Classifying small index subfactors UCLA Workshop on von Neumann algebras and ergodic theory

David Penneys UCLA

September 25, 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Where do subfactors come from?

Some examples include:

- Groups from $G \curvearrowright R$, we get $R^G \subset R$ and $R \subset R \rtimes_{\alpha} G$.
- finite dimensional unitary Hopf/Kac algebras
- Quantum groups $\operatorname{Rep}(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}))$
- Conformal field theory
- endomorphisms of Cuntz C*-algebras
- composites of known subfactors

However, there are certain possible infinite families without uniform constructions.

Remark

Just as von Neumann algebras come in pairs (M,M'), subfactors come in pairs $(A\subset B,B'\subset A').$

Index for subfactors

Theorem (Jones [Jon83]) For a II₁-subfactor $A \subset B$,

$$[B\colon A] \in \left\{ 4\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \middle| n = 3, 4, \dots \right\} \cup [4, \infty].$$

Moreover, there exists a subfactor at each index.

Definition

The Jones tower of $A = A_0 \subset A_1 = B$ (finite index) is given by

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \stackrel{e_1}{\subset} A_2 \stackrel{e_2}{\subset} A_3 \stackrel{e_3}{\subset} \cdots$$

where e_i is the projection in $B(L^2(A_i))$ with range $L^2(A_{i-1})$.

The standard invariant: two towers of centralizer algebras

: :

These centralizer algebras are finite dimensional [Jon83], and they form a planar algebra [Jon99].

Popa axiomatized the standard invariant of a subfactor, and showed how to reconstruct a subfactor from an abstract standard invariant.

Theorem (Popa [Pop94])

Every (strongly) amenable standard invariant is realized by a unique subfactor of R up to conjugacy.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Principal graphs

The complex *-algebras $P_{n,\pm}$ are all finite dimensional. The tower

$$P_{0,+} \subset P_{1,+} \subset P_{2,+} \subset \cdots$$

is described by its Bratteli diagram (and the trace).



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Principal graphs

The complex *-algebras $P_{n,\pm}$ are all finite dimensional. The tower

$$P_{0,+} \subset P_{1,+} \subset P_{2,+} \subset \cdots$$

is described by its Bratteli diagram (and the trace).



- The non-reflected part is the principal graph Γ_+ .
- Get the dual principal graph Γ_− by looking at the Bratteli diagram for the tower (P_{n,−}).

Examples of principal graphs

- index < 4: A_n, D_{2n}, E_6, E_8 . No D_{odd} or E_7 .
- ▶ index = 4: $A_{2n-1}^{(1)}, D_{n+2}^{(1)}, E_6^{(1)}, E_7^{(1)}, E_8^{(1)}, A_\infty, A_\infty^{(1)}, D_\infty$
- Graphs for $R \subset R \rtimes G$ obtained from G and Rep(G).





- First graph is principal, second is dual principal.
- Leftmost vertex corresponds to $P_{0,\pm} \cong \mathbb{C}$ (factoriality).
- Red tags for duality of even vertices $(x \mapsto Jx^*J)$.
- Duality of odd vertices by depth and height

$\mathsf{Rep}(A \subset B)$

Definition

The representation 2-category of $A \subset B$ is given by

- 0-morphisms: $\{A, B\}$
- ▶ 1-morphisms: bimodule summands of $L^2(A_k)$ for some $k \ge 0$
- ▶ 2-morphisms: intertwiners (elements of $A'_0 \cap A_k$ and $A'_1 \cap A_{k+1}$ for $k \ge 0$)

This 2-category is semi-simple, unitary, rigid (duals are well behaved), pivotal, sometimes spherical (iff $A \subset B$ extremal).

Theorem (Popa [Pop94])

There is a Tannaka-Krein like duality between (strongly) amenable subfactors and their representation 2-categories.

Principal graphs revisited

Let $X =_A L^2(B)_B$.

Definition

The principal graph Γ_+ has one vertex for each isomorphism class of simple $_{A}P_{A}$ and $_{A}Q_{B}$. There are

$$\dim(\operatorname{Hom}_{A-B}(P\otimes X,Q))$$

edges from P to Q.

The dual principal graph Γ_{-} is defined similarly using B - B and B-A bimodules.

