

Compact Operators:

(1)

Lemma: \mathbb{X} a loc. cpt. Banach space $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ f.dim'l.

Pf.: \Leftarrow : easy; Balzae-measures.

\Rightarrow : Suppose $\overline{B_1(0)}$ is cpt. Then $\{\overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)} \mid x \in B_1(0)\}$ is an open cover of $\overline{B_1(0)}$, so $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_1(0)$ s.t.

(adapted
from
math SE)

$$B_1(0) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i)} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n x_i + \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \subseteq \underbrace{\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}}_Y + \overline{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)}$$

$$\Rightarrow B_1(0) \subseteq Y + \frac{1}{2}[B_1(0)] \subseteq Y + \frac{1}{2}[Y + \frac{1}{2}B_1(0)] = Y + \frac{1}{2^2}B_1(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow B_1(0) \subseteq Y + \frac{1}{2^n}B_1(0) \text{ THEN by induction.}$$

If $x \in B_1(0)$, write $x = y_n + e_n$ w/ $y_n \in Y$, $e_n \in \frac{1}{2^n}B_1(0)$.

Then $x_n \rightarrow 0$, so $y_n \rightarrow x$. But Y closed, so $x \in Y$.

Let \mathbb{X}, Y be Banach spaces.

Def: $K \in B(\mathbb{X}, Y)$ is called cpt if K maps bdd subsets in \mathbb{X} to prept (rel. cpt.) subsets of Y .

Observe: K cpt $\Leftrightarrow \overline{KB_1(0)}$ cpt \Leftrightarrow bdd seq. $(x_n) \subset \mathbb{X}$, (Kx_n) has a conv. subseq.

Properties:

① K finite \Rightarrow ($K\mathbb{X}$ f.dim'l) $\Rightarrow K$ cpt.

② $I \in B(\mathbb{X})$ cpt $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{X}$ f.dim'l.

③ K_1, K_2 cpt $\Rightarrow K_1 K_2$ cpt (pass to 2 conv. subseq's.)

④ Composite of bdd op + cpt op is cpt. (apply bdd to conv. subseq., or find conv. subseq. after applying bdd op)

⑤ (co) restrictions of cpt ops are cpt.

\hookrightarrow idempotent $p \in B(\mathbb{X})$ cpt $\Leftrightarrow \dim p\mathbb{X} < \infty$.

Prop: $\{ \text{cpt-ops} \} \subseteq B(\mathbb{X}, Y)$ is a norm closed subspace.

p.f. \rightarrow

Pf: Let $T \in B(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ be a closure of ops. Recall a (2)
 subset of a complete metric space is prept \Leftrightarrow it is totally bdd.
 Let $U = B_{\epsilon}^{\mathbb{X}}(0)$, $\epsilon > 0$. Take op $K \in B(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$ s.t. $\|T - K\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$.
 KU is prept, so can be covered by finitely many $\frac{\epsilon}{3}$ -balls.
 $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in U$ s.t. $KU \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\frac{\epsilon}{3}}(Kx_i)$. Fix $x \in U$.
 Then $\exists x_j$ s.t. $\|Kx - Kx_j\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tx - Tx_j\| &\leq \|Tx - Kx\| + \|Kx - Kx_j\| + \|Kx_j - Tx_j\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$
 Thus $TU \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\epsilon}(Tx_i)$, so TU is ϵ -bdd.

Examples of cpt ops:

(1) $\mathbb{X} = \ell^p$ $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Consider a seq. (λ_n) of $C^\#$ s. Define ~~some~~

$T: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ by $(Tx)_n = \lambda_n x_n$.

- a) If $(\lambda_n) \in C_0$ (all λ_n tending to 0), T is finite rank, and thus cpt.
- b) If $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$, T is a norm limit of cpt ops, so \exists cpt; as $\|T\| = \sup_n \|\lambda_n\|$.
- c) If $\lambda_n \not\rightarrow 0$, T is not cpt. Pick subseq. η_j s.t. $|\lambda_{n_j}| > \epsilon > 0$.
 Let $e_n \in \mathbb{X}$ be $e_n(m) = \delta_{nm}$. Then $(Te_{n_j})_{j=1}^\infty$ has no norm-conv. subseq.

(2) $\mathbb{X} = (C[0,1], F \in C([0,1]^2))$. $T \in B(C[0,1])$ by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^1 f(x,y) f(y) dy. \quad \|T\| \leq \|F\|_\infty. \quad T \text{ is cpt (Hw).}$$

(3) $\mathbb{X} = C^1[0,1]$, $\mathbb{Y} = C[0,1]$. $\|f\|_{\mathbb{X}} = \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty$. Let
 $I: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be injection. I is cpt., $\|I\| \leq 1$.

