp}_A(b)$.

Pf: It's enough to prove $b \in G(A) \Rightarrow b \in G(B)$.
Suppose $b \in G(A)$. Then $b^* \in G(A)$, and $(b^*)^{-1} = (b^{-1})^*$. Hence $b^* b \in G(A)$. Since $b^* b$ is s.e., $\text{sp}_A(b^* b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Since $\text{sp}_A(b^* b) = \text{sp}_B(b^* b)$, we have $\text{sp}_B(b^* b) = \text{sp}_A(b^* b)$. Hence $b^* b \in G(B)$, so b has a left inverse in B , namely $(b^* b)^{-1} b^*$. A similar argument applied to $b b^*$ shows that b is right invertible in B .

Continuous Functional Calculus

Suppose A is a unital C^* -alg and $a \in A$ is normal.

Let $C^*(a)$ be the central C^* -subalg of A generated by a , i.e., the smallest unital C^* -subalg containing a .

The inverse of the Gelfand Transform $C^*(a) \cong C(\text{sp}(a))$ is an isometric $*-\otimes$ $\Phi: C(\text{sp}(a)) \rightarrow C^*(a) \subseteq A$ s.e.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \Phi(1) = 1; \quad \Phi(z_{t+2\pi}) = a$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{if } f \in C(\text{sp}(a)), \quad \Phi(f) = f(a) \text{ from the HPC}$$

Step 1: There is a homeom. $\text{sp}(a) \cong C^*(a)^\wedge$.

PF: By spectral permanence, $SP_A^{(\infty)} = SP_{C^*(\alpha)}$. Note that $C^*(\alpha)^*$ is $\varphi \mapsto \varphi(\alpha)$ is surjective + cts. since $C^*(\alpha)^*$ has the relative weak top. Now we claim this map is injective. Suppose $\ell(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)$ for $\ell, \psi \in C^*(\alpha)^*$. Then since ℓ, ψ are *-hom., $\ell(\alpha^*) = \overline{\ell(\alpha)} = \overline{\psi(\alpha)} = \psi(\alpha^*)$. Hence $\varphi = \psi$ on all poly's in α and α^* , so $\varphi = \psi$.

Step 2: Since $C^*(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} C(C^*(\alpha)^*) \xrightarrow{\cong} C(SP_A(\alpha))$ is an isometric *-iso, we define for $f \in C(SP_A(\alpha))$

$$\Phi(f) = (\Gamma^{-1} \circ \lambda^{-1})(f). \text{ Now we verify } + \text{ CCA},$$

- $\Gamma(1)(\varphi) = \varphi(1) = 1 = \lambda^{-1}(1)(\varphi) \Rightarrow \Phi(1) = 1$
- $\Gamma(\alpha)(\varphi) = \varphi(\alpha) = \text{id}(\varphi(\alpha)) = \lambda^{-1}(\text{id})(\varphi) \Rightarrow \Phi(\alpha) = \varphi$

Step 3: To verify the CFC extends the HFC, by the uniqueness property of the HFC, it suffices to show that if $(f_n), f$ are holo. on $\Omega \supseteq SP_A(\alpha)$ s.t. $\lim_n f_n = f$ in A . Since loc. unit. , then $\Phi(f_n) \rightarrow \Phi(f)$ in A . Since $SP_A(\alpha)$ cpt, $f_n \rightarrow f$ w.r.t. in $C(SP_A(\alpha)) \cong C^*(\alpha)$, and we are finished.

Notation: For A a unital $C^*\text{-alg}$, act normal, we denote the CFC $C(SP_A(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{\cong} C^*(\alpha) \subseteq A$ by

$$f \longmapsto f(\alpha)$$

Uniqueness of CFC: If $\Phi: C(SP_A(\alpha)) \rightarrow A$ is a (cts) unital *-homom. s.t. $\Phi(1) = 1$ and $\Phi(\text{id}) = \alpha$, then

$$\Phi(f) = f(\alpha) \quad \forall f \in C(SP_A(\alpha)).$$

Note: If A non-unital and act normal, can define $C_0(SP_A(\alpha)) \rightarrow C^*(\alpha)$, the renormalized $C^*\text{-alg}$ variables at $\Omega \supseteq SP_A(\alpha)$. at A gen by α .

\star -homomorphisms of C^* -algs:

Lemma: If A, B are unital C^* -algs and $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a unital \star -hom, then ϕ is norm decreasing. If ϕ is injective, then ϕ is an isometry.

Pf: First, note if $a \in G(A)$, then $\phi(a) \in G(B)$. Here $SP_B(\phi(a)) \subseteq SP_A(a)$. Thus $r_B(\phi(a)) \leq r_A(a)$. Then fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(a)\|^2 &= \|(\phi(a)^* \phi(a))\| = \|\phi(a^* a)\| = r_B(\phi(a^* a)) \\ &\leq r_A(a^* a) = \|a^* a\| = \|a\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose ϕ is injective.

Claim: $SP_B(a) = SP_B(\phi(a))$ if and only if

Pf: Suppose $\exists x \in SP_B(a) \setminus SP_B(\phi(a))$. Then since $SP_B(a)$ is normal, there exists $f: SP_B(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $f(x) \neq f(SP_B(\phi(a))) = 0$. Then $f(a) \neq 0$, but $f(\phi(a)) = f(\phi(a)) = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\text{contradiction}}$.

holds w/ poly's in a, a^* , dense in $C(SP_B(a))$
by Stone-Weierstrass!

$$\text{Now, facts, } \|\phi(a)\|^2 = r_B(\phi(a^* a)) = r_A(a^* a) = \|a\|^2.$$

Thm: \exists a contravariant \mathcal{U} -cat:



Given Σ cpt Hausdorff $\rightsquigarrow C(\Sigma)$.
Given A unital comm. C^* -alg, $\rightsquigarrow \widehat{A}$

must extract
these \mathcal{U}
functors.

We'll then need to construct natural 30's from
composites each way to the appropriate \mathcal{U} functors.

Step ①: Suppose $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$ cpt hausdorff, and $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ cts. Define $C(f): C(\mathfrak{Y}) \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{X})$ by $g \mapsto g \circ f$. Then $C(f)$ is a natural \mathfrak{X} -hom. Indeed, $1_{\mathfrak{Y}} = 1$, $\overline{g \circ f} = \overline{g} \circ \overline{f}$, and $(g_1 + g_2) \circ f = (g_1 \circ f) + (g_2 \circ f)$.

\nwarrow Hom_{cpt hausdorff}(-, C)

Step ②: (a) $C(id_{\mathfrak{X}}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}) = id_{C(\mathfrak{X})}$.

(b) If $\mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{Y} \xrightarrow{g} \mathfrak{Z}$, $C(g \circ f) = C(f) \circ C(g)$; since if $h \in C(\mathfrak{Z})$, $h \circ (g \circ f) = h \circ f \circ g = (h \circ f) \circ g = C(f)(h \circ g)$.

Step ③: If $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is a natural \mathfrak{X} -hom, then $\hat{\phi}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \phi$ is cts.

Indeed, if $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ wkt in \hat{B} , then wkt $\varphi_x(\phi(a)) \rightarrow \psi_x(\phi(a))$, so $\varphi_x \circ \phi \rightarrow \psi_x \circ \phi$ wkt in \hat{A} , and thus $\hat{\phi}$ is cts.

\nwarrow Hom_{continuous}(-, C)

Step ④: (a) $(id_A)^n = id_{\hat{A}}$

(b) If $A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C$, $(\psi \circ \phi)^n = \phi^n \circ \psi^n$.

Step ⑤: we've already seen that $\mathfrak{X} \cong C(\mathfrak{X})^n$. This is natural:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathfrak{Y} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner \quad \lrcorner \urcorner & \downarrow \\ C(\mathfrak{X}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{C(\mathfrak{X})}} & C(\mathfrak{Y})^n \\ & \text{C}(f)^\wedge & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(f)^\wedge(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{Y}})(g) &= [\text{id}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \circ C(f)](g) \\ &= \text{id}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(g \circ f) \\ &= g_x(f(a)) = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(g) \end{aligned}$$

Step ⑥: we've already seen $A \cong C(\hat{A})$. This is natural:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner \quad \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ C(\hat{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{C(\hat{A})}} & C(\hat{B}) \\ & \text{C}(\hat{\phi}) & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(\hat{\phi})(\text{id}_{\hat{B}})(\psi) &= (\text{id}_{\hat{B}} \circ \hat{\phi})(\psi) \\ &= \text{id}_{\hat{B}}(\psi \circ \phi) \\ &= \psi(\phi(a)) = \text{id}_{\hat{B}}(\psi) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: What happens for non-unital comm. C^* -alg's?

Application: Stone-Cech compactification: To each Tychonoff top. space Σ (Σ is completely regular, i.e., if $F \subseteq \Sigma$ closed, $x_0 \notin F$, there exists $f: \Sigma \rightarrow [0,1]$ s.t. $f(x_0) = 1$, $f|_F = 0$), there exists a cpt Hausd. space $\beta\Sigma \supseteq \Sigma$ s.t.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \overline{\Sigma} = \beta\Sigma \quad (\beta\Sigma \text{ is a compactification of } \Sigma)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \forall \text{ cpt } K \text{ and cts } f: \Sigma \rightarrow K, \text{ we extend } f \text{ to a map } \beta f: \beta\Sigma \rightarrow K, \text{ i.e. } \begin{array}{ccc} \beta\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\exists \beta f} & K \\ \downarrow \iota & \cong & \downarrow f \\ \Sigma & \xrightarrow{f} & K \end{array}$$

Pf: Define $\beta\Sigma = C_b(\Sigma)^*$ where $C_b(\Sigma)^*$ is the initial comm. CT-alg of cts bdd func on Σ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Since $\text{ev}_x \in C_b(\Sigma)^*$ $\forall x \in \Sigma$, get natural inclusion $\Sigma \subseteq \beta\Sigma$. Since Σ is Tychonoff and top. on $\beta\Sigma$ is induced by $\Gamma(C_b(\Sigma))$, the inclusion $\Sigma \subseteq \beta\Sigma$ is not original topology on Σ . Since $C(\beta\Sigma) \cong C_b(\Sigma)$, we have Σ is dense in $\beta\Sigma$. If $\beta\Sigma$ isn't Hausd. \Rightarrow normal. So if Σ not dense, \exists cts $f: \beta\Sigma \rightarrow [0,1], f \neq 0$, s.t. $f|_\Sigma = 0$. But this is a contradiction. Now every $f \in C_b(\Sigma)$ extends to $\beta\Sigma$.

