## Weeds and classification

David Penneys, Mathematics

STEAM Exchange

4/20/2017

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

## A controversial quote

"All science is either physics or stamp collecting."



#### Ernest Rutherford, 1871-1937

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

## A controversial quote

"All science is either physics or stamp collecting."



#### Ernest Rutherford, 1871-1937

Taxonomy/classification is an important theme in science.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### What does a mathematician do?

Mathematicians study underlying patterns and symmetry.



#### What does a mathematician do?

Mathematicians study underlying patterns and symmetry.

Hopefully, our work helps scientists solve interesting problems.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Hopefully, our work helps scientists solve interesting problems.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Mathematicians collect "stamps."

Hopefully, our work helps scientists solve interesting problems.

Mathematicians collect "stamps."

Often, we'll focus on a certain favorite mathematical object.

► Hopefully, our work helps scientists solve interesting problems.

Mathematicians collect "stamps."

Often, we'll focus on a certain favorite mathematical object.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Concrete examples are important to guide understanding!

► Hopefully, our work helps scientists solve interesting problems.

Mathematicians collect "stamps."

Often, we'll focus on a certain favorite mathematical object.

- Concrete examples are important to guide understanding!
- Interesting examples may arise through *classification*.

Suppose we want to find all the flowers.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Suppose we want to find all the flowers.

Most flowers have petals.

Suppose we want to find all the flowers.

- Most flowers have petals.
- Let's look for plants with "petals."

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Suppose we want to find all the flowers.

- Most flowers have petals.
- Let's look for plants with "petals."



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

-

Suppose we want to find all the flowers.

- Most flowers have petals.
- Let's look for plants with "petals."



Not all these are flowers. We have some weeds to pluck!

- 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本

Suppose we want to find all the flowers.

- Most flowers have petals.
- Let's look for plants with "petals."



Not all these are flowers. We have some weeds to pluck!

#### Math fun fact

The number of petals on a flower is typically a Fibonacci number! 1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34...

#### What is classification?

Typically, a mathematician studies a category of objects.

#### What is classification?

Typically, a mathematician studies a category of objects.

Classification distinguishes objects via computable invariants.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

## What is classification?

Typically, a mathematician studies a category of objects.

Classification distinguishes objects via computable invariants.

Example: shapes

Shapes	number of sides
triangle	3
quadrilateral	4
÷	÷
heptadecagon	17
÷	÷

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Find all the objects!



[Hyperbole and a half]

Find *all* the objects?



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Squares have 4 sides.

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

- Squares have 4 sides.
- Are all shapes with 4 sides squares?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

- Squares have 4 sides.
- Are all shapes with 4 sides squares?
- ▶ No! There are tons of 4-sided shapes which are not squares:

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

- Squares have 4 sides.
- Are all shapes with 4 sides squares?
- No! There are tons of 4-sided shapes which are not squares: rectangles, parallelograms, trapezoids, Star Trek insignia...

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

- Squares have 4 sides.
- Are all shapes with 4 sides squares?
- No! There are tons of 4-sided shapes which are not squares: rectangles, parallelograms, trapezoids, Star Trek insignia...

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-

Technique

Look at all objects with the same invariant.

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

- Squares have 4 sides.
- Are all shapes with 4 sides squares?
- No! There are tons of 4-sided shapes which are not squares: rectangles, parallelograms, trapezoids, Star Trek insignia...

#### Technique

- Look at all objects with the same invariant.
- We'll get everything we want, but we may get extra stuff we don't want.

Suppose we want to find all the squares.

- Squares have 4 sides.
- Are all shapes with 4 sides squares?
- No! There are tons of 4-sided shapes which are not squares: rectangles, parallelograms, trapezoids, Star Trek insignia...

#### Technique

- Look at all objects with the same invariant.
- We'll get everything we want, but we may get extra stuff we don't want.

▶ We remove these weeds by hand from our classification.

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A typical day:

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

A typical day:

Skype meetings with collaborators (in US, UK, Australia, ...)

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

A typical day:

Skype meetings with collaborators (in US, UK, Australia, ...)

▶ Work on shared manuscripts (version control, Dropbox, ...)

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

A typical day:

Skype meetings with collaborators (in US, UK, Australia, ...)

- ▶ Work on shared manuscripts (version control, Dropbox, ...)
- Research group seminars

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

A typical day:

Skype meetings with collaborators (in US, UK, Australia, ...)

