

# Algebras, module categories, and planar algebras

Let  $(A, \mu, i)$  be an algebra object in a tensor category<sup>1</sup>  $\mathcal{C}$ . By an argument similar to [KO02, Fig. 4], we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Mod}}(A \rightarrow A) & & \\ & \nearrow \cong & & \searrow \cong & \\ \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Mod-}A}(A \otimes A \rightarrow A) & & & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(1 \rightarrow A) \\ & \searrow \cong & & \nearrow \cong & \\ & & \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod-}A}(A \rightarrow A) & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

We call  $(A, \mu, i)$ :

- *connected* if the morphism spaces in (1) above are one dimensional.
- *separable* if  $\mu$  splits as an  $A - A$  bimodule map, i.e., there is an  $A - A$  bimodule map  $s : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  such that  $\mu \circ s = \text{id}_A$ . In this case, by [HPT16, Props. 2.5 and 2.6]<sup>2</sup> and [Ost03, Prop. 3.1.i],  $\text{Mod} - A$ ,  $A - \text{Mod}$ , and  $A - \text{Mod} - A$  are all semisimple categories.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $(A, \mu, i)$  is a connected separable algebra object in a tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$ . There are unique morphisms  $\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Mod-}A}(A \rightarrow A \otimes A)$  and  $\epsilon \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A \rightarrow 1)$  for which  $(A, \mu, i, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is a normalized<sup>3</sup> special<sup>4</sup> Frobenius algebra.*

*Proof.* Up to scalar,  $\epsilon$  must be the unique left inverse of  $i \in \mathcal{C}(1 \rightarrow A)$ , which exists by semisimplicity of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The pairing  $\epsilon \circ m$  is non-degenerate by [Ost03, Prop. 3.1.ii]. There is a unique comultiplication  $\Delta$  making  $(A, \mu, i, \Delta, \epsilon)$  a Frobenius algebra by [FRS02, Lem. 3.7], [FS08, Prop. 8]. Finally,  $A$  is automatically special (see [GS16, Thm. 2.6]). Indeed, since  $A$  is separable, there is a splitting  $s \in \text{Hom}_{A\text{-Mod-}A}(A \rightarrow A \otimes A) \cong \mathbb{C}$  as in (1). Hence  $\Delta = \lambda s$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  as  $\Delta \neq 0$ . Thus  $\mu \circ \Delta = \lambda(\mu \circ s) = \lambda \text{id}_A$ . Finally, normalize  $(A, \mu, i, \Delta, \epsilon)$  by picking an appropriate scaling of  $\epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** When  $\mathcal{C}$  is pivotal and  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \neq 0$ ,<sup>5</sup>  $(A, \mu, i, \Delta, \epsilon)$  is automatically *symmetric*<sup>6</sup> by [FRS02, Cor. 3.10] (see also [Sch13, Lem. 2.9] and the footnote therein). In this case, by [Sch13, Main Thm. or §4.1], up to scaling, there is a unique way to endow the indecomposable semisimple  $\mathcal{C}$ -module category  $\mathcal{M} := \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  with a pivotal trace.<sup>7</sup>

**Question 3.** *If  $(A, \mu, i)$  is a connected separable algebra object in a unitary tensor category<sup>8</sup>  $\mathcal{C}$ , is  $A$  an irreducible  $Q$ -system<sup>9</sup>? This question has the following two equivalent formulations:*

<sup>1</sup>Following [EGNO15], a *tensor category* is a semisimple rigid monoidal category with simple unit object.

<sup>2</sup>Use [DMNO13, Prop. 2.7] when  $\mathcal{C}$  is fusion.

<sup>3</sup>There are 3 equivalent definitions of normalized: (1)  $\epsilon \circ i = \text{id}_1$ ; (2)  $m \circ \Delta = \text{id}_A$ ; and (3)  $\epsilon \circ i = \lambda \text{id}_1$  and  $\mu \circ \Delta = \lambda \text{id}_A$ . We use (3) as in [Müg03, Def. 3.13 and Prop. 5.8] and [BKLR15, Def. 3.2 and 3.8].