Suppose now $f: \Sigma \rightarrow K$ cts. Define $G(f): C(K) \rightarrow C_b(\Sigma)$ by $g \mapsto g \circ f$. Now $C_b(f)$ is cts since $f \circ g \rightarrow g$ w.r.t. on K , then $g \circ f \rightarrow g$ w.r.t. on Σ . Finally, we define $\beta f = C_b(f)^*: \beta\Sigma \rightarrow K$, which we know is cts. For any $x \in \Sigma$ and $g \in C(K)$,

$$[\beta f(\text{ev}_x)](g) = [C_b(f)^*(\text{ev}_x)](g) = [\text{ev}_x \circ C_b(f)](g) \\ = \text{ev}_x(g \circ f) = g(f(x)) = \text{ev}_{f(x)}(g),$$

and thus βf extends f .

Cor: Optimization K of Σ , \exists cts $\beta\Sigma \rightarrow K$ which restricts to Σ on Σ .

Positive Case: $\{x \in A \mid a > 0\}$ is a closed cone.

Thm: Let $J \subseteq A$ be a left ideal, not nec. closed.
 \exists a right approx id for J consisting of elts of A^+ .

If J is separable, can choose approx id to be a seq.

Pf: WLOG, A is unital. Let $S \subseteq J$ be a dense subset.
(Can take $S = J$ or S a countable dense subset of J sq.)

Let λ be the collection of finite subsets of S , ordered by inclusion. For $\lambda = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \in \lambda$, define $b_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* \alpha_i$.

Note that $b_\lambda \in J \cap A^+$ [J L-ideal, A^+ closed cone.]

Define $e_\lambda = (\frac{1}{n} + b_\lambda)^{-1} b_\lambda$ by the CFC. Then
 $e_\lambda \in J \cap A^+$, and $\|e_\lambda\| \leq 1$. b_\lambda \neq 0

Now, facts, & note w/ $a \in J$, we have $a^* a \leq b_\lambda$.

$$(a - a_{\lambda})^* (a - a_{\lambda}) = ((1 - e_\lambda)a^* + (1 - e_\lambda))b_\lambda(1 - e_\lambda) \\ = f(b_\lambda) \quad \text{where}$$

$$f(t) = (1 - (\frac{1}{n} + t)^{-1} t)^2 t = (\frac{1}{n} + t)^{-2} [\frac{1}{n} + t - t]^2 t \\ = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\frac{t}{n}}{\frac{1}{n} + t} \frac{t}{\frac{1}{n} + t} \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

Hence $\|a - a_{\lambda}\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$.

Ren: w/ more effort, can arrange $\lambda \subseteq \lambda' \Rightarrow e_\lambda \leq e_{\lambda'}$.

Cor: If $J \subseteq A$ a closed 2-sided ideal, $J = J^*$, so J a C*-alg.

Pf: $\forall a \in J$, $\|e_\lambda a^* - a^*\| = \|a e_\lambda - a\| \rightarrow 0$, so $a^* \in J$.

Cor: A right approx id for a 2-sided closed ideal $J \subseteq A$
is also a left approx. id. for J .

Prop: If $J \subseteq A$ closed 2-sided ideal and $I \subseteq J$ closed 2-sided
ideal, then $I \subseteq A$ is a closed 2-sided ideal.

Pf: Let (ϵ_δ) be a 2-sided approx ant for J . Then check,
 $\forall x \in I, ax = \lim_{\delta} a(\epsilon_\delta x) = \lim_{\delta} (a\epsilon_\delta)x.$

Cor: If $I, J \subseteq A$ closed 2-sided ideals, $I \cap J = \overline{IJ}$.

Pf: 2 obvious
 \equiv Suppose $x \in I \cap J$. Let (ϵ_δ) be an approx ant for I .
Then $x = \lim_{\delta} \epsilon_\delta x \in IJ$.

Cor: $J^2 = J$ & closed 2-sided ideal $J \subseteq A$.

Quotients: We saw if A a Banach alg and $J \subseteq A$ a closed 2-sided ideal then A/J is a Banach alg.

Lemma: Let (ϵ_δ) be an approx ant for J . Then check,

$$\|a+J\| = \lim_{\delta} \|a - a\epsilon_\delta J\|.$$

Pf: wLOG, can assume A is unital. Now by CFC (on the!),
 $\|1 - \epsilon_\delta\| \leq 1$. It's obvious $\|a+J\| \leq \|a - a\epsilon_\delta J\| + \epsilon_\delta$. Let $\epsilon > 0$.

Let $j \in J$ s.t. $\|a - j\| \leq \|a - J\|_{A/J} + \epsilon$. Then $\forall \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - a\epsilon_\delta J\| &= \|a(1 - \epsilon_\delta)\| \leq \|(a-j)(1 - \epsilon_\delta)\| + \|j(1 - \epsilon_\delta)\| \\ &\leq \|a-j\| \cdot \|1 - \epsilon_\delta\| + \|j(1 - \epsilon_\delta)\| \leq \|a-j\| + \|j - j\epsilon_\delta\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|a-j\| + \epsilon \quad (\text{large enough } \delta) \leq \|a+J\| + 2\epsilon.$$

Since ϵ arbitrary, the conclusion follows.

Prop (Segal): Suppose A a C^* -alg and $J \subseteq A$ a closed 2-sided ideal. Then A/J is a C^* -alg w/
 unit. The A/J is a C^* -alg w/
 unit.

$$(a+J)^* := a^* + J \quad \text{and} \quad \|a+J\| = \inf_{j \in J} \|a-j\|.$$

Pf: Suffices to prove $\|(a^* + J)(a+J)\| \geq \|a+J\|^2$. By the lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \|a+J\|^2 &= \lim_{\delta} \|a - a\epsilon_\delta J\|^2 = \lim_{\delta} \|(a-a\epsilon_\delta)^*(a-a\epsilon_\delta)\| \\ &= \lim_{\delta} \|(a-a\epsilon_\delta)^*a\| \cdot \|(a-a\epsilon_\delta)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \|a-a\epsilon_\delta\| \leq 1 \rightarrow \lim_{\delta} \|a-a\epsilon_\delta\| = \|a\| = \|a^*\|$$

Representing C^* -alg's on Hilbert Space

Basics on Hilbert Spaces :

Let H be a C^* -sp. & sesquilinear form on H is a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad \langle \alpha\eta + \xi, \zeta \rangle &= \bar{\alpha} \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle + \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle \\ \textcircled{2} \quad \langle \eta, \alpha\xi + \zeta \rangle &= \bar{\alpha} \langle \eta, \xi \rangle + \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \eta, \xi, \zeta \in H.$$

A sesquilinear form is called

- self-adjoint if $\langle \eta, \xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in H$
- non-degenerate if $\langle \eta, \xi \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \xi = 0$
- positive if $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H$.
(A positive seq. form is called definite if $\langle \eta, \eta \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \eta = 0$.)

An inner product is a positive definite seq. form.

Exercises:

- ① (Normalization) $\forall \langle \eta, \xi \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \langle \eta + i^k \xi, \eta + i^k \xi \rangle$.
- ② $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ sa. $\Leftrightarrow \langle \eta, \eta \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \neq 0$.
- ③ positive \Rightarrow sa.
- ④ positive \Rightarrow [definite \Leftrightarrow non-degenerate].

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a positive seq. form. Define $\|\cdot\| : H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\|\xi\|^2 = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle$. Then $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cauchy-Schwarz: } & |2i^2 \|\xi\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \langle \alpha\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|2\xi\|^2 = \|\alpha\xi + \xi\|^2 \geq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow |\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|\eta\|. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm on H .

Exercise: ① $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ an inner prod $\Leftrightarrow \| \cdot \|$ a norm on H .

② In this case, $|\langle x, y \rangle| = \|x\| \|y\| \Leftrightarrow x, y$ proportional.

③ $\| \cdot \|$ satisfies parallelogram L:

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

④ Conversely if a norm on H satisfies the parallelogram law, defining $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as in generalization gives a well-defined inner prod.

Def: A Hilbert space is a C v.sp. w/ an inner prod. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \rightarrow C$ s.t. $(H, \| \cdot \|)$ Banach w/ $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle$.

Exercise: A Hilb. space is uniformly cwk.

Cor: reflexives strictly cwk, every closed cwk set has an ext of min norm.

Recall if $K \subseteq H$, then $K^\perp = \{ \xi \in H \mid \langle \xi, k \rangle = 0 \ \forall k \in K \}$.

Exercise: ① If K a subspace, $\overline{K} = K^{\perp\perp}$.

② If K a closed subspace, $H = K \oplus K^\perp$.

Thm (Riesz-Rep.): The map $\xi \mapsto \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle$ Be conj. linear
isometric iso $H \rightarrow H^*$.

Pf: $\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle : H \rightarrow C$ is in H^* and $\|\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle\| \leq \|\xi\|$ by CS. Since

$\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = \|\xi\|^2$, the map is isometric.

Conversely, if $\varphi : H^* \rightarrow (C)$, $K = \ker \varphi \subseteq H$ is proper closed subspace.
Let $\xi \in K^\perp$ s.t. $\varphi(\xi) = 1$. $\forall \eta \in H$, $\eta - \ell(\eta)\xi \in K$, and thus

$$\langle \eta, \xi \rangle = \langle \eta - \ell(\eta)\xi + \ell(\eta)\xi, \xi \rangle = \ell(\eta)\|\xi\|^2.$$

Hence $\varphi(\eta) = \frac{1}{\|\xi\|^2} \langle \eta, \xi \rangle + \eta \in H$.

A subset $B \subset H \setminus \{0\}$ is called:

- orthogonal if $\eta, \xi \in B$ distinct $\Rightarrow \langle \eta, \xi \rangle = 0$
- orthonormal if B orthogonal and $\|\eta\| = 1 \quad \forall \eta \in B$.
- an orthonormal basis if B orthonormal and $\overline{\text{Span}(B)} = H$.

Lemma: Every H has an ONB. $\forall \xi \in H, \xi = \sum \langle \xi, e_i \rangle e_i$,
(Parseval identity) $\|\xi\|^2 = \sum |\langle \xi, e_i \rangle|^2$.

Prop: \exists bijective, Banach correspondence b/w

- ① all sesquilinear forms $B(H, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ w/
 $\|B\| = \sup \{ |B(\eta, \xi)| \mid \|\eta\|, \|\xi\| \leq 1 \}$

- ② all ops $H \rightarrow H$.