(4) $\mathbb{X} = L^p[0,1]$ $1 < p \leq \infty$. $V \in B(\mathbb{X})$ is Volterra op:

$$(Vf)(x) = \int_0^x f(y) dy. \quad \|V\| \leq 1, \text{ and } V \text{ is cpt.}$$

Prop: $K \in B(\mathbb{X}, Y)$ cpt $\Leftrightarrow K^* \in B(Y^*, \mathbb{X}^*)$ cpt. (3)

Pf: Suppose K cpt, and let $(e_n) \subset Y^*$ be bdd. Let U be unit ball of \mathbb{X} . Note that (e_n) is equi~~ts~~ on bdd subsets of Y , and on \overline{KU} in particular, which is cpt. By the Arzela-Ascoli Thm,

F cpt Hausd. $\Leftrightarrow F \subseteq C(\mathbb{X})$ equi~~ts~~ if $x \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall y \in B(x, \delta), \forall f \in F, |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$.

$\Rightarrow F$ is prese~~bd~~ if $\text{dom } F$, $\sup_{f \in F} \|f\|_{\mathbb{X}} < \infty$.

Alt Thm: F is precpt $\Leftrightarrow F$ is equi~~ts~~ + prese~~bd~~. \square

\exists subseq. (φ_{n_j}) s.t. (φ_{n_j}) conv. unif. on \overline{KU} .

For $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\varphi_{n_j}(x) = (K^* \varphi_{n_j})(x)$, so $(K^* \varphi_{n_j})$ conv. unif. on U . This means $(K^* \varphi_{n_j})$ conv. in $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{X}}$.

Have K^* is cpt.

$\Leftarrow: K^*$ cpt $\Rightarrow K^{**}$ cpt $\Rightarrow K^{**}|_{\mathbb{X}} = K$ is cpt.

Prop: Suppose $K \in B(\mathbb{X}, Y)$ cpt and $Z \subseteq KY$ closed subspace.

Then Z is f.dim'l.

Pf: $K|_{K^{-1}Z} \in B(K^{-1}Z, Z)$ is cpt, and an open map by OTT.

A nbhd of 0 in $K^{-1}Z$ is mapped to a nbhd of 0 in Z .

Thus Z locally cpt $\Rightarrow Z$ f. dim'l.

Spectral Analysis of cpt op's

\mathbb{X} a Banach space / \mathbb{C} .

Spectrum basis: For $T \in B(\mathbb{X})$, define

$$\text{sp}(T) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - zI \text{ not inv.}\}$$

$\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(T)$ is the resolvent set of T .

(4)

Thm: $\text{sp}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a nonempty cpt subset.

Len: If $\|T\| < 1$, $1-T$ invertible w/ $(1-T)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$, norm conv.

Pf: $\sum T^n$ conv. since $\sum \|T^n\| \leq \sum \|T\|^n = \frac{1}{1-\|T\|}$.

Can directly verify $(1-T) \sum T^n = (\sum T^n)(1-T) = 1$.

Cor: $GL(\mathbb{X}) = \{T \in B(\mathbb{X}) \mid T \text{ inv.}\} \subseteq B(\mathbb{X})$ is open.

Pf: If $T \in B(\mathbb{X})$ inv., $T-S = T(1-T^{-1}S)$ $\forall S \in B(\mathbb{X})$.

Hence if $\|T^{-1}S\| < 1$, $T-S$ is inv.

Thus $T-S$ is invertible $\forall S$ s.t. $\|S\| < \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|}$.

$$\boxed{\|T^{-1}S\| \leq \|T^{-1}\| \cdot \|S\| < \|T^{-1}\| \cdot \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|} = 1 \quad \square}$$

Pf of thm: By the cor., the resolvent set of T is open,
so $\text{sp}(T)$ is closed. If $|z| > \|T\|$, we have

$T-zI = -z(1 - \frac{1}{z}T)$, and $\|\frac{T}{z}\| < 1 \Rightarrow T-zI$ inv.

$$\text{w/ } (T-zI)^{-1} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} T^n. \quad (*)$$

Thus $\text{sp}(T)$ is closed subset of $B_{\text{inv}}^{\mathbb{C}}(0) \Rightarrow$ cpt.

Must show $\text{sp}(T)$ is nonempty.