- \blacktriangleright Γ_+ is pointed, where the base point is ${}_{A}L^2(A)_{A}$, ${}_{B}L^2(B)_{B}$ respectively.
- Duality is given by contragredient, which is always at the same depth, although duals at odd depths of Γ_+ are on Γ_{\pm} .

Fact

The dual graph of $A_0 \subset A_1$ is the principal graph of $A_1 \subset A_2$.

Finite depth

Definition

If the principal graph is finite, then the subfactor and standard invariant are called finite depth.

Example: $R \subset R \rtimes G$ for finite G For $G = S_3$:

- ► Dual principal graph: →

Supertransitivity

Definition

We say a principal graph is <u>*n*-supertransitive</u> if it begins with an initial segment consisting of the Coxeter-Dynkin diagram A_{n+1} , i.e., an initial segment with n edges.

Examples



Steps of subfactor classifications:

- 1. Enumerate graph pairs which survive obstructions.
- 2. Construct examples when graphs survive.

Fact (Popa [Pop94])

For a subfactor $A \subset B$, $[B:A] \ge \|\Gamma_+\|^2 = \|\Gamma_-\|^2$.

If we enumerate all graph pairs with norm at most r, we have found all principal graphs with index at most r^2 .



- ADE for index ≤ 4 (GHJ)
- ▶ No *D_{odd}*, *E*₇ (Ocneanu)
- Subgroup subfactors

- Composition (e.g., \otimes)
- Quantum groups (Wenzl)
- GHJ subfactors



- Haagerup's partial classification to $3 + \sqrt{3}$
- Popa's A_{∞} at all indices
- Bisch-Haagerup example at $3 + \sqrt{5}$

Haagerup's enumeration

Theorem (Haagerup [Haa94])

Any non A_{∞} -standard invariant in the index range $(4, 3 + \sqrt{2})$ must have principal graphs a translation of one of



<u>Translation</u> means raising the supertransitivity of both graphs by the same even amount.

Definition (Morrison-Snyder [MS12])

A <u>vine</u> is a graph pair which represents an infinite family of graph pairs obtained by translation.

Main tools for Haagerup's enumeration

Play associativity off of Ocneanu's triple point obstruction.

- Associativity: graphs must be similar
- Ocneanu's triple point obstruction: graphs must be different!
 The consequence is a strong constraint.



They must be paired with each other:





- Bisch-Haagerup
- Asaeda-Haagerup
- Izumi's Cuntz algebra examples

- Xu's examples from conformal inclusions
- Bisch-Jones Fuss-Catalan
- Bisch kills Hexagon vine



- Asaeda-Yasuda eliminate Haagerup vine
- Haagerup + 1 (Grossman-Izumi)

 Bisch-Nicoara-Popa's continuous family with same standard invariant at index 6



- Extended Haagerup
- Classification to index 5 (Izumi, Jones, Morrison, P, Peters, Snyder, Tener)

- Asaeda-Haagerup + 1 (Asaeda-Grossman)
- More Cuntz algebra examples from Izumi

э.

Weeds and vines

The classification to index $\boldsymbol{5}$ introduced the terminology of weeds and vines.

Definition

A \underline{weed} is a graph pair which represents an infinite family of graph pairs obtained by translation and extension.

An <u>extension</u> of a graph pair adds new vertices and edges at strictly greater depths than the maximum depth of any vertex in the original pair.



Using weeds allows us to bundle hard cases together, ensuring the enumerator terminates.

Eliminating vines with number theory

We can uniformly treat vines using number theory, based on the following theorem inspired by Asaeda-Yasuda [AY09]:

Theorem (Calegari-Morrison-Snyder [CMS11])

For a fixed vine \mathcal{V} , there is an effective (computable) constant $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{V})$ such that any *n*-translate with $n > \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{V})$ has norm squared which is not a cyclotomic integer.

Theorem [CG94, ENO05]

The index of a finite depth subfactor (which is equal to the norm squared of the principal graph) must be a cyclotomic integer.



- Morrison-Peters 1-supertransitive classification to $3 + \sqrt{5}$
- 4442 (Morrison-P)
- Evans-Gannon near groups

Why do we care about index $3 + \sqrt{5}$?