This bijective correspondence maps s.a./pos. forms to s.a./pos. ops.

Pf: HW!

Def: Suppose $T \in B(H)$. For $\eta \in H$, the map $\xi \mapsto \langle T\xi, \eta \rangle$ is in H^* , so $\exists! T^* \in H$ st. $\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, T^*\eta \rangle \quad \forall \xi$.
The assignment $\eta \mapsto T^*\eta$ is linear + s.c.. we call
 $T^* \in B(H)$ the (Hilbert) adjoint of T .

Exercise: For a Hilbert space H , can define the conjugate
Hilb. space \overline{H} by $\overline{H} = \{ \overline{\xi} \mid \xi \in H \}$ w/
 $\lambda \overline{\xi} + \overline{\eta} = \overline{\lambda \xi + \eta}$.
For $T \in B(H, K)$, get $\overline{T} \in B(\overline{H}, \overline{K})$ by $\overline{T}\overline{\xi} = \overline{T\xi}$.
Then since $H^* \cong \overline{H}$ via Riesz Rep'n thm, the Banach adjoint
 T^* and the Hilb adjoint \overline{T} are related by $T^* = \overline{T}$.

Basic Properties of $B(H)$:

- ① $\ker T^* = (T^*)^\perp : \langle T^*\eta, \xi \rangle = \langle \eta, T\xi \rangle, \text{ so } \xi \perp T^*H \Leftrightarrow T\xi \perp H \Leftrightarrow T^*\xi = 0$.
- ② $S = T \Leftrightarrow \langle S\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle T\xi, \xi \rangle \quad \forall \xi \in H$.
 $H \cong \text{mid.} \Leftrightarrow \text{Polarization} \Rightarrow \langle S\eta, \xi \rangle = \langle T\eta, \xi \rangle \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in H$
 $\text{for } 2S = T + T^* \Rightarrow (S-T)\eta \perp H \quad \forall \eta \in H \Rightarrow S = T$.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad T \text{ normal} \iff \|T\vec{\varepsilon}\|^2 = \|T^*\vec{\varepsilon}\|^2 \quad \forall \vec{\varepsilon} \in H.$$

Pf: $\|T\vec{\varepsilon}\|^2 = \langle T^*T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle = \langle TT^*\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle = \|T^*\vec{\varepsilon}\|^2 \iff T^*T = TT^*.$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad T = T^* \iff \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \vec{\varepsilon} \in H. \quad \text{Hw!}$$

Now suppose $T \in B(H)$ normal.

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \exists \vec{\varepsilon} \in H \text{ s.t. } T\vec{\varepsilon} = \lambda \vec{\varepsilon} \iff T^*\vec{\varepsilon} = \bar{\lambda} \vec{\varepsilon}.$$

Pf: Immediate from \textcircled{3}.

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \text{If } T\vec{\varepsilon}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{\varepsilon}_1 \text{ and } T\vec{\varepsilon}_2 = \lambda_2 \vec{\varepsilon}_2 \text{ w/ } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, \text{ then } \vec{\varepsilon}_1 \perp \vec{\varepsilon}_2.$$

Pf: $\lambda_1 \langle \vec{\varepsilon}_1, \vec{\varepsilon}_2 \rangle = \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}_1, \vec{\varepsilon}_2 \rangle = \langle \vec{\varepsilon}_1, T^*\vec{\varepsilon}_2 \rangle = \lambda_2 \langle \vec{\varepsilon}_1, \vec{\varepsilon}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow \text{result.}$

\textcircled{7} Every $\lambda \in \text{sp}(T)$ is an approx eigenvalue of T .

Pf: Suppose not. Then $\exists \vec{\varepsilon} \in H$ s.t. $\|(T-\lambda)\vec{\varepsilon}\| \geq \epsilon \|\vec{\varepsilon}\| \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$.
 Then $T-\lambda$ is injective w/ closed range, and so is $T^* - \bar{\lambda}$ by \textcircled{5}.
 But $\ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}) = [(I - \lambda)H]^+ = \emptyset \Rightarrow T^* - \bar{\lambda}$ is surj., so
 $T-\lambda$ is invertible at $\lambda \notin \text{sp}(T)$.

$$\textcircled{8} \quad \|T\| = \sup \{ \vec{\varepsilon} \mid \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \mid \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|=1 \}.$$

Pf: Since $\|T\| = r(T)$, $\exists \lambda \in \text{sp}(T)$ s.t. $|\lambda| = \|T\|$. Then since λ is an approx eigenvalue, $\exists \vec{\varepsilon}_n \rightarrow \vec{\varepsilon}$ s.t. $\|\vec{\varepsilon}_n\|=1$ and

$$T\vec{\varepsilon}_n \rightarrow \lambda \vec{\varepsilon}_n = 0. \quad \text{Thus}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T\vec{\varepsilon}_n, \vec{\varepsilon}_n \rangle - \lambda| &= |\langle T\vec{\varepsilon}_n, \vec{\varepsilon}_n \rangle - \lambda \langle \vec{\varepsilon}_n, \vec{\varepsilon}_n \rangle| \\ &= |\langle T\vec{\varepsilon}_n - \lambda \vec{\varepsilon}_n, \vec{\varepsilon}_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \|T\vec{\varepsilon}_n - \lambda \vec{\varepsilon}_n\| \cdot \underbrace{\|\vec{\varepsilon}_n\|}_{1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{9} \quad \text{If } T = T^*, \quad \sup \{ \vec{\varepsilon} \mid \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \mid \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|=1 \} = \max \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in \text{sp}(T) \}$$
 and

$$\inf \{ \vec{\varepsilon} \mid \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \mid \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|=1 \} = \min \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in \text{sp}(T) \}.$$

Pf: Let $M = \max \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in \text{sp}(T) \}$. By \textcircled{7},

$$M + \|T\| = \sup \{ \vec{\varepsilon} \mid \langle (T + \|T\|) \vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \mid \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|=1 \}.$$

$\overbrace{\text{= Max} \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \in \text{sp}(T + \|T\|) \}}$

$\overbrace{\text{by spectral mapping.}}$

$$= \sup \{ \vec{\varepsilon} \mid \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \mid \|\vec{\varepsilon}\|=1 \} + \|T\|.$$

Similar argument for min/mf subtracting $\|T\|$.

$$\textcircled{10} \quad T \geq 0 \iff \langle T\vec{\varepsilon}, \vec{\varepsilon} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \vec{\varepsilon} \in H. \quad \text{Hw!}$$

Basic Spectral theory for normal op's on $B(H)$:

Recall that if $T \in B(H)$ is normal, the C* algebras gives us an isometric \star -iso $C(Sp(T)) \xrightarrow{T} C^*(T) \subseteq B(H)$.

It is uniquely determined by $(z \mapsto 1) \mapsto 1$ and $(z \mapsto z) \mapsto T$, since poly's in T and T^* are dense in $C^*(T)$, and any unital \star -homom. from C^* -alg's is cts.

Fuglede's Thm: If $T \in B(H)$ normal and $S \in B(H)$ s.t. $ST = TS$, then $ST^* = T^*S$.

Pf: (due to Rosenthal) For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, since $ST = TS$, $S e^{izT} = e^{iT} S$,

so $S = e^{-izT} S e^{izT}$. Define $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B(H)$ by

$$f(z) = e^{izT^*} S e^{-izT^*} = e^{izT^*} e^{izT} S e^{-izT} e^{-izT^*} = e^{i(zT^* + zT)} S e^{-i(zT^* + zT)}.$$

Now $zT^* + zT$ is so, so $e^{i(zT^* + zT)}$ is unitary. Hence f is a bd $B(H)$ valued hol. fct, and thus constn.

[weakly hol \Leftrightarrow strongly hol., so Liouville applies.]

Now taking $\frac{d}{dz}|_{z=0} f(z) = T^*S - ST^* \Rightarrow \text{result.}$
 power series expansion, first order.

Cor: If $T \in B(H)$ normal and $ST = TS$, then $Sf(T) = f(T)S$ for all $f \in C(Sp(T))$.

Pf: By Fuglede's thm, the result holds for poly's in z, \bar{z} , and thus for all $f \in C(Sp(T))$ by Stone-Weierstrass.

Cor: If $S, T \in B(H)$ are positive w/ $S^2 = T$, then $S = \sqrt{T}$.

Pf: S and T commute, so S and \sqrt{T} commute. Now $\star \geq 0$,
 $(\sqrt{T} - S + \star)(\sqrt{T} + S + \star) = \sqrt{T}^2 - S^2 + 2\sqrt{T} + \star^2 = \star(2\sqrt{T} + \star)$,
 and $2\sqrt{T} + \star$ and $\sqrt{T} + S + \star$ are invertible [Add inv. $\star \geq 0, \star > 0$]
 Thus $\sqrt{T} - S + \star$ invertible $\Rightarrow \star > 0 \Rightarrow \text{sp}(\sqrt{T} - S) \subset [0, \infty) \supset \text{sp}(\sqrt{T} - S) \geq 0$.
 Similarly, $S - \sqrt{T} \geq 0$, so $S = \sqrt{T}$.

Remark: All results on this page apply for a unital C^* -alg, not just $B(H)$!

Projections: $p \in B(H)$ is a projection if $p = p^* = p^2$.

Lemma: There is a bijective correspondence b/w closed subspaces and projections $p \in B(H)$ by $p \leftrightarrow p^\perp$.

Pf: It's clear $p^\perp \subseteq H$ is a closed subspace since p is cts and $p^* = p$. Moreover, if $p = p^*$, then $p^\perp = \ker p^* = \ker p = \{0\} \cap H$. Conversely, every $K \subseteq H$ has a \perp complement K^\perp s.t. $H = K \oplus K^\perp$, and $\text{proj onto } K$ is an idempotent. We claim it is self-adjoint, since $\ker p^* = p^\perp = K^\perp = \ker p \Rightarrow p^*(1-p) = 0 \Rightarrow p^* = p^*p$ $\Rightarrow p = p^*$ as p^*p is self-adjoint.

It's clear these two constructions are mutually inverse.

Def: we say p, q are naturally \perp , denoted $p \perp q$, if $p^\perp \perp q^\perp$.

Exercise: Show $p \perp q \iff pq = 0$.

Def: For $p, q \in B(H)$ projections, define $p \vee q$ to be the projection onto $p^\perp \cap q^\perp$ as $p \wedge q$ to be the projection onto $\overline{pH \cap qH}$.