<sup>4</sup>A Frobenius algebra is called *special* if  $\mu \circ \Delta$  is a non-zero scalar multiple of  $\text{id}_A$  [FRS02, Def. 3.4.i].

<sup>5</sup>The condition  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \neq 0$  is automatic when  $\mathcal{C}$  is a spherical fusion category or a unitary tensor category; see Footnote 8 for the definition of unitary tensor category.

<sup>6</sup>A Frobenius algebra  $(A, \mu, i, \Delta, \epsilon)$  in a pivotal category is called *symmetric* if  $[(\epsilon \circ \mu) \otimes \text{id}_{A^\vee}] \circ (\text{id}_{A \otimes A} \otimes \text{coev}_A) = [\text{id}_{A^\vee} \otimes (\epsilon \circ \mu)] \circ (\varphi_A \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ (\text{coev}_{A^\vee} \otimes \text{id}_A)$  where  $\varphi_A \in \mathcal{C}(A \rightarrow A^{\vee\vee})$  is the pivotal structure [Sch13, Def. 2.7].

<sup>7</sup>See [Sch13] for the definition of a pivotal trace for a  $\mathcal{C}$ -module category. In the unitary setting, we call such a trace *unitary* if it gives us a positive definite inner product in the usual GNS way.

<sup>8</sup>A *unitary tensor category* is a rigid  $\mathbb{C}^*$  tensor category which is Karoubi complete with simple unit object. A unitary tensor category has a canonical spherical structure by [Yam04, Thm. 4.7] and [BDH14, §4].

<sup>9</sup>A  $\mathbb{C}^*$  *Frobenius algebra* in a unitary tensor category is an algebra object  $(A, \mu, i)$  such that  $(A, \mu, i, \mu^*, i^*)$  is a Frobenius algebra. We call a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  Frobenius algebra *standard* or a *Q-system* if  $i^* \circ \mu$  and  $\mu^* \circ i$  are standard solutions to the conjugate equations. In this case,  $\mu \circ \mu^* = \sqrt{\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(A)} \text{id}_A$ ,  $i^* \circ i = \sqrt{\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(A)} \text{id}_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}$ , and  $i^* \circ \mu \circ \mu^* \circ i = \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ . A  $Q$ -system is called *irreducible* if the underlying algebra object is connected.

- Is  $\Delta$  from Lemma 1 proportional to  $\mu^*$ ?
- Is  $\mu^*$  automatically an  $A - A$  bimodule map?

This question motivates the following definition:

**Definition 4.** An algebra object  $(A, \mu, i)$  in a unitary tensor category is called *unitarily separable*<sup>10</sup> if  $\mu \circ \mu^* = \lambda \text{id}_A$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . We further say  $(A, \mu, i)$  is *normalized* if  $\mu \circ \mu^* = \sqrt{d_A} \text{id}_A$ .

**Corollary 5.** A connected normalized unitarily separable algebra  $(A, \mu, i)$  in a unitary tensor category is an irreducible  $Q$ -system.

*Proof.* That  $A$  is a  $C^*$  Frobenius algebra from [BKLR15, Lem. 3.7]. That  $A$  is standard follows from [Müg03, Rem. 5.6.3].  $\square$

Now assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a pseudounitary fusion category or a **unitary tensor category**.<sup>11</sup>