Claim 1: If $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(T)$, then $\forall |z-z_0| < \frac{1}{\|(T-zI)^{-1}\|}$,

$$(T-zI)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z-z_0)^n (T-z_0I)^{-n-1}. \quad \text{conv. in } (1).$$

Claim 2: $\forall \varphi \in B(\mathbb{X})^*$, $f_{\varphi}(z) := \varphi[(T-zI)^{-1}]$ is holo. on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(T)$,

$$\text{Pf: } f_{\varphi}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (z-z_0)^n \quad \text{and } |z-z_0| < \frac{1}{\|(T-z_0I)^{-1}\|}$$

$$\text{where } \alpha_n = \varphi[(T-z_0I)^{-n-1}].$$

$$B_{\varphi}(*), \text{ for } |z| > \|T\|, |\varphi(z)| \leq \sum |z|^{n+1} \|T\|^n \|\varphi\| = \frac{\|\varphi\|}{|z|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|T\|}{|z|}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\text{sp}(T) = \emptyset$, $\forall \varphi \in B(\mathbb{X})^*$, f_{φ} is entire, and bdd. Hence $f_{\varphi} = 0$.

$$\text{So, } \varphi[(T-zI)^{-1}] = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in B(\mathbb{X})^* \Rightarrow (T-zI)^{-1} = 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Spectrum of cpt op's: Let $K \in B(\mathbb{X})$ be cpt, $\dim \mathbb{X} = \infty$. (5)

Lemma: $\ker(K-I)$ is f.dml.

Pf: $\ker(K-I) \subseteq K\mathbb{X}$ is a subspace.

Lemma: $(K-I)\mathbb{X}$ closed and has finite codim.

Pf: Let $y \in \overline{(K-I)\mathbb{X}}$, so $\exists (x_n) \subset \mathbb{X}$ s.t. $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Kx_n - x_n$. (uses (K))

Case 1: If (x_n) has a sdll subseq., $\exists (x_{n_k})$ s.t. (Kx_{n_k}) converges,

(*) $\boxed{x_{n_k} \rightarrow x}$, so $Kx - x = \lim_k Kx_{n_k} - x_{n_k} = y \in (K-I)\mathbb{X}$.

(**) Also applies when

$\text{dist}(x_n, \ker(K-I))$ has sdll subseq.!

Case 2: Assume for contradiction $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. should be $\text{dist}(x_n, \ker(K-I)) \rightarrow \infty$!

wlog, we may assume $K-I$ injective [can replace \mathbb{X} by $\mathbb{X}/(K-I)\mathbb{X}$]
[replace K by \tilde{K} , still cpt.]

see (**)! depends to

Claim: K preserves $\ker(K-I) \Rightarrow \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{K} \mathbb{X}$ \tilde{K}

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{K} \mathbb{X}/\ker(K-I)$

K cpt $\Rightarrow \tilde{K}$ is cpt. $x \in \ker \mapsto Kx + \ker$.

Now $\tilde{K}-I$ is injective.

Let $x'_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$. Since K cpt, we may assume (Kx'_n) converges, say to z . But $Kx'_n - x'_n = \frac{Kx_n - x_n}{\|x_n\|} \rightarrow 0$ as $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$,

so $x'_n \rightarrow z$, so $\|z\|=1$. But $Kz - z = \lim Kx'_n - x'_n = 0$, and $z \in \ker(K-I) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

we conclude by the cases that $(K-I)\mathbb{X}$ is closed. Now

since K^* is cpt, and $((K-I)\mathbb{X})^\perp = \ker(K^*-I)$, we have

$((K-I)\mathbb{X})^\perp$ is f.dml. But $((K-I)\mathbb{X})^\perp \cong [\mathbb{X}/(K-I)\mathbb{X}]^*$,

and thus $\mathbb{X}/(K-I)\mathbb{X}$ is f.dml.

Def: For $j \geq 0, 1, 2, \dots$, define $M_j = (K-I)^j \mathbb{X}$, Both cpt. By Lem 1+2,
 $N_j = \ker(K-I)^j$

$\dim M_j < \infty \forall j$, M_j 's all closed \Rightarrow finite codim.

Moreover, $\mathbb{X} = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \dots$ All M_j, N_j are K -invariant subspaces. (4)

Prop: $\exists j \geq 0$ s.t. $M_j = M_{j+1}$ and $N_j = N_{j+1}$, and $\mathbb{X} = M_j \oplus N_j$.
 (complementary K -invariant subspaces), Moreover:
 a) $(K-1)|_{M_j}$ is invertible in $B(M_j)$
 b) If $j > 0$, $(K-1)|_{N_j}$ is nilpotent $\Rightarrow (K-1)^j = 0$.
 (D part (b) is trivial as $N_j = \ker(K-1)^j$).

Pf:

Step ①: $\exists j \geq 0$ s.t. $M_j = M_{j+1}$.

