COUNTING INTEGRAL MATRICES WITH A GIVEN CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL
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Abstract. We give a simpler proof of an earlier result giving an asymptotic estimate for the number of integral matrices, in large balls, with a given monic integral irreducible polynomial as their common characteristic polynomial. The proof uses equidistributions of polynomial trajectories on $\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$, which is a generalization of Ratner’s theorem on equidistributions of unipotent trajectories.

We also compute the exact constants appearing in the above mentioned asymptotic estimates.

1. Introduction

Let $P$ be a monic polynomial of degree $n$ ($n \geq 2$) with integral coefficients which is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let

$$V_P = \{ X \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det(\lambda I - X) = P(\lambda) \}.$$ 

Since $P$ has $n$ distinct roots, $V_P$ is the set of real $n \times n$-matrices $X$ such that roots of $P$ are the eigenvalues of $X$. Let $V_P(\mathbb{Z})$ denote that set of matrices in $V_P$ with integral entries. Let $B_T$ denote the ball in $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ centred at 0 and of radius $T$ with respect to the Euclidean norm: $\|(x_{ij})\| = (\sum_{i,j} x_{ij}^2)^{1/2}$.

We are interested in estimating, for large $T$, the number of integer matrices in $B_T$ with characteristic polynomial $P$.

Theorem 1.1 ([EMS1]). There exists a constant $C_P > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\#(V_P(\mathbb{Z}) \cap B_T)}{T^{n(n-1)/2}} = C_P.$$ 

A formula for $C_P$, in the general case, is given in Theorem 5.1. Under an additional hypothesis, the formula for $C_P$ is simpler and it can be given as follows (Cf. [EMS1]):

Theorem 1.2. Let $\alpha$ be a root of $P$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Suppose that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $K$. Then

$$C_P = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h R}{w \sqrt{D}} \cdot \frac{\pi^{n/2} / \Gamma(1 + (m/2))}{\prod_{s=2}^{\text{reg}} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s)},$$

where $h =$ ideal class number of $K$, $R =$ regulator of $K$, $w =$ order of the group of roots of unity in $K$, $D =$ discriminant of $K$, $r_1$ (resp. $r_2$) = number of real (resp. complex) places of $K$, and $m = n(n-1)/2$.
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Remark 1.1. The three components of the above formula for \( C_P \) are volumes of certain standard entities in geometry of numbers (with respect to the canonical volume forms on the respective spaces):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Vol}(J^0(K)/K^\times) &= \frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}hR}{\sqrt{D}}, \\
\text{Vol}(B^m) &= \frac{\pi^{m/2}}{\Gamma(1 + (m/2))}, \\
\text{Vol}(SM_n) &= \prod_{s=2}^{n} \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s).
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( J^0(K)/K^\times \) is the group of principal ideals of \( K \) modulo \( K^\times \) (see \([K, \text{Sect. 5.4}]\)), \( B^m \) is the unit ball in \( \mathbb{R}^m \), and \( SM_n \) is the determinant one surface in the Minkowski fundamental domain \( M_n \) in the space of \( n \times n \) real positive symmetric matrices with respect to the action of \( \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) (see \([T, \text{Sect. 4.4.4}]\)).

Remark 1.2. The hypothesis of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied if \( \alpha \) is a root of unity (see \([K, \text{Theorem 1.61}]\)). The conclusion of Theorem 1.2 was obtained in \([\text{EMS1}]\) under a further hypothesis that all roots of \( P \) are real.

In \([\text{EMS1}]\), the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following: (1) the existence of limits of large translates of certain algebraic measures as proved in \([\text{EMS2}]\); (2) showing that such limiting distributions are actually algebraic measures, using Ratner’s description of ergodic invariant measures of unipotent flows \([\text{Ra1}]\); and (3) the verification that certain condition, called the non-focusing condition, holds in the case of Theorem 1.1. (See \([\text{Ra3}]\)).

A main purpose of this article is to provide a simple and a direct proof of this theorem using the following result on equidistributions of ‘polynomial like’ trajectories on \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \):

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( \Gamma \) be a lattice in \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \mu \) the \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \)-invariant probability measure on \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma \), and \( x \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma \). Let

\[
\Theta = (\Theta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})
\]

be a map such that each \( \Theta_{ij} \) is a real valued polynomial in \( m \) variables, and \( \Theta(0) = I \), the identity matrix. Suppose that \( \Theta(\mathbb{R}^m) \) is not contained in any proper closed subgroup \( L \) of \( \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \) such that the orbit \( Lx \) is closed. Then for any \( f \in C_c(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma) \),

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B(T))} \int_{B(T)} f(\Theta(s)x) \, ds = \int f \, d\mu,
\]

where \( B(T) \) denotes the ball of radius \( T \) in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) centered at 0.

For \( 0 \leq r \leq m \), let \( B^+(T) = B(T) \cap (\mathbb{R}^+)^r \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r} \). Then

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B^+_T)} \int_{B^+_T} f(\delta(s)x) \, ds = \int f \, d\mu, \quad \forall f \in C_c(\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma),
\]
where \( \delta(s) := \Theta(\sqrt{s_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{s_r}, s_{r+1}, \ldots, s_m), \forall s = (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r}. \)

The first part of the theorem is a particular case of [S, Corollary 1.1], whose proof can be readily modified to prove the second part. This result is a generalization of Ratner’s theorem on equidistribution of orbits of one-dimensional unipotent flows [Ra2]. The main ingredient in its proof is, just as in [Ra2], the classification of ergodic invariant measures for unipotent flows.

Another purpose of this article is to obtain an expression for \( C_P \) in terms of algebraic number theoretic constants associated with \( P \); this is carried out in Section 5.

As in [EMS1], the first step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is its reformulation to a question in ergodic theory of subgroup actions on homogeneous spaces of Lie groups; we follow the approach of Duke, Rudnick and Sarnak [DRS].