Exercise: prove $p \vee q = 1 - (1 - p)(1 - q)$.

Exercise: $K \subseteq H$ is T -invariant $\iff p_K T p_K = T p_K$

① $K \subseteq H$ T -invariant $\iff K^\perp$ is T^* -invariant

② $K \subseteq H$ T and T^* -invariant $\iff T p_K = p_K T$.

Partial Isometries: $u \in B(H)$ is a partial isometry if u^*u is a proj.

Exercise: TFAE:

- ① u is a p.i.
- ③ u^*u is a p.i.

② $u = u^*u$

④ $u^* = u^*u u^*$

3GHW!

Polar Decomposition: For each $T \in B(H)$, $\exists !$ op $T^* \in B(H)$ w/ $|T| \geq 0$

s.t. $\|T\| = \|T^*T\| = \|T^*\|$ and $T = T^*T$. Moreover, $\exists !$ p.i. $u \in B(H)$ s.t. $\ker u = \ker T$ and $u^*T = T$. In particular, $u^*T = |T|$.

Pf: If S20 S.t. $\|T\| = \|S^*T\| + T^\perp$, then $\|T\|^2 = \langle T^*T, T \rangle = \langle S^*T, T \rangle = \|S^*T\|^2$ so $S^* = T^*$ and $S = \overline{T^*T}$ by !ness of pos. sq. rt. Define $u: \overline{T^*T} \rightarrow K$ by $u(T^*T) = T$, and note $\|u(T^*T)\| = \|T\| = \|T^*T\| \Rightarrow u$ is an isometry and thus well-defined. We can then extend u to $\overline{T^*T}^\perp$ by continuity and define $u = 0$ on $\overline{T^*T}^\perp$. Then u is a p.i. and $u^*T = T$. If $v \in B(H, K)$ is another such p.i. w/ $\ker v = \ker T$ s.t. $v^*T = T$, then $u^*T = v^*T$ so $u = v$.

Finally, u^*u is proj onto $\overline{T^*T}$, so $u^*T = u^*u^*T = u^*T = |T|$.

Cpt ops on Hilb. sp.: Let $K(H) \subseteq B(H)$ be the cpt ops.

We get some additional nice properties on Hilb. sp.:

Lemma: \exists a net (P_λ) of finite rank proj's s.t. $\forall \xi \in H$, $P_\lambda \xi \rightarrow \xi$.

[We say $P_\lambda \rightarrow 1$ in the strong operator topology.]

Pf: Let (e_i) be an ONB for H . Let Λ be the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{N} ordered by inclusion. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let P_λ be Proj onto span $\{\xi : i \in \lambda\}$. By Parseval's id, $\|P_\lambda \xi - \xi\| \rightarrow 0$ if $\xi \in H$.

Prop: $K(H)$ is the closure of the finite rank ops.

Pf: It's clear that all finite rank ops are contained in $K(H)$, which is closed. Let $T \in K(H)$, and let (P_λ) be as in the lemma. We claim $P_\lambda T \rightarrow T$. If not, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. passing to subnets if necessary $\forall \lambda$, $\exists \xi \in H$ s.t. $\|P_\lambda \xi - \xi\| = 1$ s.t. $\varepsilon \leq \|(\mathbb{I} - P_\lambda)T\xi\|$, and $P_\lambda \xi \rightarrow \xi$ in norm. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &\leq \|(\mathbb{I} - P_\lambda)T\xi\| (\leq \|(\mathbb{I} - P_\lambda)T\xi - \varepsilon\| + \|(I - P_\lambda)\varepsilon\|) \\ &\leq \|T\xi - \varepsilon\| + \|(I - P_\lambda)\varepsilon\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ a contradiction.}\end{aligned}$$

Notation: we write $\langle \xi | \xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ if $\xi \in H$, so $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a sesquilinear form which is linear on the right.

[To keep both $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ at L, so have care and eat it too.]
For $\xi \in H$, write $|\xi\rangle = \xi \in H$ and $\langle \xi | = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle \in H^*$ so that $\langle \xi | \xi \rangle$ also means $\langle \xi | (\xi \rangle)$. Now swap to write $|\xi\rangle \langle \xi | \in B(H)$ is $S \mapsto |\xi\rangle \langle \xi | S \rangle = \langle S, \xi \rangle \xi$.

Exercise: Show $|\eta\rangle \langle \xi |^\varepsilon = |\xi\rangle \langle \eta|$.

$$② (|\eta\rangle \langle \xi |)(|\xi\rangle \langle \zeta |) = \varepsilon |\eta\rangle \langle \zeta |.$$

$$③ \text{if } \|\xi\| = 1, |\xi\rangle \langle \xi | \text{ is Proj onto } C\xi \subseteq H.$$

Def: $T \in B(H)$ is diagonalizable if \exists an ONB (e_i) of eigenvectors of T .

Prop: A diagonalizable op is cpt \iff eigenvalue seq. (λ_i) for (e_i) is in $\text{Col}(H)$.

Pf: We already know the spectrum of a cpt op is a countable set, whose only possible cpt pt is 0 , as all decp are ends of finite espaces. Conversely, if $(\lambda_i) \in \text{Col}(H)$, $\sum \lambda_i e_i : e_i \in H$ converges in H to T , so T is a limit of finite rank ops.

Spectral thm for normal cpt op's: Suppose $T \in K(H)$ normal.
 Then $\exists (e_i)$ an o.s.b. of eigenvectors for T , i.e., $T = \sum \lambda_i e_i \langle e_i, \cdot \rangle$
mainly + pos. proj.

Pf: It suffices to prove H is the direct sum of eigenspaces of T , where if $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ are Hilbert spaces, the direct sum is

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i = \left\{ (\xi_i)_{i \in I} \mid \xi_i \in H_i \text{ and } \sum \| \xi_i \|_i^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

[we already know non-zero evnl's of T have f. dim'l eigenspaces and that the evnl's $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$]

Let (λ_n) be the non-zero eigenvalues of T . Let (E_n) be the corresponding eigenspaces. By the spectral characterization of cpt op's, either \exists finitely many evnl's, or countably many. S.t. $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, $\dim(E_n) < \infty$ f.r. Observe that E_n is also the eigenspace for T^* corresp. to λ_n , and $E_n \perp E_m$ for $n \neq m$. Since each E_n is T and T^* invariant, so $E_n \perp E_m$ for $n \neq m$. Since each E_n is T and T^* invariant, so $E_0 = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Let $E_0 = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)^{\perp}$. Since E_0 is T and T^* invariant, $T|_{E_0}$ is normal and cpt. But $T|_{E_0}$ has no non-zero eigenvalues, so $T|_{E_0} = 0$. Thus $H = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$.

Cor: Suppose $T \in B(H, K)$ s.t. T^*T is cpt. Then T is cpt.

Pf: Let $T = u|T|$ be the polar decomposition. It's enough to prove $|T|$ is cpt. We know $|T|^2 = T^*T = \sum \lambda_n P_n$ is cpt. Define $S \in B(H)$ by $\sum \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n + 1} P_n$. Then S is cpt, $S \geq 0$ and $S^2 = T^*T$, so $S = |T|$ by !ness of pos. sq. rt.

Schmidt Rep'n: Suppose $T \in K(H)$, so $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ is cpt. Extract the evnl's of $|T|$ by $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ w/ multiplicity as necessary. Note that $\lambda_0 = \|T\|$. $\lambda_n = s_n(T)$, the n^{th} singular value of T . By the spectral thm \exists on T 's (f.b.) s.t. $|T|f_n = \lambda_n f_n$, and thus $|T| = \sum \lambda_n f_n \times f_n^*$ converges in op. norm. Let u be the p.i. s.t. $T = u|T|$, b/c $T = \ker |T| = \ker u$. Setting $e_n = u f_n$ for $n \geq 0$, the e_n 's are op and $T = \sum \lambda_n e_n e_n^*$ converges in op. norm. Then $T^* = \sum \lambda_n (f_n e_n^*)^*$, so $s_n(T) = s_n(T^*) \neq 0$.

Mimax: Let $T \geq 0$, $T \in K(H)$, $T \neq 0$. Then $\text{dist}(T, 0)$ or $\min_{\mathbb{E} \subseteq H}$,

$$S_n(T) = \min_{\substack{\mathbb{E} \subseteq H \\ \text{codim } \mathbb{E} = n}} \max_{\substack{\mathbb{E}' \subseteq E \\ \|\mathbb{E}'\| = 1}} \langle T\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E}' \rangle = \lambda_n$$

Pf. we know $n > 0$ holds. Assume $n > 0$ and let (f_i) be an s.o. st. $Tf_i = \lambda_i f_i$ and $\lambda_i > 0$. Let $E_n = \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}^\perp$. Then $\text{dist}(T, E_n) = \lambda_n$ and $\lambda_n = \langle Tf_n, f_n \rangle$, so $\lambda_n \geq \lambda_m$. Conversely, if $\mathbb{E} \subseteq H$ has codim n , then $\mathbb{E}' \notin \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}^\perp$ i.e. $\|\mathbb{E}'\| \neq 1$. Then writing $\mathbb{E}' = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i f_i$ w/ $\alpha_i = \langle \mathbb{E}', f_i \rangle$, $\sum_i \alpha_i^2 = 1$, we have

$$\langle T\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E}' \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \lambda_i^2 \geq \lambda_n \quad \text{as } \alpha_i \rightarrow 0. \quad \text{Hence } \lambda_n \leq \lambda_m.$$

Cor: If $T \in K(H)$, $S_n(T) = \min_{\substack{\mathbb{E} \subseteq H \\ \text{codim } \mathbb{E} = n}} \max_{\substack{\mathbb{E}' \subseteq E \\ \|\mathbb{E}'\| = 1}} \|T\mathbb{E}'\|$.

Pf: $S_n(T) = \sqrt{S_n(T^*T)}$ and $\langle T^*T\mathbb{E}', \mathbb{E}' \rangle = \|T\mathbb{E}'\|^2$. Apply Minimax for $T^*T \geq 0$.

Cor: If $T \in K(H)$ and $S \in B(H)$, then both $S_n(ST)$, $S_n(TS) \leq \|S\| S_n(T)$.

Pf: $S_n(ST) = \min_{\mathbb{E} \subseteq H} \|S\mathbb{E}\| \leq \min_{\mathbb{E} \subseteq H} \|S\mathbb{E}\| \cdot \|T\mathbb{E}\| = \|S\| \cdot \min_{\mathbb{E} \subseteq H} \|T\mathbb{E}\| = \|S\| S_n(T)$.