If not, $M_{j+1} \subsetneq M_j \forall j \geq 0$. $\forall j$, pick $x_j \in M_j$ s.t. $\text{dist}(x_j, M_{j+1}) = 1$, and $\|x_j\| \leq 2$. $\left[\text{dist}(x_j, M_{j+1}) = \|x_j + M_{j+1}\|_{M_j/M_{j+1}} \text{ open map} \right] \Rightarrow$

For $i \leq j$, $Kx_j - Kx_i = x_j + \underbrace{(K-1)x_j}_{\in M_{j+1}} - \underbrace{Kx_i}_{\in K^i M_i \subseteq M_i \subseteq M_{j+1}} \in x_j + M_{j+1}$
 $\Rightarrow \|Kx_j - Kx_i\| \geq 1$, so $(Kx_j)_j$ has no conv. subseq., \nsubseteq .

Step ②: $\exists j_2 \geq 0$ s.t. $N_{j_2} = N_{j_2+1}$.

If $N_j = \ker(K-1)^j = [(K^*-I)^j \mathbb{X}^*]^\perp$, apply Step ① to $(K^*-I)^j \mathbb{X}^*$.

Let m be minimal s.t. $M_m = M_{m+1}$. Let n be minimal s.t. $N_n = N_{n+1}$.

Step ③: $M_m \cap \ker(K-1) = \{0\}$. Thus $(K-1)M_m = M_m$, and $m \leq n$.

If $x \in M_m \cap \ker(K-1)$, and let $y \in \mathbb{X}$ s.t. $x = (K-1)^n y$. Then
 $0 = (K-1)x = (K-1)^{n+1}y \Rightarrow y \in N_{n+1} = N_n \Rightarrow (K-1)^n y = 0 = x$.

Now if $z \in M_n \setminus (K-1)M_n$, since $K-1$ acts injectively on M_n ,

$(K-1)z \in (K-1)M_n \setminus (K-1)^2 M_n$. Iterating, we see

$(K-1)^j z \in (K-1)^j M_n \setminus (K-1)^{j+1} M_n$, which contradicts Step ①.

Step ④: $M_m \cap \ker(K-1) = \{0\}$. Thus $N_{m+1} = N_m$, and $n \leq m$, so $m = n$.

Let $x \in M_m \cap \ker(K-1)$. Since $(K-1)^n M_m = M_m$, $\exists y \in M_m$ s.t.

$x = (K-1)^n y$. Then $0 = (K-1)x = (K-1)^{n+1}y \Rightarrow y \in N_{n+1} = N_n$.

So $x = (K-1)^n y = 0$.

Now if $z \in N_{n+1}$, $(K-1)^{n+1}z = 0$, so $(K-1)^n z \in M_n \cap \ker(K-1) = \{0\}$, so $x \in N_m$.

(7)

Note: As we carry along to Step ④, we see that $(K-1)|_{M_n}$ is injective on M_n . Since $(K-1)M_n = M_n$, $(K-1)|_{M_n}$ is a cts bij $M_n \rightarrow M_n$, and thus inv. by OMT, proving ④.

Step ⑤: $\mathbb{X} = M_n \oplus N_n$

Pf: ~~$x \in M_n \cap N_n$~~ ~~$\Rightarrow x \in (K-1)^n$~~ Since $(K-1)|_{M_n} \in \mathcal{B}(M_n)$ is

invertible, so is $(K-1)^n|_{M_n}$. So if $x \in M_n \cap N_n$, then $(K-1)^n x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$.

Now for arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{X}$, look at $(K-1)^n x \in M_n$. Since $(K-1)^n|_{M_n}$ inv., $\exists y \in M_n$ s.t. $\underbrace{(K-1)^n y}_{\in M_n} = \underbrace{(K-1)^n x}_{\in M_n}$.

Then $x - y \in N_n$, and $x = y + \underbrace{(x-y)}_{\in N_n}$.

Cor: $K-1$ not inv. $\Leftrightarrow \ker(K-1) \neq \{0\}$
 $(\exists$ an eigenvector! $)$

Pf: $K-1$ not inv. $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{X} = M_n \cap N_n$ $K-1$ not bij. (OMT)
 $\Leftrightarrow N_n \neq \{0\}$ or $M_n \neq \mathbb{X}$
 $\Leftrightarrow N_n \neq \{0\}$ and $M_n \neq \mathbb{X}$.

Cor: $\dim(\ker(K-1)) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{X}}((K-1)\mathbb{X}) = \dim(\ker(K^*-1))$.

Pf: ① $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{X}}((K-1)\mathbb{X}) = \text{codim}_{N_n}((K-1)N_n)$
 $= \dim(\ker(K-1)|_{N_n})$ (Rank-Nullity Thm)
 $= \dim(\ker(K-1))$

② $\underbrace{[(K-1)\mathbb{X}]^\perp}_{\cong \mathbb{X}/(K-1)\mathbb{X}} = \ker(K^*-1)$ \Rightarrow result.