The second step is to reduce this question to one about equidistribution of polynomial trajectories, so that Theorem 1.3 can be applied.

2. Reduction to a question in ergodic theory

We write

\[ gX := gXg^{-1}, \quad \forall g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \forall X \in M_n(\mathbb{R}). \]

Put

\[ \Gamma = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}). \]

If \( X \in V_P(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( \gamma \in \Gamma \), then \( \gamma X \in V_P(\mathbb{Z}) \); and we denote the \( \Gamma \)-orbit through \( X \) by

\[ \Gamma X := \{ \gamma X : \gamma \in \Gamma \}. \]

Using a correspondence between \( \Gamma \)-orbits and ideal classes due to Latimer and MacDuffee [LM], in view of the finiteness of class numbers of orders, one has the following: (see Proposition 5.3).

**Proposition 2.1** (Latimer and MacDuffee). There are only finitely many distinct \( \Gamma \)-orbits in \( V_P(\mathbb{Z}) \).

**Remark 2.1.** The above proposition is a particular case of a much general ‘finiteness theorem’ due to Borel and Harish-Chandra [BH-C].

By Proposition 2.1, to prove Theorem 1.1 it is enough to prove the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( X \in V_P(\mathbb{Z}) \). Then there exists \( c_X > 0 \) such that

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\#(\Gamma X \cap B_T)}{T^{n(n-1)/2}} = c_X. \]
2.1. **Considering a fixed $\Gamma$-orbit.** Put $G = \{g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) : \det g = \pm 1\}$. Since the conjugation action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ on $V_P$ is transitive, the same holds for the action of $G$ on $V_P$. Note that $\Gamma = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is a lattice in $G$. Fix any $X_0 \in V_P(\mathbb{Z})$. Put

$$H = \{g \in G : gX_0 = X_0\}.$$

Then $H$ is a real algebraic torus defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. In Section 5.2, using the Dirichlet’s unit theorem will show the following.

**Proposition 2.3.** $H/H \cap \Gamma$ is compact.

Define

$$R_T = \{g \in G : gX_0 \in B_T\}/H \subset G/H,$$

and $\chi_T$ denote its characteristic function. Then

$$\#(\Gamma X_0 \cap B_T) = \#(\Gamma[H] \cap R_T) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/H} \chi_T(\gamma[H]).$$

We choose Haar measures $\tilde{\mu}$ (resp. $\tilde{\nu}$) on $G$ (resp. $H$). Let $\mu$ (resp. $\nu$) denote the left invariant measure on $G/\Gamma$ (resp. $H/H \cap \Gamma$) corresponding to the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ (resp. $\tilde{\nu}$).

Let $\eta$ be the corresponding left $G$-invariant measure on $G/H$ (see \[\mathbb{R}, \text{Lemma 1.4}\]); that is, $\forall f \in C_c(G),$

$$\int_G f \ d\tilde{\mu} = \int_{gH \in G/H} \left( \int_H f(gh) \ d\tilde{\nu}(h) \right) \ d\eta(gH).$$

(2) In Section 3.8 we show that there exists a constant $c_\eta > 0$ (see [43]) depending on $X_0$ such that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \eta(R_T)/T^{n(n-1)/2} = c_\eta.$$

For all $T > 0$ and $g \in G$, let

$$F_T(g\Gamma) := \#(g\Gamma[H] \cap R_T) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/(\Gamma \cap H)} \chi_T(g\gamma H).$$

Note that $F_T$ is bounded, measurable, and vanishes outside a compact set in $G/\Gamma$. By (1) and (3), in order to prove Theorem 2.2, it is enough to prove the following:

**Theorem 2.4.**

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{F_T(e\Gamma)}{\eta(R_T)} = \frac{\nu(H/H \cap \Gamma)}{\mu(G/\Gamma)}.$$

From the computations in Section 3.3 and 3.6, one can deduce the following: Given any $\kappa > 1$ there exists a neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $e$ in $G$ such that

$$R_{\kappa^{-1}T} \subset \Omega R_T \subset R_{\kappa T}.$$

(5)
Now by (5),
\[
\lim_{\kappa \to 1} \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\eta(R_{\kappa T})}{\eta(R_T)} = \frac{\nu(H/H \cap \Gamma)}{\mu(G/\Gamma)}.
\]

By (5) and (6), in order to prove Theorem 2.4, it is enough to prove the following weak convergence:

**Theorem 2.5.** For any \(f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)\),
\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\langle f, F_T \rangle}{\eta(R_T)} = \frac{\nu(H/H \cap \Gamma)}{\mu(G/\Gamma)} \cdot \langle f, 1 \rangle.
\]

Using Fubini’s theorem we have the following:

**Proposition 2.6** ([DRS, EM]). For any \(f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)\),
\[
\langle f, F_T \rangle = \int_{G/\Gamma} f(g\Gamma) \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma/(H \cap \Gamma)} \chi_T(g\gamma H) \right) \, d\mu(g)
\]
\[
= \int_{G/H \cap \Gamma} f(g\Gamma) \chi_T(gH) \, d\bar{\mu}(g)
\]
\[
= \int_{R_T} \left( \int_{H/H \cap \Gamma} f(gh\Gamma) \, d\nu(h) \right) \, d\eta(g),
\]
where \(\bar{\mu}\) is the left \(G\)-invariant measure on \(G/(H \cap \Gamma)\) corresponding to \(\tilde{\mu}\), and \(\hat{x}\) denotes the appropriate coset of \(x\).

In [EMS1] further analysis of the limit was carried out by showing that, as \(T \to \infty\), for ‘almost all’ sequences \(g_i H \to \infty\) in \(R_T\), the integral in the bracket of Equation 7 converges to \(\frac{\nu(H/H \cap \Gamma)}{\mu(G/\Gamma)} \cdot \langle f, 1 \rangle\). This then implies Theorem 2.5.