- ▶ Work on shared manuscripts (version control, Dropbox, ...)
- Research group seminars
- Meet with or teach (under)graduate students

I study *fusion categories*, which are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules* which tells us how they merge and split.

A typical day:

Skype meetings with collaborators (in US, UK, Australia, ...)

- ▶ Work on shared manuscripts (version control, Dropbox, ...)
- Research group seminars
- Meet with or teach (under)graduate students
- Tea and cookies!

*Fusion categories* are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules*.

*Fusion categories* are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules*.



*Fusion categories* are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules*.

*Fusion categories* are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules*.



*Fusion categories* are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules*.



*Fusion categories* are mathematical models of systems of *elementary particles* together with *fusion rules*.



While it looks like any fusion rule works, there are many difficult constraints they must satisfy.

It turns out that fusion categories with 2 types of elementary particles are completely classified [Ostrik 2003].

It turns out that fusion categories with 2 types of elementary particles are completely classified [Ostrik 2003].

Possible fusion rules for 2 particle systems:



It turns out that fusion categories with 2 types of elementary particles are completely classified [Ostrik 2003].

Possible fusion rules for 2 particle systems: Indexed by whole numbers  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ :  $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$  $\bullet$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\bullet$  $\bullet$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\bullet$ 

• Only the cases n = 0 or 1 are genuine fusion rules.

It turns out that fusion categories with 2 types of elementary particles are completely classified [Ostrik 2003].

Possible fusion rules for 2 particle systems: Indexed by whole numbers  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ :  $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$  $\bullet$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\bullet$  $\bullet$   $\circ$   $\circ$   $\bullet$ 

• Only the cases n = 0 or 1 are genuine fusion rules.

• The cases  $n \ge 2$  are *weeds* which must be removed by hand.

The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:

Particles  $\{\circ, \bullet\}$ , fusion rule  $\bigcirc \circ \bullet$  $\bullet \circ + \bullet$ 

The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:



Let's see what happens when we keep smashing •'s together:

The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:



Let's see what happens when we keep smashing •'s together:



The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:



Let's see what happens when we keep smashing •'s together:

$$\bullet \otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet = \bullet \otimes (\bullet \otimes \bullet)$$
 (associate)  
$$= \bullet \otimes (\circ + \bullet)$$
 ( $\bullet \otimes \bullet = \circ + \bullet$ )  
$$= (\bullet \otimes \circ) + (\bullet \otimes \bullet)$$
 (distribute)  
$$= \bullet + (\circ + \bullet)$$
  
$$= \circ + 2 \bullet$$

The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:

Particles  $\{\circ, \bullet\}$ , fusion rule  $\bigcirc \circ \circ \bullet$  $\bullet \circ \circ \bullet$  $\bullet \circ \circ \bullet$ 

Let's see what happens when we keep smashing •'s together:

• 
$$\otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet = \bullet \otimes (\bullet \otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet)$$
 (associate)  
=  $\bullet \otimes (\circ + 2 \bullet)$  ( $\bullet \otimes \bullet \otimes \bullet = \circ + 2 \bullet$ )  
=  $(\bullet \otimes \circ) + 2(\bullet \otimes \bullet)$  (distribute)  
=  $\bullet + 2(\circ + \bullet)$   
=  $2 \circ + 3 \bullet$ 

The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:



Let's see what happens when we keep smashing •'s together:

#•	result
1	$0 \circ + 1 \bullet$
2	$1 \circ + 1 \bullet$
3	$1 \circ + 2 \bullet$
4	$2 \circ + 3 \bullet$
5	$3 \circ + 5 \bullet$
6	$5 \circ + 8 \bullet$
7	$8 \circ + 13 \bullet$
÷	:

The golden fusion category has 2 elementary particles and n = 1:



Let's see what happens when we keep smashing •'s together:

#•	result
1	$0 \circ + 1 \bullet$
2	$1 \circ + 1 \bullet$
3	$1 \circ + 2 \bullet$
4	$2 \circ + 3 \bullet$
5	$3 \circ + 5 \bullet$
6	$5 \circ + 8 \bullet$
7	$8 \circ + 13 \bullet$
÷	:

The coefficients are the Fibonacci numbers!

Thank you for listening!

Slides available at:

https: //people.math.osu.edu/penneys.2/PenneysSTEAM2017.pdf

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?