Note that results for $K-1$ also apply to $K-zI = z(\frac{1}{z}K-1)$ $\forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$!

Conclusion: $\forall z \neq 0$, \exists pair of complementary subspaces, K -invariant, M, N \Leftrightarrow

- s.t. (1) $\dim(N) < \infty$; $\text{codim}(M) < \infty$
(2) $(K-zI)|_M$ is invertible in $B(M)$
(3) $(K-zI)|_N$ is nilpotent.

Moreover, $\dim(\ker(K-zI)) = \text{codim}(\text{range}(K-zI))$ ($z \neq 0$).

Cor: The non-zero pts in $\text{sp}(K)$ are isolated eigenvalues.

↙ all eigenspaces
are finite-dimensional

There are only categorically many of them, and 0 is the only possible acc. pt.

Pf: Suppose $\lambda \in \text{sp}(K) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(K-\lambda I)|_M$ is invertible for $|z-\lambda|$ small and $\neq 0$, since $GL(M) \subseteq B(M)$ open. But since $(K-\lambda I)|_N$ is nilpotent, $(K-\lambda I)|_N$ is invertible for $|z-\lambda| > 0$. Thus $K-\lambda I$ is invertible when $0 < |\lambda-z| < \epsilon$ for some small ϵ .

Con (Fredholm Alternative):

(1) For $\lambda \neq 0$, the eqn $Kx - \lambda x = y$ has a ! sol'n $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

↔ The eqn $K^*y - \lambda y = t$ has a ! sol'n $y \in \mathbb{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{X}^*$

Pf: $\underline{\text{when } \lambda \neq 0}$
 $(K-1)\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X} \iff \ker(K-1) = \{0\} = [(K^*-1)\mathbb{X}^*]^\perp$
 $\iff (K^*-1)\mathbb{X}^* = \mathbb{X}$
 $\iff \ker(K^*-1) = \{0\}$.

$$\ker(K^*-1) = [(K-1)\mathbb{X}]^\perp$$

(2) $y \in (K-1)\mathbb{X} \iff \forall t \in \ker(K^*-1) = [(K-1)\mathbb{X}]^\perp, y(t) = 0$.

(3) $y \in (K^*-1)\mathbb{X}^* \iff \forall x \in \ker(K-1), y(x) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(K-1)^\perp &= (K^*-1)\mathbb{X} \\ &\quad \text{closed.} \end{aligned}$$

Fredholm ops Let X, Y be Banach spaces. ①

Def: $T \in B(X, Y)$ is a Fredholm op if $\dim \ker T < \infty$ and $\text{codim } T\bar{X} = \dim(Y/T\bar{X}) < \infty$. In this case,
 $\text{ind}(T) := \dim(\ker T) - \overset{\text{Fredholm}}{\underset{\text{index}}{\dim}}(T\bar{X})$, index of T

Examples:

- ① Invertible ops have index 0.
- ② Suppose $K \in B(X)$ cpt. Then $\forall \lambda \neq 0$, $K-\lambda I$ Fredholm, w/ index 0.
- ③ ± Shift S_{\pm} on ℓ^2 has index ±1 $(Sx)_n = x_{n \pm 1}$
- ④ X, Y f.d.ail, the Rank-Nullity Thm says: $\boxed{\dim(X) = \dim \ker T + \dim T\bar{X}}$.
⑤ $\dim X = \dim \ker T + \dim Y - \dim T\bar{X}$ $\Rightarrow \text{Index}(T) = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$.

Prop: Suppose $T \in B(X, Y)$ Fredholm. Then \forall closed subspace $Z \subseteq X$, $TZ \subseteq Y$ is closed. Z seems to only need $\text{codim } T\bar{Z} < \infty$. T needs closed \in s.t. $T\bar{Z} \oplus E = Y$ not nec. closed!

Pf: Claim 1: If $Z, F \subseteq X$ closed subspaces w/ F f.d.ail, $Z+F$ closed.

Pf: Consider $Q: X \rightarrow X/Z$. Then QF is f.d.ail, and thus closed in X/Z . Then $Z+F = Q^{-1}(QF)$, closed.

Let $X_0 = X/\ker T$, and pick $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$ s.t. $\{y_i + T\bar{X}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ is a basis for $Y/T\bar{X}$. Let $\bar{F} = \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq Y$.

Now consider $X_0 \oplus \bar{F}$ w/ norm $\|u+v\| := \|\pi(u)\|_X + \|v\|_{\bar{F}}$, ℓ^1 norm.

Defn $\tilde{T}: X_0 \oplus \bar{F} \rightarrow Y$ by $(x+\ker T)+y \mapsto Tx+y$.