$S_n(TS) = S_n(S^*T^*) \leq \|S^*\| \|S_n(T^*)\| = \|S\| S_n(T)$.

Thm: If $T \in K(H)$, $S_n(T) = \text{dist}(T, F_n)$ where $F_n = \{\mathbb{E} \in B(H) \mid \text{rank } F = n\}$.

Pf: Write $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i \otimes f_i$ in Schmidt rep'n. Then the operator $F = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_i \otimes f_i$ is F_n , and $T - F = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i \otimes f_i$ has norm λ_n . Now $\text{dist}(T, F_n) \leq \lambda_n$. Now $\text{dist}(T, F_n) = \text{dist}(T, \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}) = \lambda_n$, since $\mathbb{E} \in \text{span}\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}^\perp$ w/ $\|\mathbb{E}\| = 1$ and $T\mathbb{E} = 0$. Then

$$\|T - F\| \geq \|(T - F)\mathbb{E}\| = \|T\mathbb{E}\| \geq \lambda_n.$$

Cor: If $S, T \in K(H)$, $S_{\min}(S+T) \leq S_n(S) + S_n(T)$.

Pf: Let $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Take $F_1 \in F_n$ s.t. $\|S - F_1\| \leq S_n(S) + \varepsilon$ and $\mathbb{E}_1 \in F_n$ s.t. $\|T - F_1\| \leq S_n(T) + \varepsilon$. Then $\exists 0 \leq k \leq \text{min } \text{rank } F_1, F_2 \in F_{n+k}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - F_2\| &\leq S_n(T) + \varepsilon. \\ S_{\min}(S+T) &\leq S_n(S+T) = \text{dist}(S+T, F_n) \leq \|S\| + \|T\| - \|S - F_1\| - \|T - F_2\| \\ &\leq \|S - F_1\| + \|T - F_2\| \leq S_n(S) + S_n(T) + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε was arbitrary, the result follows.

The trace is: Let $\{e_i\}$ be an ONB of H . Define $\text{Tr}: \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$
 by $\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{i \in I} \langle T e_i, e_i \rangle$.

Lemma: $\text{Tr}(TT^*) = \text{Tr}(TT^*) \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

Pf: $\forall i, j \in I$, $\langle T e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, T e_i \rangle = \langle T^* e_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, T^* e_j \rangle \geq 0$. Hence the sum is
 order independent:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(TT^*) &= \sum_i \langle T e_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle T e_i, T e_i \rangle = \sum_i \sum_j \langle T e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, T e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j \langle T e_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, T e_i \rangle = \sum_j \sum_i \langle T^* e_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_i, T^* e_j \rangle = \dots = \text{Tr}(TT^*).\end{aligned}$$

Cor: If $T \geq 0$ are unitary $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\text{Tr}(T) = \text{Tr}(U^* T U)$.

Pf: $\text{Tr}(U^* T U) = \text{Tr}(U U^* T U^* U) = \text{Tr}(T^{1/2} U U^* T^{1/2}) = \text{Tr}(T^{1/2} T^{1/2}) = \text{Tr}(T)$.

Well-defined: If $\{f_i\}$ another ONB, \exists a unitary $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ s.t. $U e_i = f_i \quad \forall i$,
 and $\text{Tr}(T) = \text{Tr}(U^* T U) = \sum_i \langle T U e_i, U e_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle T f_i, f_i \rangle$.

Cor: If $T \geq 0$, $\text{Tr}(T) \geq \|T\|$. $\boxed{\|T\| = \sup_{\substack{\text{ONB } \{e_i\} \\ i \in I}} \sum_i \langle T e_i, e_i \rangle \leq \sum_i \langle T e_i, e_i \rangle}$

Lemma: If $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ s.t. $\text{Tr}(T^P) < \infty$ for some $p > 0$, then T cpt.

Pf: Given an ONB $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ ab an $\varepsilon > 0$, \exists a finite subset $\lambda \subseteq I$ s.t.
 $\sum_i \langle T^P e_i, e_i \rangle < \varepsilon$. Let P_λ denote proj onto $\text{span}\{e_i : i \in \lambda\}$, so

$$\text{then } \|T P_\lambda (I - P_\lambda)\|^2 = \|T(I - P_\lambda)\| T^P (I - P_\lambda) \leq \text{tr}[T(I - P_\lambda)] T^P (I - P_\lambda) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus we may approx $|T|^P$ by finite rank ops. Thus $|T|^P$ cpt, so

$|T|^P = |T|^{P_2} |T|^{P_2}$ is also cpt. We may choose a suitable ONB $\{f_i\}$

so that $|T|^P = \sum \lambda_i |f_i\rangle \langle f_i|$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$. Then by CPC,
 $|T| = \sum \lambda_i^{1/p} |f_i\rangle \langle f_i|$ and $\lambda_i^{1/p} \rightarrow 0$, so $|T|$ is cpt. $\Leftrightarrow T$ cpt.

Cor: If $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, $\text{Tr}(|T|^P) = \sum S_n(T)^p$.

Pf: we have $|T| = \sum \lambda_i |B_n \times e_i\rangle$, so $|T|^P = \sum \lambda_i^p |B_n \times e_i\rangle$, and thus

$$\text{Tr}(|T|^P) = \sum \lambda_i^p = \sum S_n(T)^p.$$

Def: Schatten p -class $p \geq 1$ $\mathcal{L}^p(H) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(H) \mid \text{Tr}(|T|^P) = \sum S_n(T)^p < \infty\}$

↳ Trace class = $\mathcal{L}^1(H)$ and Hilbert-Schmidt = $\mathcal{L}^2(H)$.

Lemma: $\mathcal{L}^p(H)$ is a $*$ -closed 2-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which is algebraically
 spanned by the positive ops in $\mathcal{L}^p(H)$.

Pf: $*$ -closed: $S_n(T) = S_n(T^*) \geq 0$.

\circ -closed: $S_n(S+T), S_n(ST) \leq \|S\|_n S_n(T) \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{B}(H)$.

$+$ -closed: $S_n(S+T) \leq S_n(S) + S_n(T)$. Since $(S_n(S)), (S_n(T)) \in \mathcal{L}^p$,

so is $(S_n(S) + S_n(T))$. Similarly, $S_{n+1}(ST) \leq S_n(S) + S_{n+1}(T)$,

and $(S_n(S) + S_{n+1}(T)) \in \mathcal{L}^p \Rightarrow (S_n(ST)) \in \mathcal{L}^p$.

Spanned by pos. part:

Step 1: Every s.a. T can be written as $T = T_+ - T_- \Rightarrow T_+ \geq 0$ and $T_+ + T_- = T - T_+ = 0$.

Pf: Set $T_+ = \chi_{[0, \infty)}(T)T$ and $T_- = \chi_{(0, \infty)}(T)T$.

Step 2: Every $T \in B(H)$ is a linear comb. of 4 pos. elts.

Pf: $T = \text{Re}(T) + i\text{Im}(T)$ w/ $\text{Re}(T) = \frac{T + T^*}{2}$, $\text{Im}(T) = \frac{T - T^*}{2i}$ s.a.

Step 3: If $T \in J$ a 2-sided $*$ -closed ideal, then T is a lin. comb. of 4 pos. elts from J .

Pf: $\text{Re}(T) \pm$ and $\text{Im}(T) \pm \in J$.

Cor: $\mathcal{L}'(H) = \text{span} \{ T \geq 0 \mid \text{Tr}(T) < \infty \}$.

Prop: Tr extends to a linear map $\mathcal{L}'(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Pf: For $T \in \mathcal{L}'(H)$, can write $T = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k T_k$ w/ $T_k \geq 0$. Define $\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{Tr}(T_k) \in \mathbb{C}$. Show well-defined.

If $T = \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k S_k$ w/ $S_k \geq 0$, $\text{Re}(T) = S_0 - S_2$ and

$\text{Im}(T) = S_1 - S_3$. Then $\sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{Tr}(S_k) = \text{Tr}(\text{Re}(T)) + i \text{Tr}(\text{Im}(T))$, which is indepent of choice of S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3 .

Prop: For $S, T \in \mathcal{L}'(H)$, $T^*S \in \mathcal{L}'(H)$. The space $\mathcal{L}^*(H)$ is a Hilbert space w/ inner product $\langle S, T \rangle_2 = \text{Tr}(T^*S)$.

Pf: Note $\mathcal{L}^*(H) = \{ T \in B(H) \mid \text{Tr}(1T^*) = \text{Tr}(T^*T) < \infty \}$ is a $*$ -closed 2-sided ideal. Hence $T \in \mathcal{L}^*(H) \Rightarrow T^*T \in \mathcal{L}'(H)$. By polarization, $T^*S = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k (S + i^k T)^* (S + i^k T)$.

It's now easy to see $\langle S, T \rangle_2$ is positive. Now for $T \in \mathcal{L}^*$,

$\|T\|_2^2 = \text{Tr}(T^*T) \geq \|T^*T\| = \|T\|_2^2$, so $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is an inner prod. Moreover, every $\|\cdot\|_2$ -Cauchy seq. is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -Cauchy. To show $\|\cdot\|_2$ is complete, it suffices to show if (T_n) $\|\cdot\|_2$ -Cauchy s.t. $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\|\cdot\|_1$, then $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\|\cdot\|_2$. Note T finite rank Proj P in $\|\cdot\|_1$, then $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\|\cdot\|_2$. Note $T \in K(H)$. Note δ finite rank Proj P in $\|\cdot\|_1$, then $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\|\cdot\|_2$.

$\text{Tr}(\rho(T - T_n)^*(T - T_n)\rho) = \lim_m \text{Tr}(\rho(T_m - T_n)^*(T_m - T_n)\rho)$
 $\leq \limsup_m \text{Tr}((T_m - T_n)^*(T_m - T_n)) = \limsup_m \|T_m - T_n\|_2^2$.

Since P arbitrary, $\text{Tr}((T - T_n)^*(T - T_n)) \leq \limsup_m \|T_m - T_n\|_2^2$. Here $T \in \mathcal{L}^*$ and $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\|\cdot\|_2$.

Lemma: For $T \in \mathbb{Z}'$, $|\text{Tr}(T)| \leq \text{Tr}(|T|)$.