In this article, our approach is to change the order of integration in (7), and then apply Theorem 2.3 to find the limit. For this purpose, we need an explicit description of \(R_T\), and of the measure \(\eta\).

3. Integration on \(R_T\)

**Notation 3.1.** Let \(r_1\) be the number of real roots of \(P\) and \(r_2\) be the number of pairs of complex conjugate roots of \(P\). Since \(P\) is irreducible, all roots of \(P\) are distinct, and \(n = r_1 + 2r_2\). Fix a root \(\alpha\) of \(P\). Let \(\sigma_i\) \((i = 1, \ldots, r_1)\) be the distinct real embeddings of \(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\). Let \(\sigma_{r_1+i}\) \((i = 1, \ldots, 2r_2)\) be the distinct complex embeddings of \(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\), such that
\[
\sigma_{r_1+r_2+i} = \overline{\sigma_{r_1+i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r_2.
\]

Put
\[
d_i = \begin{cases} 
\sigma_i(\alpha) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r_1 \\
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
a_{i-r_1} & -b_{i-r_1} \\
b_{i-r_1} & a_{i-r_1}
\end{array} \right) & \text{if } r_1 < i \leq r_1 + r_2,
\end{cases}
\]
where \(a_i + b_i \sqrt{-1} = \sigma_{r_1+i}(\alpha), i = 1, \ldots, r_2\).
3.1. Diagonalization of $X$ and $H$. Let
\[
X_1 = \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_{r_1+r_2}) \\
H_1 = \{ g \in G : g X_1 = X_1 \} \\
R^1_T = \{ g \in G : g X_1 \in B_T \}/H_1.
\]

Since the eigenvalues of $X_1$ are same as the roots of $P$, $X_1 \in V_P$. Let $g_0 \in G$ be such that $g_0 X_0 = X_1$.

Define $\psi : G \to G$ as $\psi(g) = g_0 g g_0^{-1}$, $\forall g \in G$. Then $H_1 = \psi(H)$ and $\psi_*(\tilde{\mu}) = \tilde{\mu}$. We choose a Haar measure $\tilde{\nu}_1$ on $H_1$ defined by
\[
\tilde{\nu}_1 := \psi_*(\tilde{\nu}).
\]

Define $\bar{\phi} : G/H \to G/H_1$ as $\bar{\phi}(gH) = g g_0^{-1} H_1$, $\forall g \in G$. Let $\eta_1 := \bar{\phi}*(\eta)$. Then by (2), $\forall f \in C_c(G),$
\[
\int_G f \ d\tilde{\mu} = \int_{G/H_1} \left( \int_{H_1} f(gh_1) \ \tilde{\nu}_1(h_1) \right) \ d\eta_1(gH_1).
\]
Also
\[
R^1_T = \bar{\phi}(R_T) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_1(R^1_T) = \eta(R_T).
\]

Put $\Gamma_1 = \psi(\Gamma)$. Define $\bar{\psi} : G/\Gamma \to G/\Gamma_1$ as $\bar{\psi}(g\Gamma) = \psi(g) \Gamma_1$, $\forall g \in G$. Let $\mu_1 := \bar{\psi}_*(\mu)$ and $\nu_1 := \bar{\psi}_*(\nu)$. Then $\mu_1$ is the $G$-invariant measure on $G/\Gamma_1$ associated to $\tilde{\mu}$. Also $\nu_1$ is the $H_1$-invariant measure on $H_1/(H_1 \cap \Gamma_1) \cong H_1 \Gamma_1/\Gamma_1 = \bar{\psi}(H \Gamma/\Gamma)$ associated to $\tilde{\nu}_1$, and
\[
\nu_1(H_1/H_1 \cap \Gamma_1) = \nu(H/H \cap \Gamma).
\]

Now can rewrite Proposition 2.6 as follows:

**Proposition 3.1.** $\forall f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)$, and $f_1 := f \circ \bar{\psi}^{-1} \in C_c(G/\Gamma_1)$,
\[
\langle f, F_T \rangle = \int_{R^1_T} \left( \int_{H \cap \Gamma} f(gh) \ d\nu_1(h) \right) \ d\eta_1(g).
\]

Due to this proposition, instead of integrating on $R_T$, it suffices to integrate on $R^1_T$. Therefore we describe the measure $\eta_1$ on $G/H_1$. For this purpose we want to express $G$ as $G = Y H_1$, where $Y$ is a product of certain subgroups and subsemigroups of $G$ (see (23)). Later, in Section 3.3, we will decompose the Haar measure of $G$ into products of appropriate Haar measures on these subgroups. This will allow us to describe $\eta_1$ as a product of the chosen Haar measures on the subgroups and subsemigroups, whose product is $Y$ (Proposition 3.2).
3.2. Product decompositions of $G$. In view of the above, first we will describe various subgroups of $G$, and then obtain different product decompositions of $G$ into those subgroups and their subsemigroups.

Let $O(n)$ denote the group of orthogonal matrices in $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Let

$$N = \{ n := (n_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{n} : n_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}, n_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i > j, n_{ii} = 1 \}$$

$$A = \{ a := \text{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : a_i > 0, \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1 \}.$$

By Iwasawa decomposition, the map

$$(k, n, a) \mapsto kna : O(n) \times N \times A \to G$$

is a diffeomorphism.

For $i, j = 1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2$, let

$$M_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } i \leq r_1, j \leq r_1 \\ M_{1 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } i \leq r_1, j > r_1 \\ M_{2 \times 1}(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } i > r_1, j \leq r_1 \\ M_2(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } i > r_1, j > r_1. \end{cases}$$

It will be convenient to express $g \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ as $g = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{r_1+r_2}$, where $g_{ij} \in M_{ij}$.