Clearly \tilde{T} is well and bijective, hence invertible by OpT.

If $Z \subseteq X$ closed subspace, by claim 1, $Z+\ker T$ closed in X , so $(Z+\ker T)/\ker T$ closed in X_0 , and also in $X_0 \oplus \bar{F}$. Hence

$$\tilde{T}[(Z+\ker T)/\ker T] = TZ \text{ is closed in } Y.$$

Thm: For $T \in B(Y, X)$ TFAE:

(2)

(1) T Fredholm

(2) $\exists S \in B(Y, X)$ s.t. ST^{-1} ad TS^{-1} have finite rank.

(3) $\exists S \in B(Y, X)$ s.t. ST^{-1} ad TS^{-1} are cpt.

(4) $\exists S_1, S_2 \in B(Y, X)$ s.t. $S_1 T^{-1} = S_2 T^{-1}$

Pf: $\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \textcircled{2}$: Let T be Fredholm. Take an idempotent $P \in B(X)$ s.t.

$\ker T = \ker P$. Take an idempotent $Q \in B(Y)$ s.t. $TX = QY$.

Then $T|_{P\bar{X}} \in B(P\bar{X}, Q\bar{Y})$ is invertible. Let S_0 be inverse.

Define $S = S_0 Q \in B(Y, P\bar{X})$. Then $STx = S_0 QTx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } Tx = 0 \\ S_0 T x & \text{if } T x \neq 0 \end{cases}$.

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} QT P & QT(1-P) \\ (1-Q)T P & (1-Q)T(1-P) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cancel{T} & 0 \\ \cancel{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P\bar{X} \\ Q\bar{Y} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{P\bar{X} \\ Q\bar{Y}}} \begin{bmatrix} QY \\ (1-P)Y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} QY \\ (1-P)Y \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P\bar{X} \\ (1-P)Y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow ST = \begin{bmatrix} S_0 T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P, \quad \begin{matrix} 1-P \\ \text{I-}ST \text{ has} \\ \text{finite rank} \end{matrix}$$

$$TS = \begin{bmatrix} TS_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q, \quad 1-Q \text{ has finite rank.}$$

$\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \textcircled{3}$: trivial, $\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \textcircled{4}$: also trivial.

$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \textcircled{1}$: Suppose $S \in B(Y, X)$ s.t. $ST^{-1} = K_1$ cpt ad $TS^{-1} = K_2$ cpt.

Then $\ker T \subseteq \ker(1+K_1)$ is finite.

$(1+K_2)Y \subseteq TX \Rightarrow \text{codim}(TX) < \infty$.

Save pf,
better
statement.

Def: An S as in (3) above is a Fredholm inverse of T .

Observe: two Fredholm inverses diff by a cpt: If S_1, S_2 two F.I's,

$$S_1 - S_1 T = S_1 (TS_2 - K_2) = (ST)S_2 - S_1 K_2 = (1+K_1)S_2 - S_1 K_2 = S_2 + \underbrace{K_1 S_2 - S_1 K_2}_{\text{cpt.}}$$

Cor: If $\exists S_1, S_2 \in B(Y, X)$ s.t. $S_1 T^{-1}$ cpt and TS_2^{-1} cpt, then T Fredholm.

(Can build into Thm!)

Def: Let $K(\Sigma) = \text{closed 2-sided ideal of } \underline{\text{op-ops}} \text{ in } B(\Sigma)$. (3)

Quotient $B(\Sigma)/K(\Sigma)$ called the Calkin algebra on Σ .

Note: If A a Banach alg and $I \subseteq A$ a closed 2-sided ideal,

A/I is a Banach alg under quont norm w/ $(a+I)(b+I) := ab+I$.

Pf: must show $\| \cdot \|_{A/I}$ submult.

$$\begin{aligned} \|ab+I\| &= \inf_{i \in I} \|ab+i\| \leq \inf_{i,j \in I} \|ab+ai+jb+ji\| = \inf_{i \in I} \underbrace{\|(a+i)(b+j)\|}_{\|a+i\| \cdot \|b+j\|} \\ &\leq \inf_{i,j \in I} \|a+i\| \cdot \|b+j\| = \inf_{i \in I} \|a+i\| \cdot \inf_{j \in I} \|b+j\| = \|a+I\| \cdot \|b+I\|. \end{aligned}$$

Properties of Fredholm ops:

- ① $T \in B(\Sigma)$ Fredholm $\Leftrightarrow T+K(\Sigma)$ invertible in Calkin alg.
- ② $T \in B(\Sigma)$ Fredholm, $K \in K(\Sigma) \Rightarrow T+K$ Fredholm.
- ③ A Fred-inv. op. of a Fred. op. is Fred.
- ④ Product of 2 Fredholms is Fredholm.
- ⑤ Adjoint of Fredholm is Fredholm, $\text{ind}(T^*) = -\text{ind}(T)$.