Pf: Let $T = u|T|$ be polar decomposition. Then $|T|^k_2 \leq u|T|^k_2 \in \mathbb{I}$, and by CS, $|\text{Tr}(T)|^2 = \left\| |T|^{\frac{k}{2}} \right\|_2^2 \leq \| |T|^{\frac{k}{2}} \|_2^2 \cdot \| u|T|^{\frac{k}{2}} \|_2^2$

$$= \text{Tr}(|T|) \cdot \text{Tr}(|T|^{\frac{k}{2}} u^* u |T|^{\frac{k}{2}})$$

$$\leq \text{Tr}(|T|) \cdot \|u^* u\|_1 \cdot \text{Tr}(|T|) = \text{Tr}(|T|)^2.$$

Cor: $\forall S \in B(H)$, $T \in \mathbb{Z}'$, $|\text{Tr}(ST)|, |\text{Tr}(TS)| \leq \|S\| \text{Tr}(|T|)$.

Pf: Note $ST \in \mathbb{Z}'$, so $|\text{Tr}(ST)| \leq \text{Tr}(|ST|)$. Now $S_n(ST) \leq \|S\| S_n(T)$ also. Hence $\text{Tr}(|ST|) = \sum_n S_n(ST) \leq \|S\| \sum_n S_n(T) = \|S\| \text{Tr}(|T|)$.

Lemma: For $S, T \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\text{Tr}(ST) = \text{Tr}(TS)$. Also true for $S \in B(H)$, $T \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Pf: By polarization,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\text{Tr}(T^*S) &= \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{Tr}((S+i^k T)^*(S+i^k T)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{Tr}(S+i^k T)(S+i^k T)^* \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{Tr}(CS + i^{k+1} T^*)^*(S^* + i^{-k} T^*) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^3 i^k \text{Tr}(CT^* + i^k S^*)^*(T^* + i^k S^*) = 4\text{Tr}(ST^*). \end{aligned}$$

For second part, we may assume $T \geq 0$ by linearity of the opn. Then $\text{Tr}(ST) = \text{Tr}(\underline{S^2} \underline{T^2}) = \text{Tr}(\underline{T^2} \underline{S^2 T^2}) = \text{Tr}(\underline{T^2} \underline{T^2 S^2}) = \text{Tr}(TS)$. $\text{Tr}(ST) = \text{Tr}(\underline{S^2} \underline{T^2}) = \text{Tr}(\underline{T^2} \underline{S^2 T^2}) \xrightarrow{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{Tr}(TS)$

Prop: $\mathbb{Z}'(H)$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra w/ $\|T\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|T|)$.

Pf: We know $\|\lambda T\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|\lambda T|) = \text{Tr}(|\lambda| |T|) = |\lambda| \cdot \|T\|_1$. Moreover, $\text{Tr}(|T|) = 0 \Rightarrow |T| = 0 \Rightarrow T = 0$. To prove subadditivity, let $S+T = u|S+T|$ be polar decomps. Then $u^*(S+T) = |S+T|$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|S+T\|_1 &= \text{Tr}(u^*(S+T)) = \text{Tr}(\underbrace{u^*S}_{\in \mathbb{I}} + \underbrace{u^*T}_{\in \mathbb{I}}) \leq \text{Tr}(\underbrace{u^*S}_{\in \mathbb{I}}) + \text{Tr}(\underbrace{u^*T}_{\in \mathbb{I}}) \\ &\leq \|u^*\|_1 \text{Tr}(S) + \|u^*\|_1 \text{Tr}(T) \leq \|S\|_1 + \|T\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

To prove L -multiplicativity, note

$$\|ST\|_1 = \text{Tr}(|ST|) \leq \|S\| \text{Tr}(|T|) = \|S\|_1 \text{Tr}(|T|) \leq \text{Tr}(|S|) \text{Tr}(|T|) = \|S\|_1 \cdot \|T\|_1.$$

Note $\|T\|_1 = \|(T^*)^*\|_1$ since $S_n(T) = S_n(T^*) \nrightarrow 0$.

Now if (T_n) $\| \cdot \|_1$ -Cauchy, (T_n) $\| \cdot \|_1$ -Cauchy, so $\exists T \in K(H)$ s.t. $T_n \rightarrow T$.

Now if $\{T_n\}$ $\| \cdot \|_1$ -Cauchy, $\{T_n\}$ $\| \cdot \|_1$ -Cauchy, so $\exists T \in K(H)$ s.t. $T_n \rightarrow T$.

Now define the proj P & p.o. u , by the above Cauchy,

$$|\text{Tr}(P u^*(T-T_n))| = \lim_m |\text{Tr}(P u^*(T_m - T_n))| \leq \limsup_m \|T_m - T_n\|_1.$$

Hence for the polar decomposition $T = T_n = u(T-T_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Tr}(P|T-T_n|)| &\leq \limsup_m \|T_m - T_n\|_1. \text{ Since } P \text{ arbitrary, we have} \\ \text{Tr}(|T-T_n|) &\leq \limsup_m \|T_m - T_n\|_1, \text{ so } T \in \mathbb{Z}' \text{ and } T_n \rightarrow T \text{ in } \| \cdot \|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Prop: $\|T\|_P$ is Banach under $\|T\|_P := \text{Tr}(CT^{1/P}) = \|S_{\infty}CT\|_P$.

Pf: Similar to those for \mathbb{L}^2 and \mathbb{L}^1 and is omitted.

[See E.3.43 in Analysis Now for a sketch.]

Thm: For $1 < p \leq 2 \leq q \iff \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, if $S \in \mathbb{L}^p$ and $T \in \mathbb{L}^q$, we have $\text{ST} \in \mathbb{L}^1$ and $|\text{Tr}(CST)| \leq \|S\|_p \cdot \|T\|_q$. The bilinear form $(S, T) = \text{Tr}(CST)$ implements a duality which exhibits \mathbb{L}^p and \mathbb{L}^q as canonically isomorphic to ℓ^∞ dual spaces. [Assume H separable here for convenience.]

Pf: Step 0: Recall that for $1 \leq q \leq p$, $\ell^q \cong \ell^p \cong H_q \cong H_p$. True for $\mathbb{L}^q \subseteq \mathbb{L}^p$!
For $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $(x_n) \in \ell^p$, $(x_n^{1/p}) \in \ell^q$ as $\|(x_n^{1/p})\|_q^q = \|(x_n)\|_p^p = \|(x_n)\|_p \cdot \|(x_n^{1/p})\|_q$.

Hence $(\ell^p)^* \cong \ell^q$ via the bilinear pairing $(x_n, y_m) = \sum_{n,m} x_n y_m$.

[Note $(x, y) = \sum_n x_n y_n$ or counting measure. Tr is a non-commutative \int .]

Step 1: If $S \geq 0$, $S \in \mathbb{L}^p$, $p \geq 2$, and $\|S\|_p^p = 1$, $\langle S^{\frac{1}{2}}, \xi \rangle^{q/2} \leq \langle S^{\frac{p}{2}} \xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}$.

Pf: Let $(e_i) \subset H$ ONB s.t. $S = \sum \lambda_i e_i \otimes e_i$. Then $\xi \in \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S^{\frac{1}{2}}, \xi \rangle &= \sum_i \langle \langle \xi, e_i \rangle S^{\frac{1}{2}} e_i, \langle \xi, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \xi, e_i \rangle^{1/2} \langle S^{\frac{p}{2}} e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i^{1/2} \langle \xi, e_i \rangle^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since $t \mapsto t^{p/2}$ is convex and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle \xi, e_i \rangle^{p/2} = 1$, we have

$$\langle S^{\frac{1}{2}}, \xi \rangle^{q/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{p/2} \langle \xi, e_i \rangle^{p/2} \right)^{q/2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^p \langle \xi, e_i \rangle^{1/2} = \langle S^{\frac{p}{2}} \xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Hence the \leq holds on the closure span of (e_i) , which is dense in H .

Since $\xi \mapsto \langle S^{\frac{1}{2}}, \xi \rangle^{q/2}$ and $\xi \mapsto \langle S^{\frac{p}{2}} \xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}$ are cts, the result follows.

Step 2: If $S, T \geq 0$, $ST \in \mathbb{L}^1$ and $\text{Tr}(CST) \leq \|S\|_q \cdot \|T\|_q$.

Pf: Pick (f_n) ONB for T s.t. $T = \sum \lambda_n f_n \otimes f_n$. Then th,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle ST | f_n, f_n \rangle|^2 &\leq \|ST\|_1 \lambda_n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\|f_n\|^2} = \langle ST f_n, f_n \rangle = \langle T^* S^* f_n, f_n \rangle \\ &= \langle S^* S f_n, f_n \rangle = \lambda_n^2 \langle S^2 f_n, f_n \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By th, $\langle ST | f_n, f_n \rangle \leq \lambda_n \langle ST f_n, f_n \rangle^{1/2} \stackrel{\text{seq}}{\leq} \lambda_n \langle ST^* f_n, f_n \rangle^{1/2}$.

Now letting $x_n = \langle ST^* f_n, f_n \rangle^{1/2}$, $(x_n) \in \ell^p$ as

$$\|S f_n\|_{\ell^p}^p = \sum_n \langle S f_n, f_n \rangle = \text{Tr}(S^* f_n) < \infty \text{ as } S \in \mathbb{L}^p.$$

Also, $(x_n) \in \ell^2$ as $\sum \lambda_n^2 = \text{Tr}(T^* T) < \infty$ as $T \in \mathbb{L}^2$.

By Höld \leq , $\text{Tr}(ST) = \sum_n \langle ST | f_n, f_n \rangle \leq \sum_n \lambda_n \langle ST^* f_n, f_n \rangle^{1/2}$

$$\leq \|S\|_q \cdot \|T\|_q = \|S\|_q \cdot \|T\|_q.$$

Step 3: $\forall S \in \mathbb{I}^P, T \in \mathbb{I}^2, ST \in \mathbb{I}^1$ and $|\text{Tr}(SST)| \leq \|S\|_P \cdot \|T\|_Q$.

Pf: using Polar decomposition, write $S = uS1$ and $T^* = vT^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and note $|S1|, |T^*| > 0$, $(S1)^\perp \in \mathbb{I}^P$, and $T^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{T^*} \in \mathbb{I}^2$. Then $ST = S(T^*)^* = uS1(vT^{\frac{1}{2}})^* = u(S1)^\perp v^* \in \mathbb{I}^1$ by Step 2.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Finally, } |\text{Tr}(SST)| &= |\text{Tr}(uS1vT^{\frac{1}{2}})| \leq \|u\|_P \|v\|_Q \cdot \text{Tr}(|S1| \cdot |T^{\frac{1}{2}}|) \\ &\leq \|S1\|_P \cdot \|T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_Q = \|S\|_P \cdot \|T\|_Q.\end{aligned}$$

Step 4: The map $T \mapsto \text{Tr}(\cdot \cdot T)$ is an isometry $\mathbb{I}^2 \rightarrow (\mathbb{I}^P)^*$.