Put

$$\mathfrak{U} = \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r_1+r_2} M_{ij} \right) \cong \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)-r_2},$$

$$u(x) = (u_{ij}); x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad M_{ij} \ni u_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ x_{ij} & \text{if } i < j, \end{cases}$$

$$h(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
We have the following product decompositions:

\begin{align}
N &= N_1 \cdot U, \quad A = A_1 \cdot C, \\
H_1 &= \Sigma \cdot K_1 \cdot C, \quad L = K_1 \cdot N_1 \cdot A_1.
\end{align}

In each of the above decompositions, the product map, from the direct product of the subgroups on the right hand side to the group on the left hand side, is a diffeomorphism. We also note that

\begin{align}
\Sigma \cdot C \subset Z_G(L), \quad N_G(U) = \Sigma \cdot C \cdot L \cdot U.
\end{align}

Therefore

\begin{align}
G &= O(n)NA = O(n)K_1 \cdot N_1U \cdot A_1C \\
&= O(n) \cdot K_1N_1A_1 \cdot UC \\
&= O(n) \cdot L \cdot U \cdot C.
\end{align}

One has that $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = SO(2) \cdot h(\mathbb{R}_+) \cdot SO(2)$ (see Proposition A.3). Since $L \cong (SL_2(\mathbb{R}))^{r_2}$, we have that

\begin{align}
L = K_1N_1^+K_1,
\end{align}

where $N_1^+ = \{h(t) : t \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^{r_2}\}$. Now, in view of (20)–(22), we have

\begin{align}
G &= O(n) \cdot K_1N_1^+K_1 \cdot U \cdot C \\
&= O(n) \cdot N_1^+U \cdot K_1C \\
&= O(n) \cdot \Sigma \cdot N_1^+U \cdot K_1C \\
&= O(n) \cdot N_1^+U \cdot \Sigma K_1C \\
&= O(n) \cdot N_1^+U \cdot H_1.
\end{align}

3.3. **Choice of Haar measures on subgroups of $G$.** Our next aim is to choose the Haar measures on each of the subgroups defined in the previous section, so that the equalities (20), (22) and (23) also hold, in an appropriate sense, with respect to the products of the chosen Haar measures.

**Choice of Haar measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $G$.** We choose a Haar integral $dk$ on $O(n)$ such that $\text{Vol}(SO(n)) = 1$; in particular,

\begin{align}
\text{Vol}(O(n)) = \int_{O(n)} 1 \, dk = 2.
\end{align}

We choose the Haar integral $dn$ on $N$ (see (14)) such that

\begin{align}
dn = \prod_{i<j} dn_{ij}.
\end{align}

We choose the Haar integral $da$ on $A$ such that $\forall f \in C_c(A),$

\begin{align}
\int_A f(a) \, da = \int_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^{n-1}} f(a) \frac{da_1}{a_1} \cdots \frac{da_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}; \quad (\text{see} \, (13))
\end{align}

alternative notation: $da = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} da_i/a_i.$
We choose a Haar measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on $G$ such that,

$$
\int_G f \, d\tilde{\mu} = \int_{O(n) \times N \times A} f(ka) \, dk \, dn \, da, \ \forall f \in C_c(G).
$$

**Decomposition of integrals on $A$ and $N$.** We choose a Haar integral $dc$ on $C$ such that (see [8])

$$
dc = (dc_1/c_1) \cdots (dc_{r_1+r_2-1}/c_{r_1+r_2-1}).
$$

Choose the Haar integral $da_1 := \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} d\beta_i/\beta_i$ on $A_1$ (see (17)). Then $da = da_1 \, dc$, where $a = a_1c$, $(a_1, c) \in A_1 \times C$ (see (20)).

Let $dt$ denote the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{r_2}$. Let $x$ denote the standard Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{U}$. Then $dn = dt \, dx$, where $n = h(t)u(x)$, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_2} \times \mathbb{U}$.

**Choice of Haar integral $dl$ on $L_1$.** Let $dl$ be a Haar integral on $L_1$ such that,

$$
\int_{L_1} f(l) \, dl = \int_{K_1 \times \mathbb{R}^{r_2} \times A_1} f(kh(t)a_1) \, d\theta(k) \, dt \, da_1, \ \forall f \in C_c(L_1),
$$

where $\theta$ denotes the Haar measure on $K_1$ such that

$$
\theta(K_1) = 1.
$$

**Decomposition of Haar integral $d\tilde{\mu}$.** From the above choices of Haar integrals on various subgroups of $G$, their interrelations, (21) and (22) we have

$$
\int_G f(g) \, d\tilde{\mu}(g) = \int_{O(n) \times L_1 \times \mathbb{U} \times C} f(klxc) \, dk \, dl \, dx \, dc, \ \forall f \in C_c(G).
$$

**Choice of Haar measure $\tilde{\nu}$ on $H$.** We also choose a Haar measure $\tilde{\nu}$ on $H$ such that for the Haar measure $\tilde{\nu}_1 := \psi_*(\tilde{\nu})$ on $H_1$ (see (19)), we have

$$
\int_{H_1} f \, d\tilde{\nu}_1 = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \int_{K_1 \times C} f(\sigma kc) \, d\theta(k) \, dc, \ \forall f \in C_c(H_1).
$$

### 3.4. Description of integral $\eta_1$ on $G/H_1$.**

In order to describe $\eta_1$, we will express the integral $d\tilde{\mu}$ as a product of an integrals on certain subset of $G$ and the integral $d\tilde{\nu}_1$ using the expressions (28) and (29).

A new description of the integral $dl$. First we will express the Haar integral on $L_1$ in terms of the product decomposition $L_1 = K_1 N_1 K_1$.

By Proposition A.3 (stated and proved in Appendix A), the following holds: $\forall f \in C_c(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$,

$$
\int_{SO(2) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}} f(kh(t) \, \text{diag}(\beta, \beta^{-1})) \, d\theta(k) \, dt \, (d\beta/\beta)
$$

$$
= (\pi/2) \int_{SO(2) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times SO(2)} f(k_1 h(t^{1/2})k_2) \, d\vartheta(k_1) \, dt \, \vartheta(k_1),
$$

where $\vartheta$ is a probability Haar measure on $SO(2)$.