Pf: $\text{ker } T^* = (T\Sigma)^\perp \cong (\gamma_{T\Sigma})^*, \text{ f. dim. } \dim \text{ker } T^* = \text{codim}(T\Sigma)$.
 ~~$\text{ker } T^* = (T^* \gamma_T)^*$~~ Note $T\Sigma$ closed $\Rightarrow T^* \gamma_T^*$ closed by Closed Range Thm.
Now: $\Sigma^*_{T^* \gamma_T^*} \cong (T^* \gamma_T)^* \cong [(T^* \gamma_T)^*]^\perp = (\text{ker } T)^*$.
Thus $\dim \Sigma^*_{T^* \gamma_T^*} = \text{codim } T^* \gamma_T^* = \dim (\text{ker } T)^* = \dim \text{ker } T$.

Multiplicator Thm: If $T \in B(\Sigma, Y)$, $S \in B(Y, Z)$ Fredholm,
then $\text{ind}(S \circ T) = \text{ind}(S) + \text{ind}(T)$.

Proof:

Case 1: Σ, Y, Z f. dim. $\text{ind}(S) = \dim Y - \dim Z$, $\text{ind}(T) = \dim X - \dim Y$ ✓

Case 2: Arbitary Σ, Y, Z . Find complementary subspaces Σ_0, Σ_1 of Σ ,
 Y_0, Y_1 of Y , Z_0, Z_1 of Z s.t.

- ~~(1) $T_{\infty} \leq \infty$, $S_{\infty} \leq \infty$~~
~~(2) $T_{\infty} \leq \infty$, $S_{\infty} = \infty$~~
~~(3) $T_{\infty} = \infty$, $S_{\infty} = \infty$~~

all Banach spaces
 \downarrow
 ↓
 dual as ST Fredholm

Claim 1. $0 \rightarrow \ker T \xrightarrow{T} \ker ST \xrightarrow{S} \ker S \xrightarrow{S} \mathbb{Z}_{SY} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{SY} \rightarrow 0$

$x \mapsto Tx$
 $y \mapsto y + Tx$
 $z \mapsto z + SY$

\Rightarrow Exact. $x \mapsto x$

Claim 2: If $0 \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow V_n \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then

$$\sum_j (-1)^j \dim V_j = 0.$$

Pf of Mult. Thm: Apply Claim 2 to the exact seq. in Claim 1.

$$\text{ind}(T) = \dim \ker T - \dim \text{coker } T \quad \text{ind}(S) = \dim \ker S - \dim \text{coker } SY.$$

$$0 = \dim \ker T - \underbrace{\dim \ker ST}_{\text{ind}(ST)} + \dim \ker S - \underbrace{(\dim T \oplus \dim ST)}_{\text{ind}(T+ST)} - \dim SY$$

$$0 = \text{ind}(T) - \text{ind}(ST) + \text{ind}(S)$$

Crit: If $T \in B(X, Y)$ Fredholm has a Fredholm inverse S , then $\text{ind}(S) = -\text{ind}(T)$.

Stability Thm: If $T \in B(X, Y)$ Fredholm, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\forall U \in B(X, Y)$

if $\|U\| < \varepsilon$, $T+U$ is Fredholm w/ $\text{ind}(T+U) = \text{ind}(T)$.

Pf: Let S be ~~an~~ Fredholm inv. of T , i.e. $\varepsilon = \|S\|^{-1}$. Then \exists K_1, K_2 s.t. $ST = I + K_1$ and $TS = I + K_2$. If $\|U\| < \varepsilon$, $S(T+U) = I + K_1 + SK_2U$, and $\|SK_2U\| \leq \|S\|\cdot\|U\| < 1 \Rightarrow I + SK_2U$ is invertible. Thus:

$$(1) \quad (I + SK_2U)^{-1} S(T+U) = I + \underbrace{(I + SK_2U)^{-1} K_1}_{\text{CPT}} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow T+U \\ (T+U) S(I + SK_2U)^{-1} = I + K_2 \underbrace{(I + SK_2U)^{-1}}_{\text{CPT}}$$

also invertible

By Mult-thm and (1), $\underbrace{\text{ind}(I + SK_2U)^{-1}}_0 + \underbrace{\text{ind}(S)}_{-\text{ind}(T)} + \text{ind}(T+U) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{result}$

Cor: If $T \in B(\Sigma, \mathbb{X})$ Fredholm, $K \in B(\Sigma, \mathbb{X})$ cpt, then (5)

[$T+K$ Fredholm and] $\text{ind}(T+K) = \text{ind}(T)$.