**Theorem 6.** There is a canonical bijection<sup>12</sup> between equivalence classes of:

- (1) 2-shaded **subfactor** planar algebras with  $\dim(\mathcal{P}_{1,\pm}) = 1$  and principal even part equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (2) indecomposable  $2 \times 2$  spherical multifusion / **unitary multitensor**<sup>13</sup> categories  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $1_{\mathcal{D}} \cong 1_1 \oplus 1_2$  and  $\mathcal{C} \cong 1_1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes 1_1$ , with chosen simple  $m \in 1_1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes 1_2$ .
- (3) pointed<sup>14</sup> indecomposable  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $C^*$  categories  $(\mathcal{M}, m)$  with normalized **unitary** pivotal trace.
- (4) pointed indecomposable  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $C^*$  categories  $(\mathcal{M}, m)$ .
- (5) connected **normalized unitarily** separable algebra objects  $(A, \mu, i) \in \mathcal{C}$  which generate<sup>15</sup>  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (6) connected normalized separable symmetric Frobenius algebra objects  $(A, \mu, i, \Delta, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{C}$  / **irreducible  $Q$ -systems**  $(A, \mu, i) \in \mathcal{C}$  which generate  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Sketch of the proof.*

- (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) : This is a rewording of the main result of [Gho11].
- (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) : Take  $\mathcal{M} = 1_1 \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes 1_2$  and basepoint  $m$ .
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) : Forget the trace.
- (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) : Take  $A := \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{C}}(m)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M} \cong \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  as  $\mathcal{C}$ -module categories [EGNO15, Thm. 7.10.1]. Then  $A$  is connected by [EGNO15, Lem. 7.8.12] and separable by [DMNO13, Prop. 2.7]. In the unitary setting,  $A$  can be endowed with the structure of an irreducible  $Q$ -system such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is dagger equivalent to the  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $C^*$  category  $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  by [NY17, Thm. A.1].
- (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6) : This is Lemma 1. In the unitary setting, this is Corollary 5.

<sup>10</sup>For a  $C^*$  Frobenius algebra, this property is called being *special* as in Footnote 4; we reserve this terminology for Frobenius algebras.

<sup>11</sup>We use this hypothesis to ensure that  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \neq 0$ . This is not always true in a spherical semisimple tensor category; there is a counter-example in the free product of the rank 2 Fibonacci and Yang-Lee categories.

<sup>12</sup>One can probably extend this to an equivalence of categories.

<sup>13</sup>Here, *multitensor* means semisimple rigid monoidal, but not necessarily simple unit object. We say  $2 \times 2$  to indicate that  $1_{\mathcal{D}}$  decomposes into two distinct simples:  $1_{\mathcal{D}} \cong 1_1 \oplus 1_2$ .

<sup>14</sup>A *basepoint* for a semisimple  $\mathcal{C}$ -module category  $\mathcal{M}$  is a distinguished object  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ . A *pointed*  $\mathcal{C}$ -module category is a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, m)$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semisimple  $\mathcal{C}$ -module category and  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  is a simple basepoint.

<sup>15</sup>We say  $A$  *generates*  $\mathcal{C}$  if every object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to a an object obtained from  $A$  via direct sums, tensor products, subobjects, and duals.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): This is an adaptation of [Müg03, Thm. 5.12].<sup>16</sup> For irreducible Q-systems, we use [Müg03, Prop. 5.5] and [Müg03, Thm. 5.16].<sup>17</sup>  $\square$

**Remarks 7.** Here are some further equivalences:

- The non-unitary proof of (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) follows from [Sch13, Main Thm.].
- There is a notion of C\*-algebra object in the ind-category of a rigid C\*-tensor category from [JP17a]. The main result of [JP17b] gives an equivalence of categories between irreducible Q-systems in  $\mathcal{C}$  from (6) in Theorem 6 and connected C\*-algebra objects in  $\mathcal{C}^\natural$ , the fusion category obtained from  $\mathcal{C}$  by forgetting the dagger structure.