Since $L_1 \cong SL_2(\mathbb{R})^{r_2}$, by (21) and (31), $\forall f \in C_c(L_1)$,

$$
\int_{L_1} f(l) \, dl = (\pi/2)^{r_2} \int_{K_1 \times (\mathbb{R}^+)^{r_2} \times K_1} f(kh(t^{1/2})k') \, d\theta(k) \, dt \, d\theta(k'),
$$

where $k'$ is a probability Haar measure on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})^{r_2}$. 


where the notation is

\[
t^{1/2} := (t_1^{1/2}, \ldots, t_r^{1/2}), \quad \forall t = (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^r.
\]

From (23) and \((28)-(31), \forall f \in C_c(G),

\[
\int_G f(g) \, d\tilde{\mu}(g) = (\pi/2)^2 \int_{O(n) \times K_1 \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times K_1 \times \Sigma \times C} f(k_1 h(t^{1/2}) k_1 u(x) c) \times
\]

\[
\times dk \, d\theta(k_1) \, dt \, d\theta(k_1) \, dx \, dc
\]

\[
= (\pi/2)^2 (\# \Sigma)^{-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \int_{O(n) \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \Sigma \times K_1 \times C} f(k \sigma h(t^{1/2}) u(x) k_1 c) \times
\]

\[
\times dk \, dt \, dx \, d\theta(k_1) \, dc.
\]

\[
= \pi^{r^2} 2^{-r_2} \int_{O(n) \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \Sigma} f(k h(t^{1/2}) u(x) h_1) \, dk \, dt \, dx \, d\tilde{\nu}_1(h_1).
\]

Now in view of (11), we have the following:

**Proposition 3.2.** For any \( f \in C_c(G/H_1), \)

\[
\int_{G/H_1} \tilde{f} \, d\eta_1 = (2\pi)^{r^2} 2^{-n} \int_{O(n) \times (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \Sigma} \tilde{f}(k h(t^{1/2}) u(x) h_1) \, dk \, dt \, dx.
\]

3.5. **Changing the order of Integration.** The Euclidean norm on \(M_n(\mathbb{R})\) is invariant under the left and the right multiplication by the elements of \(O(n).\) Therefore

\[
R_T^1 = O(n) \Psi(D_T^1) H_1 / H_1,
\]

where

\[
\Psi(t, x) = h(t^{1/2}) u(x), \quad \forall (t, x) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \Gamma, \text{ (see (32))}
\]

\[
D_T^1 = \{ (t, x) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \Gamma : \| \Psi(t, x) X_1 \| < T \}
\]

Since \( \Gamma \cong \mathbb{R}^n \), let \( \ell \) denote the standard Lebesgue measure on \((\mathbb{R}_+)^r \times \Gamma.\) Then by (24) and Proposition 3.2,

\[
\eta_1(R_T^1) = (2\pi)^{r^2} 2^{-(n-1)} \ell(D_T^1).
\]

For the purpose of analysing the limit in Theorem 2.3, we change the order of integration in Proposition 3.1 as follows:

**Proposition 3.3.** For all \( f \in C_c(G/G_1), \)

\[
\frac{1}{\pi ( R_T^1 )} \int_{R_T^1} \left( \int_{G_1 / \Gamma_1} f(gh \Gamma_1) \, d\nu_1(h) \right) \, d\eta_1(g) = (1/2) \int_{O(n)} dk \cdot \int_{H_1 / \Gamma_1} \nu_1(h) \times
\]

\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{\ell(D_T^1)} \int_{(t,x) \in D_T^1} f(k \Psi(t, x) \Gamma_1) \, dt \, dx \right).
\]
3.6. **Description of the set** $D^1_T$. Our aim of this subsection is to show that $D^1_T$ is asymptotically the image of a ball of radius $T$ under a ‘polynomial like’ map (see Propositions 3.4 and 3.7).

**Coordinates of $\Psi(t,x)X_i$.** Take $x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{U}$. If $u(x)^{-1} = u(y)$, $y = (y_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{U}$, then

$$y_{ij} = -x_{ij} + B_{ij}(x_{kl})_{0<1-k<j-i}$$

where $B_{ij} : \prod_{0<k<j-i} M_{kl} \to M_{ij}$ is a polynomial map for $i < j - 1$, and $B_{ij} \equiv 0$ if $i = j - 1$.

If $u(x)X_1 = u(x)X_1u(y) = (\omega_{ij})^r_{i,j=1}$, then $w_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$, and

$$\omega_{ij} = \begin{cases} d_i & \text{ if } i = j \text{ (see } (3)\text{)} \\ S_{ij}(x_{ij}) + Q_{ij}(x_{kl})_{0<k<j-i} & \text{ if } i < j, \end{cases}$$

where $S_{ij} : M_{ij} \to M_{ij}$ ($i < j$) is defined as

$$(35) \quad S_{ij}(x) = x_{ij} - d_{ij} x, \quad \forall x \in M_{ij},$$

and $Q_{ij} : \prod_{0<k<j-i} M_{kl} \to M_{ij}$ is a polynomial map for $i < j - 1$, and $Q_{ij} \equiv 0$ if $i = j - 1$.

Let $t = (t_i) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^{r_2}$. If we write

$$h(t)(u(x)X_1) = (\zeta_{ij})^r_{i,j=1},$$

then $\zeta_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$, and

$$\zeta_{ij} = h(t)_{i-r_1} \omega_{ij} h(-t)_{j-1} \quad \text{ if } i < j,$$

where the convention is: $h(t)_{i-r_1} = h(-t)_{i-r_1} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_1$.