Standard invariants at index 4 are completely classified.

• $\mathbb{Z}/2 * \mathbb{Z}/2 = D_{\infty}$ is amenable

- Standard invariants at index 6 are wild.
 - ► There is (at least) one standard invariant for every normal subgroup of the modular group Z/2 * Z/3 = PSL(2, Z)
 - There are unclassifiably many distinct hyperfinite subfactors with standard invariant A₃ * D₄ (Brothier-Vaes [BV13])

▶
$$4 = 2 \times 2$$
 and $6 = 2 \times 3$ are composite indices, as is $3 + \sqrt{5} = 2\tau^2$ where $\tau = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Index $(5, 3 + \sqrt{5})$

Conjecture (Morrison-Peters [MP12b])

There are exactly two non- A_{∞} standard invariants in the index range $(5, 3 + \sqrt{5})$:

name	Principal graphs	Index	Existence, Uniqueness
$SU(2)_{5}$	$(\prec \mathbb{Z}, \prec \mathbb{Z})$	5.04892	[Wen90], [MP12b]
$SU(3)_4$	(+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	5.04892	[Wen88], [MP12b]

Theorem [Morrison-Peters [MP12b]]

There is exactly one 1-supertransitive subfactor in the index range $(5,3+\sqrt{5})$



- Brothier-Vaes unclassifiably many subfactors with standard invariant A₃ * D₄ at index 6
- Liu classified composite standard invariants from A₃ and A₄
- ▶ 1-supertransitive classification to index $6\frac{1}{5}$ (Liu-Morrison-P)

1-supertransitive subfactors at index $3 + \sqrt{5}$

Theorem (Liu [Liu13a], partial proof by [IMP13])

There are exactly seven 1-supertransitive standard invariants with index $3+\sqrt{5}:$

 $(- \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow) \text{ self-dual}$ $(- \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow) \text{ and its dual}$ $(- \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow) \text{ and its dual}$ $(- \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow) \text{ and its dual}$ $(- \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow , - \not\leftarrow) \text{ and its dual} (A_3 * A_4)$

These are all the standard invariants of composed inclusions of A_3 and A_4 subfactors.

Open question

How many hyperfinite subfactors have Bisch-Jones' Fuss-Catalan A_3*A_4 standard invariant at index $3+\sqrt{5}?$

► A₃ * A₄ and A₂ * T₂ are not amenable [Pop94, HI98].

1-supertransitive with index at most $6\frac{1}{5}$

Theorem (Liu-Morrison-P [LMP13])

An exactly 1-supertransitive standard invariant with index at most $6\frac{1}{5}$ either comes from a composed inclusion (and has index $3 + \sqrt{5}$ or 6), or is one of 3 self-dual standard invariants at index $3 + 2\sqrt{2}$:



This result uses Liu's virtual normalizers for 1-supertransitive subfactors [Liu13b] (generalization of [PP86]), which force existence of intermediate subfactors.

- Can push classification results above index 6!
- Could hope that the only wildness at index 6 is "group-like"

Standard invariants at index $3 + \sqrt{5}$

Conjecture (Morrison-P [MP14])

At $3 + \sqrt{5}$, we have only the following standard invariants:



1-supertransitive case known by [Liu13a, IMP13, LMP13]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Methods to push classification results further

- 1-supertransitive classification to $6\frac{1}{5}$ [LMP13]
- ▶ Popa's principal graph stability [Pop95, BP14] → cylinders
- New number theory approach to cylinders (Calegari-Guo)
- New high-tech graph pair enumerator, based on Brendan McKay's isomorph free enumeration by canonical construction paths. Two independent implementations, same results. (Afzaly-Morrison-P)

- Tail enumerator for periodic graphs (Afzaly-Morrison-P)
- The non-initial triple point obstruction [Haa94]
- New general initial triple point obstruction [Pen13]

Popa's principal graph stability

Definition

We say Γ_{\pm} is stable at depth n if every vertex at depth n connects to at most one vertex at depth n + 1, no two vertices at depth n connect to the same vertex at depth n + 1, and all edges between depths n and n + 1 are simple.