## References

- [BDH14] Arthur Bartels, Christopher L. Douglas, and André Henriques, *Dualizability and index of subfactors*, Quantum Topol. **5** (2014), no. 3, 289–345, [MR3342166](#) [DOI:10.4171/QT/53](#) [arXiv:1110.5671](#).
- [BKLR15] Marcel Bischoff, Yasuyuki Kawahigashi, Roberto Longo, and Karl-Henning Rehren, *Tensor categories and endomorphisms of von Neumann algebras—with applications to quantum field theory*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics, vol. 3, Springer, Cham, 2015, [MR3308880](#) [DOI:10.1007/978-3-319-14301-9](#).
- [DMNO13] Alexei Davydov, Michael Müger, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik, *The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **677** (2013), 135–177, [MR3039775](#) [arXiv:1009.2117](#).
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015, [MR3242743](#) [DOI:10.1090/surv/205](#).
- [FRS02] Jürgen Fuchs, Ingo Runkel, and Christoph Schweigert, *TFT construction of RCFT correlators. I. Partition functions*, Nuclear Phys. B **646** (2002), no. 3, 353–497, [MR1940282](#) [DOI:10.1016/S0550-3213\(02\)00744-7](#) [arXiv:hep-th/0204148](#).
- [FS08] Jürgen Fuchs and Carl Stigner, *On Frobenius algebras in rigid monoidal categories*, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C Theme Issues **33** (2008), no. 2, 175–191, [MR2500035](#) [arXiv:0901.4886](#).
- [Gho11] Shamindra Kumar Ghosh, *Planar algebras: a category theoretic point of view*, J. Algebra **339** (2011), 27–54, [MR2811311](#), [arXiv:0810.4186](#), [DOI:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.04.017](#).
- [GS16] Pinhas Grossman and Noah Snyder, *The Brauer-Picard group of the Asaeda-Haagerup fusion categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 4, 2289–2331, [MR3449240](#) [DOI:10.1090/tran/6364](#) [arXiv:1202.4396](#).
- [HPT16] André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener, *Categorified trace for module tensor categories over braided tensor categories*, Doc. Math. **21** (2016), 1089–1149, [MR3578212](#) [arXiv:1509.02937](#).
- [JP17a] Corey Jones and David Penneys, *Operator algebras in rigid C\*-tensor categories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **355** (2017), no. 3, 1121–1188, [MR3687214](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-017-2964-0](#) [arXiv:1611.04620](#).
- [JP17b] Corey Jones and David Penneys, *Q-systems and compact W\*-algebra objects*, 2017, [arXiv:1707.02155](#).
- [KO02] Alexander Kirillov, Jr. and Viktor Ostrik, *On a q-analogue of the McKay correspondence and the ADE classification of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  conformal field theories*, Adv. Math. **171** (2002), no. 2, 183–227, [MR1936496](#) [arXiv:math.QA/0101219](#) [DOI:10.1006/aima.2002.2072](#).
- [Müg00] Michael Müger, *Galois theory for braided tensor categories and the modular closure*, Adv. Math. **150** (2000), no. 2, 151–201, [MR1749250](#) [DOI:10.1006/aima.1999.1860](#) [arXiv:math.CT/9812040](#).
- [Müg03] ———, *From subfactors to categories and topology. I. Frobenius algebras in and Morita equivalence of tensor categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **180** (2003), no. 1-2, 81–157, [MR1966524](#) [DOI:10.1016/S0022-4049\(02\)00247-5](#) [arXiv:math.CT/0111204](#).
- [NY17] Sergey Neshveyev and Makoto Yamashita, *Categorically Morita equivalent compact quantum groups*, 2017, [arXiv:1704.04729](#).

<sup>16</sup>Strictly spherical is not necessary since we have the symmetric hypothesis.

<sup>17</sup>Müger’s positive \*-categories are C\* by [Müg00].

- [Ost03] Victor Ostrik, *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*, Transform. Groups **8** (2003), no. 2, 177–206, [MR1976459](#) [arXiv:math/0111139](#).
- [Sch13] Gregor Schaumann, *Traces on module categories over fusion categories*, J. Algebra **379** (2013), 382–425, [MR3019263](#) [DOI:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.01.013](#) [arXiv:1206.5716](#).
- [Yam04] Shigeru Yamagami, *Frobenius duality in  $C^*$ -tensor categories*, J. Operator Theory **52** (2004), no. 1, 3–20, [MR2091457](#).