Note that for $i = 1, \ldots, r_2$, (see (3))

$$h(t_{i/2})d_{i+1}h(-t_{i/2}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_i - t_{i/2}b_i & -b_i \\ b_i & a_i + t_{i/2}b_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\Psi(t,x)X_1\|^2 = \|X_1\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{r_2} t_{i}^2 (t_{i}^2 + 4t_i) + \sum_{i<j} |\zeta_{ij}|^2.$$

**Expressing $D^1_T$ as an image of a ball.** Now, in view of (33), we want to find a function

$$\tilde{\delta} : (\mathbb{R}_+)^{r_2} \times \mathfrak{U} \to (\mathbb{R}_+)^{r_2} \times \mathfrak{U}$$

such that

$$(36) \quad \tilde{\delta}(B^+_{\sqrt{T^2-\|X_1\|^2}}) = D^1_T,$$

where

$$B^+_{T} := \{(s, z) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^{r_2} \times \mathfrak{U} : \|s\|^2 + \|z\|^2 < T^2\}.$$
Now for \((s, z) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^2 \times \mathfrak{U}\), we write \(\tilde{\delta}(s, z) = (t, x)\), where \(t = (t_i) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^{r_2}\) and \(x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{U}\). Then (36) holds, if we have:

\begin{align}
(37) & \quad s_i = \sqrt{b_i^2(t_i^2 + 4t_i)}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq r_2) \\
(38) & \quad z_{ij} = \zeta_{ij}, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq r_1 + r_2). 
\end{align}

By first solving the equation (37), we get

\[
t_i = \sqrt{b_i^{-2}s_i^2 + 4 - 2}
\]

After that we solve the equation (38) in the following order: it is solved for all \(\{(k, l) : 0 < l - k < j - i\}\) before solving it for \((i, j)\). We get

\[
(39) \quad x_{ij} = x_{ij}(t, \{x_{kl} : 0 < l - k < j - i\}) = S_{ij}^{-1} \left( h\left(-t_{i-l}^{1/2}\right)z_{ij}h\left(t_{j-l}^{1/2}\right) - Q_{ij}(\{x_{kl}\}_{0 < l, k < j, l < i}) \right).
\]

‘Polynomial like’ approximation for \(\tilde{\delta}\). We put \(t' := (t'_i) \in (\mathbb{R}_+)^r_2\),

\[
t'_i = |b_i|^{-1}s_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r_2.
\]

Next we put \(x' := (x'_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{U}\), where (see (33))

\[
x'_{ij} = x_{ij}(t', \{x'_{kl} : 0 < k - l < j - i\}), \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq r_1 + r_2).
\]

Then we define

\[
\delta(s, z) = (t', x').
\]

It is straightforward to verify that

\[
0 \leq t'_i - t_i < 2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r_2.
\]

Therefore

\[
(40) \quad \delta(B_{T-2}) \subset \tilde{\delta}(B_T) \subset \delta(B_T), \quad \forall T > 0.
\]

Also note that if \(T > \|X_1\|\), then

\[
T - \|X_1\|^2 T^{-1} < \sqrt{T^2 - \|X_1\|^2} < T.
\]

Therefore, since (36) and (40) hold, we get the following:

**Proposition 3.4.** For \(T > \|X_1\| + 2\),

\[
\delta(B_{T-2-\|X_1\|^2T^{-1}}) \subset D^1_T \subset \delta(B_T).
\]

**Proposition 3.5.** The map \(\Theta : \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} \rightarrow G\) defined by

\[
\Theta(s, z) := \Psi(\delta((s_1^2, \ldots, s_{r_2}^2), z)), \quad \forall (s, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_2} \times \mathfrak{U} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)},
\]

is a polynomial map; that is, each coordinate function of \(\Theta\) is a polynomial in \(\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\)-variables.
3.7. Jacobian of $\delta$. Let the notation be as in the definition of $\delta$. The Jacobian of $\delta$ at $(s, z)$ is given by:

$$\text{Jac}(\delta)(s, z) := |\partial(t', x')/\partial(s, z)|$$

(41)

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r_2} |\partial t'_i/\partial s_i| \cdot \prod_{i<j} |\partial x'_{ij}/\partial z_{ij}|$$

(42)

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r_2} |b_i|^{-1} \prod_{i<j} |\det(S_{ij})|^{-1},$$

where (11) holds because $\partial t'_i/\partial z_{kl} = 0$ for all $i, k, l$, and $\partial x'_{kl}/\partial z_{ij} = 0$ for all $0 < l - k < j - i$, and (12) holds because $\det h(t) = 1$ for all $t$. In particular, $\text{Jac}(\delta)$ is a constant function.

Computation of $\det(S_{ij})$. By (16)

$$M_{ij} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{R}^{\nu_i}, \mathbb{R}^{\nu_j}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{\nu_i} \otimes (\mathbb{R}^{\nu_j})^*, \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq r_1 + r_2),$$

where $\nu_k = 1$ if $1 \leq k \leq r_1$, and $\nu_k = 2$ if $r_1 < k \leq r_2$. Under this canonical isomorphism, $S_{ij}$ corresponds to

$$(1 \otimes d') - (d_i \otimes 1), \quad \text{(see (35))}$$

whose eigenvalues are distinct, and by (8) they are

$$\sigma_{j'}(\alpha) - \sigma_i(\alpha), \quad i' \in \hat{i}, \quad j' \in \hat{j},$$

where $\hat{k} = \{k\}$ if $\nu_k = 1$, and $\hat{k} = \{k, r_2 + k\}$ if $\nu_k = 2$. Therefore by (12)

(43)

$$\text{Jac}(\delta) = 2^{r_2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\sigma_i(\alpha) - \sigma_j(\alpha)|^{-1} = 2^{r_2}/\sqrt{|D_{Q(\alpha)/Q}|},$$

where $D_{Q(\alpha)/Q}$ denotes the discriminant of $Q(\alpha)$ over $Q$.