Pf: By the Stability Thm, the fact $\{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by
 $t \mapsto \text{ind}(T+tK)$ is cts, and thus constant.

Def: $T \in B(\Sigma, \mathbb{X})$ is left (resp. right) Fredholm if $\exists S \in B(\Sigma, \mathbb{Y})$
s.t. $ST = I + K$ for some cpt $K \in B(\Sigma)$.
(resp. $TS = I + K$ for some cpt $K \in B(\Sigma)$)

Prop: (1) T left Fredholm $\Leftrightarrow T\Sigma$ closed and complemented and
 $\ker(T)$ is f. dim'l.
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists S$ cpt s.t. $ST = I + K$ for a fin. rk K .
(2) T right Fredholm $\Leftrightarrow \ker T$ complemented and closed $T\Sigma$ too.
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists S$ s.t. $TS = I + K$ for a fin. rk K .

Pf: Can be extracted from pf. of Atkinson's Thm.

(1) \Rightarrow : If $\exists S$ cpt s.t. $ST - I = K$ cpt, $\ker T \subseteq \ker(I + K)$ f. dim'l.

Now ~~closed~~ write $ST = I + K$, cpt. we have a pair of complementary $E, F \subseteq \Sigma$ s.t.

- $\Sigma = E \oplus F$

- $I + K$ nu. on F

- $I + K$ nilpotent on E , f. dim'l.

Now F closed $ST = I + K$ nu. \Rightarrow ~~nilp below~~, i.e. $\exists C > 0$ s.t.

$$\text{dist}(I + K) \geq \|ST\| \geq C \|T\| \geq \frac{C}{\|S\|} \|K\|_F,$$

so $T|_F$ is ~~nu.~~ \Rightarrow $T|_F$ is closed. But $T\Sigma = TE + TF$, closed.
and complement

~~Cpt's is L-nu.~~

Claim: If $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ closed + complemented and $F \subseteq \Sigma$ f. dim'l, $\Sigma + F$ closed + complemented.

Pf: Let P be an idempotent s.t. $\mathbb{Z} = P\Sigma$. Then $(1-P)F \subseteq (1-P)\Sigma$ is f. dim'l, and thus complemented. Let $Q \in B((1-P)\Sigma)$ s.t. $Q(1-P)\Sigma = (1-P)F$. Extend Q to Σ by $Q=0$ on $P\Sigma = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[P, Q] = 0$, since $QP = QP = 0$.

Define idempotent $R = P + Q(1-P)$. Then $R\Sigma = P\Sigma + (1-P)F = \Sigma + F$.

\Leftarrow Let $P \in B(\Sigma)$ be idempotent s.t. $\ker T = \text{ker } P$, and let $Q \in B(Y)$ be idempotent s.t. $QY = T\Sigma$. Then $T|_{P\Sigma}$ is invertible, we choose S_0 . Define $S = S_0 Q$ (6)

$$T \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} P\Sigma \\ \ker T \\ (1-P)\Sigma \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} QY = T\Sigma \\ (1-Q)Y \\ (1-P)\Sigma \end{bmatrix}$$

$$S \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} S_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} QY \\ (1-Q)Y \\ (1-P)\Sigma \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} P\Sigma \\ (1-P)\Sigma \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $TS = \begin{bmatrix} S_0T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P \in B(\Sigma)$, and $1-P = I-ST$ has finite rank. (onto $\ker T$)

\Rightarrow Suppose $TS = I+K$, K cpt. Then $(I+K)Y \subseteq T\Sigma$, so $T\Sigma$ has fin. codim, and is complemented by the compl. of K . Decompose $Y = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus F$ s.t.

- $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, F are K -inv.

$(I+K)|_E$ nilp. and $(I+K)|_F$ inv.

- $T\Sigma = E_2 \oplus F$ (note that $TS|_F$ inv. $\Rightarrow F \subseteq T\Sigma$)

Now let $N = T^{-1}E_2$ and $M = T^{-1}F$, closed complementary subspaces for $\Sigma = N \oplus M$. Note $\ker T = \ker(T|_N) \oplus \ker(T|_M)$ so it suffices to show both are complemented. First,

$T|_F$ has R-inv. $S(TS|_F)^{-1}$, so $\ker(T|_F)$ complemented.

Next, $T|_N : N \rightarrow E_2$, which is f. diml, so fbd right inv. $E_2 \rightarrow N$ for $T|_N$, and $\ker(T|_N)$ complementable.

\Leftarrow use idempotents to construct an S s.t. $TS = I-P$, P fore inv.