Pf: Map well-defined + norm decreasing by Step 3. Let $T = uT1$ be polar dec.
Then $|T| = u^* T \in \mathbb{I}^2$ (ideal).

Claim: $\forall n > 0$, $S_n(|T|)^* = S_n(|T|^n) = S_n(u|T|v) = S_n(|T|^n u^*)$.

Pf: Let $|T| = \sum \lambda_n 1_{\mathbb{I}^2} \otimes e_i e_i^*$ be Schmidt repn w/ $\lambda_n = S_n(T)$.
By C.R.C, $S_n(|T|^n) = \lambda_n^n = S_n(|T|)^n$. Let $f_n = u e_n$, so
 $u|T|v = \sum \lambda_n^n 1_{\mathbb{I}^2} \otimes e_i e_i^*$. Then $|T|^n u^* u|T|^n = \sum \lambda_n^n 1_{\mathbb{I}^2} \otimes e_i e_i^* = |T|^n$,
so $S_n(u|T|v) = S_n(|T|^n u^* u|T|^n)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \lambda_n^n$. [Note that for R.E.K(H), $S_n(R) := S_n(RR^*) = S_n(R^*R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.] The last equality follows immediately since adjoint preserves singular values.

By the claim, we have $S_n(|T|^{2n}) = S_n(|T|)^{2n-1}$, so $|T|^{2n-1} \in \mathbb{I}^P$ by Step 0. Let $S = |T|^{2n-1} u^* \in \mathbb{I}^P$ (ideal). By Step 0, we $\lambda_n = S_n(T)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Step 0: } \text{Tr}(ST) &= \text{Tr}(|T|^{2n-1} u^* T) = \text{Tr}(|T|^{2n}) = \|T\|_Q^2 = \|\lambda_n\|_Q^2 \\ &= \|\lambda_n\|_Q \cdot \|\lambda_n\|_Q = \|\lambda_n\|_Q \cdot \|T\|_Q = \|T\|_Q \cdot \|S\|_P\end{aligned}$$

by the claim. Hence the map is an isometry.

Step 5: The map in Step 4 is surjective.

Pf: Since $\mathbb{I}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{I}^P \subseteq \mathbb{I}^P$ w/ $\|\cdot\|_Q \geq \|\cdot\|_L \geq \|\cdot\|_P$ by Step 0. Thus if $\forall \mathbb{I}^P$, $\mathbb{I}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{I}^P$, and $\exists T \in \mathbb{I}^2$ s.t. $\mathbb{I}^2 \subseteq \text{Tr}(\cdot \cdot T)$ by Step 0.

It remains to prove $T \in \mathbb{I}^2$ and $\mathbb{I}^2 \subseteq \text{Tr}(\cdot \cdot T)$ on \mathbb{I}^P . Let $T = uT1$ be the polar decomposition and P an arbitrary finite rank proj. Then $S := |T|^{2n-1} P u^*$ is finite rank, and thus in \mathbb{I}^2 . We calculate:

$$S = |T|^{2n-1} P u^* = \text{Tr}(|T|^{2n-1} P u^* T) = \text{Tr}(|T|^{2n} P) = \text{Tr}(S)$$

\Rightarrow finite rank $P - j$, $\text{Tr}(|T|^{2n} P) \leq \|P\|_P \cdot \|T\|_Q^{2n-1}$, and $T \in \mathbb{I}^2$.

Finally, $\mathbb{I}^2 \subseteq \text{Tr}(\cdot \cdot T)$ on \mathbb{I}^P since finite ranks are $\|\cdot\|_P$ -dense in \mathbb{I}^P .

so $\mathbb{I}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{I}^P$ is $\|\cdot\|_P$ -dense. [Observe that if $T \neq 0$ in \mathbb{I}^P is Schmidt rep]

$T = \sum \lambda_i 1_{\mathbb{I}^2} \otimes e_i e_i^*$, then setting $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i 1_{\mathbb{I}^2} \otimes e_i e_i^*$, $T \cdot T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 1_{\mathbb{I}^2} \otimes e_i e_i^*$,

and $\|T \cdot T_n\|_P^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \leq c < 1$. Thus $\|T \cdot T_n\|_P^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.]

Step 6: $(\mathbb{I}^2)^* \cong \mathbb{I}^P$ is omitted.

More on $B(\ell^2)$ next semester!

Representing C^* -alg's on Hilb. space.

Def: A representation of a C^* -alg A is a pair (H, π) where H a Hilb. space and $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$ a *-hom. If A is unital, we usually discuss unital representations.
A repn (H, π) is called nondegenerate if the subspace $\{\pi(a)x \mid a \in A, x \in H\}$ is dense in H .

Example: Let Γ be a discrete gp. Recall that the group algebra $C[\Gamma] = \{ \sum_g x_g g \mid x_g \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ all but finitely many } x_g = 0 \}$ or addition: $\sum_g x_g g + \sum_j y_j j = \sum_j (x_j + y_j) j$
multiplication: $(\sum_g x_g g)(\sum_h y_h h) = \sum_k (\sum_{g+h=k} x_g y_h) k$
 $\star: (\sum_g x_g g)^* = \sum_g \bar{x}_g g^{-1}$

Define $\ell^2\Gamma = \{ \sum_g: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_g |\xi_g|^2 < \infty \}$. We let $\forall g \in \Gamma \quad \lambda_g: \ell^2\Gamma \rightarrow \ell^2\Gamma \quad \text{by} \quad (\lambda_g)(h) = \sum_g (\xi_g h)_g$.
Notice that λ_g is invertible or inverse λ_g^{-1} .
Moreover, λ_g is an isometry: $\|\lambda_g\|_2^2 = \sum_h |\xi_g h|^2 = \|\xi_g\|_2^2$.
Thus λ_g is unitary, and $\lambda_g^* = \lambda_{g^{-1}}$, so $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{U}(\ell^2\Gamma)$.
Since Γ is a basis for $C[\Gamma]$, we get $\lambda: C[\Gamma] \rightarrow B(\ell^2\Gamma)$ by extending linearly, which is a *-homomorphism.

Defn: A linear functional φ on a unital C^* -alg A is called positive if $\varphi(a^*a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in A$. A state on A is a positive linear fn φ on A s.t. $\varphi(1) = 1$.

Exercise: If φ is a state on the unital C^* -alg \mathbb{K} , $\varphi(\alpha^*) = \overline{\varphi(\alpha)}$ check.
Exercise: If A is a unital C^* -alg, then φ is a state and $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$.

[For both: Adapt pf for $C(\Sigma)$ after we calculated $\det(P(\Sigma))$.]

Example: Let $A \subseteq B(H)$ be a $*$ -subalg, and $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes k}$. Then $a \mapsto \langle a\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C} \rangle$ is positive. It is a state iff $\|a\|=1$.

Non-example: Let $A = C \otimes C$ w.r.t. addition & multiplication, but w.r.t. $(x, y)^* := (\bar{y}, \bar{x})$. Then A has no states!

Given a C^* -alg A and a state φ on A , get a sesquilinear form on A by $\langle a, b \rangle_{\varphi} := \varphi(b^* a)$. [Can also do $\varphi(a^* b)$.]

By Cauchy-Schwarz, $N_{\varphi} := \{a \in A \mid \langle a, a \rangle_{\varphi} = 0\}$
 $= \{a \in A \mid \langle a, b \rangle_{\varphi} = 0 \forall b \in A\}$

is a left ideal. Thus $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\varphi}$ is an inner product on A/N_{φ} , and A acts on A/N_{φ} by left multiplication: $a(b + N_{\varphi}) = ab + N_{\varphi}$.

Q: When is the action of A on A/N_{φ} bdd?

Prop: Let A be a unital normed $*$ -alg and $\varphi \in A^*$ a pos. linear fn.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \| \varphi \| = \varphi(1)$$

\textcircled{2} left regular action of A on A/N_{φ} is bdd w.r.t. $\| \cdot \|_{N_{\varphi}}$.

Lemma: If $a=a^*$, $\|a\|<1$, $\exists b=b^* \text{ s.t. } b^2=1-a$.

Pf: Can write $\sqrt{1-a}$ as a power series w.r.t. radius of convergence 1.

Q of Prop: \textcircled{1} If $a=a^*$ in A w.r.t. $\| \cdot \|_A$, $\varphi(a-a) = \varphi(b^2) \geq 0$, and $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(1)$.

Similarly, $\varphi(1+a) \geq 0$, so $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(1)$. Hence $\varphi(a) \leq \varphi(1) \|a\|$.
 For arbitrary a , $|\varphi(a)|^2 = |\varphi(a)|^2 = \langle 1, a \rangle_{\varphi}^2 \leq \langle 1, 1 \rangle_{\varphi} \cdot \langle a, a \rangle_{\varphi} = \varphi(1) \varphi(a^* a) \leq \varphi(1) \|a\|^2 \leq \varphi(1) \|a\|^2$.

\textcircled{2} $\forall b \in A$, $b^* b \rightarrow 0$ by $a \mapsto \varphi(b^* b)$ is positive, so $\|\varphi(b^* b)\| \leq \|b\| \|\varphi(b^* b)\| = \|b\| \|\varphi(b^* b)\|$.
 $\Rightarrow \|ab\|_{N_{\varphi}} = \varphi(b^* a^* a b) = \varphi(a^* a) \leq \|a\|^2 \|\varphi(b^* b)\| \leq \|a\|^2 \|b\|_{N_{\varphi}}^2$.

Cor: If A is a unital normed $*$ -alg, and pos. linear fn. φ by $\mathbb{F}\text{-repn}$ of (H, π) and a a cyclic vector $\mathbb{F}\text{-repn}$ s.t. $\pi(b)a$ dense in H_{φ} and $\varphi(a) = \langle \pi(a)b, a \rangle_{\varphi}$.

Pf: Let $\pi_{\varphi} = \overline{\pi}_{N_{\varphi}}$, $\pi_{\varphi}(a) = La$, and R_{φ} = image of L in H_{φ} .