3.8. Volume of $R_T$. We note that

(44)

$$\ell(B_T^+) = 2^{-r_2} \text{Vol}(B^n(n-1)/2)T^{n(n-1)/2},$$

where $\text{Vol}(B^m)$ denotes the volume of a unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Also note that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b > 0$, if $T > \max\{a, b\}$ then

$$(T + a)^m - (T - b)^m/T^m < m(a + b)T^{-1}.$$ 

Therefore by (12), (44), Proposition 3.4, and since $\text{Jac}(\delta)$ is a constant,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \eta(R_T)/\ell(B_T^+) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \eta_1(R_T^1)/\ell(B_T^+) = (2\pi)^{r_2}2^{-(n-1)} \lim_{T \to \infty} \ell(D_T^1)/\ell(B_T^+) = (2\pi)^{r_2}2^{-(n-1)} \text{Jac}(\delta).$$

Now by (13) and (14),

(45)

$$c_\eta := \lim_{T \to \infty} \eta(R_T)/T^{n(n-1)/2} = \frac{(2\pi)^{r_2} \text{Vol}(B^n(n-1)/2)}{2^{n-1} \sqrt{|D_{Q(\alpha)/Q}|}}.$$
4. Equidistribution of Trajectories

In view of Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, and since \( \text{Jac}(\delta) \) is a constant, for any \( f_1 \in C_c(G/\Gamma_1) \), and any \( x_1 \in G/\Gamma_1 \),

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell(B^+_T)} \int_{(t,x) \in D^+_T} f_1(\Psi(t,x)x_1) \, dt \, dx
= \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell(B^+_T)} \int_{B^+_T} f_1(\Theta(s,z)x_1) \, ds \, dz,
\]

where \( \Theta \) as in Proposition 3.3.

Lemma 4.1. For \( x \in G/\Gamma_1 \), if \( H_1x \) is compact then \( \overline{Ux} = G/\Gamma_1 \).

Proof. Choose \( c \in C \), such that \( c_1 > \ldots > c_{r_1+r_2} > 0 \) (see [18]). Then \( U = \{ u \in G : c^{-m}uc^m \to 1 \text{ as } m \to \infty \} \), which is the expanding horospherical subgroup of \( G^0 \) associated to \( c \). Therefore by [DR, Prop. 1.5]

\[
\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} c^nUy = G^0/\Gamma_1 = G/\Gamma_1, \quad \forall y \in G/\Gamma_1.
\]

Recall that \( C \subset H_1 \) and \( H_1 \subset N_G(U) \) (see Section 3.2). Let \( F \) be a compact subset of \( H_1 \) such that \( Fx = H_1x \). Then by (17)

\[
G/\Gamma_1 = \overline{Ux} \subset \overline{H_1Ux} = \overline{UFx} = \overline{Fx}.
\]

By Moore’s ergodicity theorem [M], \( U \) acts ergodically on \( G/\Gamma_1 \). Hence there exists \( x_1 \in G/\Gamma_1 \) such that \( Ux_1 = G/\Gamma_1 \). By (18) there exist \( h \in F \) and \( x_2 \in Ux \) such that \( x_1 = hx_2 \). Therefore, since \( h \in N_G(U) \),

\[
G/\Gamma_1 = \overline{Ux_1} = \overline{hx_2} = \overline{hUx_2} \subset \overline{Ux}.
\]

Hence \( \overline{Ux} = G/\Gamma_1 \).

Proposition 4.2. For all \( f_1 \in C_c(G/\Gamma_1) \), \( k \in K \) and \( h \in H_1 \):

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ell(B^+_T)} \int_{B^+_T} f_1(k\Theta(s,z)h\Gamma_1) \, ds \, dz = \frac{1}{\mu_1(G/\Gamma_1)} \int_{G/\Gamma_1} f_1 \, d\mu_1,
\]

where \( \Theta \) as in Proposition 3.3.

Proof. Note that \( G/\Gamma_1 = G^0/(\Gamma_1 \cap G^0) \) and \( G^0 = \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \). We apply Theorem 1.3 for \( \Gamma \cap G^0 \) in place of \( \Gamma \), \( x = h\Gamma_1 \) and the function \( f_2 \in C_c(G/\Gamma_1) \), where \( f_2(g\Gamma) := f_1(kg\Gamma_1) \) \( \forall g \in G \). Since \( H_1\Gamma_1/\Gamma_1 = \overline{\psi(H\Gamma/\Gamma)} \), by Proposition 3.3, \( H_1x \) is compact. Therefore by Lemma 4.1, \( U_1x \) is dense in \( G/\Gamma_1 \). Since \( \Theta(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathfrak{A}) \supset U \), the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds, and hence the proposition follows.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. By a series of reductions in Section 3, we showed that it is enough to prove Theorem 2.3. Now this result follows from Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, Equation (16), Proposition 1.2 Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, Equation (13), and the fact that \( \mu_1 = \overline{\psi}_\ast(\mu) \).
5. Computation of $C_P$

The rest of the article is devoted to proving the following:

**Theorem 5.1.** Let the notation be as in Theorem 1.4. Then

$$C_P = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]} \kappa(\mathcal{O}) \cdot \frac{\text{Vol}(B_n^{n(n-1)/2})}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}M_n)},$$

where $\alpha$ is any root of $P$, the sum is over all orders $\mathcal{O}$ of the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ containing $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, and

$$\kappa(\mathcal{O}) := \frac{2^{r_1}(2\pi)^{r_2}h_\mathcal{O}R_\mathcal{O}}{w_\mathcal{O}\sqrt{|D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}|}},$$

where

- $r_1$ = Number of real places of $K$,
- $r_2$ = Number of complex places of $K$,
- $h_\mathcal{O}$ = Number of modules classes with order $\mathcal{O}$,
- $R_\mathcal{O}$ = Regulator of $\mathcal{O}^\times$ (see (51))
- $w_\mathcal{O}$ = Order of the group of roots of unity in $\mathcal{O}^\times$,
- $D_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ = Discriminant of $K$,

(see [K, pp. 10–17] or Section 5.1) and

$$\text{Vol}(B_m) = \frac{\pi^m}{\Gamma(1 + m/2)} = \text{Volume of a unit ball in } R^m \text{ (we take } m = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)),$$

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}M_n) = \prod_{s=2}^{n} \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = \text{Volume of the determinant one surface in the Minkowski fundamental domain } \mathcal{M}_n.$$

(see [T, Sect. 4.4.4, Theorem 4] or Section 5.3)

The computation of $C_P$ depends on: (i) obtaining representatives, say $X_0$, for each $\Gamma$-orbits in $V_P(\mathbb{Z})$, and then (ii) computing $\nu(H/H \cap \Gamma)$ for the $H$ and the $\nu$ associated to $X_0$, (iii) computing $c_\eta$ (see (3)), and also (iv) computing $\mu(G/\Gamma)$. We already know $c_\eta$ (see (15)).