Theorem (Popa [Pop95], Bigelow-P [BP14])

Suppose $A \subset B$ (finite index) has principal graphs (Γ_+, Γ_-) . Suppose that the truncation $\Gamma_{\pm}(n+1) \neq A_{n+2}$ and $\delta > 2$.

- If Γ_± are stable at depth n, then Γ_± are stable at depth k for all k ≥ n, and Γ_± are finite.
- (2) If Γ_+ is stable at depths n and n+1, then Γ_{\pm} are stable at depth n+1.

Part (2) uses the 1-click rotation in the planar algebra.

Bigelow's jellyfish algorithm

First used by Bigelow-Morrison-Peters-Snyder to construct extended Haagerup [BMPS12].

Theorem (Bigelow-P [BP14])

▶ P_{\bullet} has 2-strand jellyfish relations \Leftrightarrow one graph is a spoke.



▶ P_{\bullet} has 1-strand jellyfish relations \Leftrightarrow both graphs are spokes.



Theorem (Morrison-P [MP14])

A variation of the jellyfish algorithm is universal for finite depth subfactor planar algebras.

Cylinders

Definition

A cylinder is a graph pair which represents an infinite family of graph pairs obtained by translation and finite stable extension.



Theorem (Guo)

Let \mathcal{S}_M be the class of finite graphs satisfying:

- 1. all vertices have valence at most $\boldsymbol{M}\text{,}$ and
- 2. at most M vertices have valence > 2.

Then ignoring A_n , D_n , $A_n^{(1)}$, and $D_n^{(1)}$, only finitely many graphs in \mathcal{S}_M have norm squared which is a cyclotomic integer.

- ► This result is in principle effective, but not yet practical.
- \blacktriangleright Calegari-Guo eliminate our troublesome cylinder ${\cal C}$ by hand.

New triple point obstruction

Suppose $A \subset B$ (finite index) has principal graphs (Γ_+, Γ_-) starting with a triple point:



Theorem [Pen13]

Suppose that for each R at depth n + 1 connected to P, there is a unique vertex $E(\overline{R})$ at depth n connected to the dual vertex \overline{R} of R. Then there is an explicit formula for $\sigma_A + \sigma_A^{-1}$ in terms of the traces of the projections of Γ_{\pm} with depth at most n + 1.

Here, σ_A is the chirality, related to 1-click rotation.

Moral:

This formula gives the chirality, which is a priori hidden in the planar algebra structure, in terms of visible combinatorial data of the principal graph.



Theorem (Afzaly-Morrison-P)

The conjectures of Morrison-Peters (up to index $5\frac{1}{4} > 3 + \sqrt{5}$) and Morrison-P hold with at most finitely many exceptions.

Thank you for listening!

Slides available at http://www.math.ucla.edu/~dpenneys/PenneysUCLA2014.pdf

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

My recent articles referenced herein:

- ▶ with Liu and Morrison 1-supertransitive below 6¹/₅ to appear Comm. Math. Phys. - arXiv:1310.8566
- with Bigelow Spokes and jellyfish Math. Ann. -MR3157990
- with Morrison Constructing spokes with 1-strand jellyfish
 to appear Trans. AMS arXiv:1208.3637
- with Peters Constructing spokes with 2-strand jellyfish -Submitted - arXiv:1308.5197
- ▶ with Izumi and Morrison 1-supertransitive at 3 + √5 -Submitted - arXiv:1308.5723
- new obstruction Submitted arXiv:1307.5890
- with Izumi, Morrison, Peters, and Snyder Subfactors of index exactly 5 - Submitted - arXiv:1406.2389
- ► with Morrison 2-supertransitive at index 3 + √5 -Submitted - arXiv:1406.3401

Marta Asaeda and Seidai Yasuda, *On Haagerup's list of potential principal graphs of subfactors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **286** (2009), no. 3, 1141–1157, arXiv:0711.4144 MR2472028 DOI:10.1007/s00220-008-0588-0.