Note: we also denote H_{φ} as $L^2(A, \varphi)$, the GNS rep. assoc. to φ .

Defn: If (H, π) is a $\mathbb{F}\text{-repn}$ of the $*$ -alg A and rtly we define the cyclic subspace g_a by π to be $K_a = \{ \pi(a)R | R \in \mathbb{F} \} \subseteq H$.

A triple (H, π, τ) is called a cyclic rep'n if $\langle \pi, \kappa \rangle$ is a rep' and κ is a cyclic vector, i.e., $K\kappa = H \Leftrightarrow \pi(\kappa)R \subseteq H$ dense.

Prop: Suppose (H_i, π_i, τ_i) $i \in I$ are two cyclic repns of A s.t. $\ell_i = \ell_2$ w/ $\ell_i(a) = \langle \pi_i(a), \tau_i \rangle R_i$. Then $\exists u \in B(H_1, H_2)$ unitary s.t. $\pi_2 = u \pi_1(u^*)$.

Pf: For each a , we want $u(\pi_1(a)R_1) = \pi_2(a)R_2$. Note H_1 is isometric, and this well-defined: $\|u\pi_1(a)R_1\|_{H_2}^2 = \ell_2(a) = \ell_1(a^*) = \|u\pi_1(a^*)R_1\|_{H_1}^2$. Since $\pi_1(a)R_1$ is dense in H_1 , $u \in B(H_1, H_2)$. Since $\pi_2(a)R_2$ dense in H_2 , u is onto, so u is an invertible isometry, i.e., a unitary.

Direct sums: If $(H_i, \pi_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of repns, can take $H = \bigoplus H_i$ and $\pi_i = \bigoplus \pi_i$ by $\pi(a)_i := \pi_i(a)$ on H_i . The repn is bd iff facts, $\|\pi_i(a)\|$ is uniformly bdd for $i \in I$.

Def'n: For A a unital C^* -alg, the universal rep'n of A is $\bigoplus C(A, \ell_2)$.
It's a \bigoplus of cyclic repns. We'll see all repns are \otimes 's of cyclic repns.
Lemma: If $B \subseteq A$ is a unital C^* -subalg, any state on B extends to a state on A .

Pf: Apply Hahn-Banach, noting that $\|\varphi(a)\| = \|\varphi(a)\| = \|a\| = \|\tilde{\varphi}(a)\| \Rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$ a state (by exercise).

Prop: Let A be a unital C^* -alg and act s.t. $\pi(a) = \lambda$. [The associated GNS cyclic repn]

of state φ_λ on A s.t. $\ell_\lambda(a) = \lambda$. $\|\pi(a)\| = 1$ and $\varphi_\lambda(a) = \langle \pi(a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda \rangle$

$(C^*(A, \varphi_\lambda), \pi_\lambda, R_\lambda)$ satisfies $\|\pi_\lambda(a)\| = 1$ and $\varphi_\lambda(a) = \langle \pi_\lambda(a)\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda \rangle$

Pf: Recall $C(Spc(a)) \cong C^*(a) = B \subseteq A$. Define $\varphi: B \rightarrow C$ by $\varphi(a) = \varphi_\lambda(a)$

which is a state on $C(Spc(a))$. Use the lemma to extend to A .

Then (Gelfand-Naimark 2): The universal repn is isometric (norm-preserving).

Thm (Gelfand-Naimark 2): The universal repn is isometric (norm-preserving). If $\lambda = \text{a scalar}$, $\exists \varphi_\lambda \in A^*$ s.t. $\ell_\lambda(a) = \lambda$.

Pf: Let $a \in A$. Then $\|\pi(a)\| = \|\pi(a^*)\|$. If $\lambda = \text{a scalar}$, $\exists \varphi_\lambda \in A^*$ s.t. $\ell_\lambda(a) = \lambda$.

The π_λ satisfies $\|\pi_\lambda(a^*)\| = \lambda = \|a\|$.

We can also do all this for non-unital C^* -alg's.

Innreducibility / Indecomposability

A repn (H, π) is called innreducible if B a non-trivial (C^*) -invariant subspace $K \subseteq H$.
• indecomposable if B maximal $K_1, K_2 \subseteq H$ (C^*) -invariant
s.t. $H = K_1 \oplus K_2$.

Prop: If $K \subseteq H$ is $\pi(A)$ -invariant, then so is K^\perp . Hence (K, π) is reducible.

iff it is indecomposable.

Pf: If $\xi \in K^\perp$ and $\eta \in K$, $\langle \pi(a)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \pi(a^*)\eta \rangle = \langle \xi, \pi(a^*)\xi \rangle = 0$.
Hence $\pi(a)\xi \in K^\perp$.

Cor: Every finite-dimensional repn of a unital radical ring is completely reducible or semisimple (a finite \oplus of irreducibles).

Cor: Every $*$ -repn is a \oplus of cyclic repns.

Pf: immediate pf that H has an ONS. commutant of $\pi(H)$.

Schur's Lemma: (H, π) is irreducible $\Leftrightarrow \pi(a)' = \{x \in B(H) \mid x\pi(a) = \pi(a)x \text{ and } x^* = x\}$ is C .

[Note $\pi(A)'$ is a C*-alg as $[x\pi(a) = \pi(a)x]$ is a closed L^2 -closed condition.]

Pf: If H is not irreducible, \exists non-trivial $\pi(A)$ -inv. subspace $K \subseteq H$, and K^\perp

is also $\pi(A)$ -invariant. Then $P_K \in \pi(K)'$ is C .

Conversely, if $\pi(A)'$ is C , then by Gelfand-Neumark, $\exists x, y \in \pi(A)'$

s.t. $x, y > 0$, $x, y \neq 0$, and $xy = yx = 0$ i.e., x, y are commuting positive

zero-divisors. [If B is a unital C*-alg s.t. $B \neq C$, as every elt is a

linear comb of 4 pos. elts, \exists a $b \in B$ w/ $b > 0$ s.t. $\|b\| > 2$. Use CFC

to get $x, y \in C(b)$.] Now $\ker(x) \neq \{0\}$ is $\pi(A)$ -invariant, so H reducible.

T: $\exists c \in K \times \mathbb{C} \ni c\xi = 0 \Rightarrow \forall k, x \in \pi(K) \xi = \pi(a)x\xi = 0$, so $\pi(a)\xi \in K \times \mathbb{C}$.

Def: For α a unital C^* -alg and $\varphi, \psi \in A_+$, say $\varphi \geq \psi$ if $\varphi - \psi$ is positive.

Example: Suppose φ is state and $x \in \pi(\varphi)'$ w/ $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Define $\psi = \varphi_x$ by

$\psi(a) = \langle \pi_\varphi(a) \times R_\varphi, R_\varphi \rangle_{R_\varphi}$. Note $\psi \geq 0$, since R_φ ,

$$\psi(a^*a) = \langle \pi_\varphi(a^*a) \times R_\varphi, R_\varphi \rangle = \langle \pi_\varphi(a)^* \pi_\varphi(a) \times R_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(a) \times R_\varphi \rangle.$$

Since $1-x \geq 0$, $\psi - \varphi \geq 0$, so $\psi \leq \varphi$. Conversely, if $\varphi, \psi \geq 0$ w/ $\varphi \geq \psi$,
then $|\psi(b^*a)|^2 \leq \psi(b^*b)\psi(a^*a) \leq \varphi(b^*b)\varphi(a^*a)$, so $N_\varphi \leq N_\psi$. For a
fixed b , the map $\pi_\varphi(R_\varphi) \xrightarrow{\psi(b^*a)} \psi(b^*a)$ on $\pi_\varphi(R_\varphi)$ is well-defined
and bd by the above. By Riesz-Rep., $\exists x \in B(H)$ s.t.

$$\psi(b^*a) = \langle x \pi_\varphi(a) R_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(b) R_\varphi \rangle.$$

Now since $0 \leq \psi \leq \varphi$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Moreover, $x \in \pi(\varphi)'$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_\varphi(c) \times \pi_\varphi(a) R_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(b) R_\varphi \rangle &= \langle x \pi_\varphi(a) R_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(c^*b) R_\varphi \rangle \\ &= \psi(c^*b)^* a \\ &= \psi(b^*c)a \\ &= \langle x \pi_\varphi(c) R_\varphi, \pi_\varphi(b) R_\varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_\varphi(c) x = x \pi_\varphi(c)$ by density of $\pi_\varphi(R_\varphi) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(A, \varphi)$.

We summarize the previous example by the following:

Prop: The map $x \mapsto t_x$ is a bijection between $\{x \in \pi(A)^* \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$ and $\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$.

Def: A state ℓ is called pure if $0 \leq \varphi \leq \ell \Rightarrow \varphi = r\varphi$ for some $r \in [0, 1]$.

Thm: Let $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(A)^*$. Then ℓ is pure $\Leftrightarrow L^*(\ell, \ell)$ is irred repn.

Pf: If ℓ not pure, $\exists 0 \leq \varphi \leq \ell$ s.t. $\varphi \neq r\varphi$. Then $\exists x \in L^*(\ell)^* \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $x \notin C_1$. Then $L^*(\ell)^* \neq C_1$, so $L^*(\ell, \ell)$ not red. Conversely, if $\exists K \subseteq L^*(\ell, \ell)$ nontrivial $L^*(\ell)$ -invariant, $P_K \in L^*(\ell)^*$ is a projector and $\varphi_P \neq r\varphi$.

Let $S(\ell)$ be the set of states of ℓ , a closed weak opt subset of A^* .

Prop: $\varphi \in S(\ell)$ is pure $\Leftrightarrow \varphi$ is extreme in $S(\ell)$.

Pf: If ℓ not extreme, $\exists \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in S(\ell)$ s.t. $\varphi = t\varphi_1 + (1-t)\varphi_2$ $\forall t \in (0, 1)$, w/ $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi \neq \varphi_2$. Then $\ell > t\varphi_1$, so ℓ is not pure. Conversely, if ℓ not pure, $\exists 0 \leq t \leq 1$ s.t. $\varphi \neq r\varphi$, $r \in [0, 1]$. Then setting $0 < t = \|t\|/\|\varphi\| \leq \varphi(1) \leq \varphi(1) = 1$, $\varphi = t \frac{\varphi}{\|\varphi\|} + (1-t) \frac{(r\varphi - \varphi)}{\|\varphi - r\varphi\|}$, so φ not extreme.
 $(\varphi - r\varphi) = 0 \Rightarrow \|t\varphi - \varphi\| = 0$.