- Dietmar Bisch and Vaughan F. R. Jones, *Algebras associated to intermediate subfactors*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 1, 89–157, MR1437496 DOI:10.1007/s002220050137.
- Stephen Bigelow, Scott Morrison, Emily Peters, and Noah Snyder, Constructing the extended Haagerup planar algebra, Acta Math. **209** (2012), no. 1, 29–82, MR2979509 arXiv:0909.4099 DOI:10.1007/s11511-012-0081-7.
- Stephen Bigelow and David Penneys, Principal graph stability and the jellyfish algorithm, Math. Ann. 358 (2014), no. 1-2, 1–24, MR3157990 DOI:10.1007/s00208-013-0941-2 arXiv:1208.1564.

Arnaud Brothier and Stefaan Vaes, *Families of hyperfinite subfactors with the same standard invariant and prescribed fundamental group*, 2013, arXiv:1309.5354.

- Antoine. Coste and Terry Gannon, *Remarks on Galois symmetry in rational conformal field theories*, Phys. Lett. B **323** (1994), no. 3-4, 316–321, MR1266785 DDI:10.1016/0370-2693(94)91226-2

Frank Calegari, Scott Morrison, and Noah Snyder, *Cyclotomic integers, fusion categories, and subfactors*, Comm. Math. Phys. **303** (2011), and Sa

845-896, MR2786219 DOI:10.1007/s00220-010-1136-2 arXiv:1004.0665.

- Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik, On fusion categories, Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 2, 581-642, arXiv:math.QA/0203060 MR2183279 DOI:10.4007/annals.2005.162.581.
- Uffe Haagerup, Principal graphs of subfactors in the index range $4 < [M:N] < 3 + \sqrt{2}$, Subfactors (Kyuzeso, 1993), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994, MR1317352, pp. 1–38.
- Fumio Hiai and Masaki Izumi, Amenability and strong amenability for fusion algebras with applications to subfactor theory, Internat. J. Math. 9 (1998), no. 6, 669–722, MR1644299.
- Masaki Izumi, Scott Morrison, and David Penneys, Fusion categories between $C \boxtimes D$ and C * D, 2013, arXiv:1308.5723.



- Vaughan F. R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 1–25, MR696688 DOI:10.1007/BF01389127.
 - _____, *Planar algebras, I*, 1999, arXiv:math.QA/9909027.



Zhengwei Liu, Composed inclusions of A_3 and A_4 subfactors, 2013,arXiv:1308.5691.

Zhengwei Liu, *Exchange relation planar algebras of small rank*, 2013, arXiv:1308.5656.

- Zhengwei Liu, Scott Morrison, and David Penneys, 1-supertransitive subfactors with index at most 6¹/₅, 2013, arXiv:1310.8566, to appear Comm. Math. Phys.
 - Scott Morrison and David Penneys, *Constructing spoke subfactors using the jellyfish algorithm*, 2012, arXiv:1208.3637, to appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.
 - Scott Morrison and Emily Peters, The little desert? Some subfactors with index in the interval $(5, 3 + \sqrt{5})$, 2012, arXiv:1205.2742.
- Scott Morrison and David Penneys, 2-supertransitive subfactors with index $3 + \sqrt{5}$, 2014, arXiv:1406.3401.
- Scott Morrison and Noah Snyder, Subfactors of index less than 5, part 1: the principal graph odometer, Communications in Mathematical Physics 312 (2012), no. 1, 1–35, arXiv:1007.1730 MR2914056 D0I:10.1007/s00220-012-1426-y.
- David Penneys, Chirality and principal graph obstructions, 2013, arXiv:1307.5890.

- Sorin Popa, *Markov traces on universal Jones algebras and subfactors of finite index*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), no. 2, 375–405, MR1198815 D0I:10.1007/BF01231293.
- Classification of amenable subfactors of type II, Acta Math. 172 (1994), no. 2, 163–255, MR1278111 DOI:10.1007/BF02392646.
 - An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants of a subfactor, Invent. Math. 120 (1995), no. 3, 427–445, MR1334479 DOI:10.1007/BF01241137.
- Mihai Pimsner and Sorin Popa, Entropy and index for subfactors, Ann.
 Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 1, 57–106, MR860811.
- David Penneys and Emily Peters, Calculating two-strand jellyfish relations, 2013, arXiv:1308.5197.
- Hans Wenzl, *Hecke algebras of type* A_n *and subfactors*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 2, 349–383.

_____, *Quantum groups and subfactors of type B*, *C*, and *D*, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), no. 2, 383–432, MR1090432.