5.1. Orbits under $\Gamma$ in $V_P(\mathbb{Z})$. We now describe a result due to Latimer and MacDuffee [LM] on a correspondence between the classes of matrices and classes of ideals; here two matrices are said to be in the same equivalence class if they are in the same $\Gamma$-orbit.

Fix any root $\alpha$ of $P$. Any (nonzero) ideal $I$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $n$. We say that ideals $I$ and $J$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ are equivalent if and only if $aI = bJ$ for some nonzero $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. Let $[I]$ denote the class of ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ equivalent to $I$. 


For any $X \in V_P(\mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha$ is an eigenvalue of $X$, and there exists a nonzero eigenvector $\omega := (\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)^n$ such that

$$X \omega = \alpha \omega$$

Replacing $\omega$ by some integral multiple, we may assume that $\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ for

$$1 \leq i \leq n.$$  

Put $I_X = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\omega_n$. Then by (49), $\alpha I_X \subseteq I_X$. Hence $I_X$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. The ideal class $[I_X]$ depends only on $X$, and not on the choice of the eigenvector $\omega$.

Now let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $Y = \gamma X$. Then $\omega' := \gamma \omega \in I_X$, and $Y \omega' = \alpha \omega'$. Let $I_Y = \mathbb{Z}\omega'_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\omega'_n$, where $(\omega'_1, \ldots, \omega'_n) := \omega'$. Then $I_Y \subseteq I_X$. Since $\gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma$, we have $\omega = \gamma^{-1} \omega' \in I_Y$, and hence $I_X = I_Y$. Thus the ideal class $[I_X]$ depends only on the $\Gamma$-orbit $\gamma X$, and not on the choice of its representative $X$.

**Theorem 5.2.** The assignment $\Gamma X \mapsto [I_X]$ is a one-to-one correspondence between the collection of $\Gamma$-orbits in $V_P(\mathbb{Z})$ and the collection of equivalence classes of ideals in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

**5.1.1. Orders in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.** A subring $\mathcal{O}$ of the number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is called an order in $K$, if its quotient field is $K$, $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$, and its additive group is finitely generated.

A free $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of $K$ (additive) of rank $n = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]$ is called a lattice in $K$; for example, any (nonzero) ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a lattice in $K$. Two lattices $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{M}'$ in $K$ are said to be equivalent, if $a\mathfrak{M} = b\mathfrak{M}'$ for some nonzero $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ denote the class of lattices equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}$. For ideals $I$ and $J$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$, we have $[I] = [J] \iff I = J$.

For a lattice $\mathfrak{M}$ in $K$,

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}) := \{ \beta \in K : \beta \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \}$$

is an order in $K$, it is called the order of $\mathfrak{M}$, and it depends only on the class $\mathfrak{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order in $K$. Then by the class number theorem [3, Theorem 1.9], there are only finitely many classes of lattices in $K$ with order $\mathcal{O}$. This number is called the class number of $\mathcal{O}$ and denoted by $h_{\mathcal{O}}$.

The ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ of algebraic integers in $K$ is an order. Any order $\mathcal{O}$ in $K$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}] \leq n$. Also $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is an order in $K$, and hence there are only finitely orders $\mathcal{O}$ in $K$ with $\mathcal{O} \supset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

**Proposition 5.3.** The $\Gamma$-orbits in $V_P$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the classes of lattices in $K$ whose orders contain $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

In particular, each order $\mathcal{O}$ containing $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is associated to $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ distinct $\Gamma$-orbits in $V_P(\mathbb{Z})$, and the number of distinct $\Gamma$-orbits in $\mathcal{O}$ equals $\sum_{\mathcal{O} \supset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]} h_{\mathcal{O}}$.

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 5.2, to any $\Gamma$-orbit $\gamma X$ in $V_P$, we associate the lattice class $I_X$ of an ideal $I_X$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. We associate $I_X$ to the orbit $\gamma X$. We note that $\mathcal{O}(I_X) \supset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a lattice in $K$ such that $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{M}) \supset \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. Then there exists a nonzero integer $a$ such that $I := a\mathfrak{M}$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. By
Theorem 5.2, there exists \( X \in V_P \), such that \([I] = [I_X]\). Therefore \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{I}_X \), and hence \( \mathcal{M} \) is associated to a unique orbit \( \mathcal{I}_X \), and \( \Omega(\mathcal{M}) = \Omega(I_X) \). This proves the one-to-one correspondence.

Now the second statement follows from the class number theorem for orders. \( \square \)

5.2. **Compactness and volume of** \( H/(H \cap \Gamma) \). Fix \( X_0 \in V_P(\mathbb{Z}) \) and let the notation be as before. Put

\[
Z_{X_0} = \{ Y \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) : YX_0 = X_0Y \}.
\]

Since \( X_0 \in M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \), we have that \( Z_{X_0} \) is the real vector space defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \). That is, \( Z_{X_0} \) is the real span of \( Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) := Z_{X_0} \cap M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \), and \( Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R} = Z_{X_0} \).

Let \( \omega = \{(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]^n, \omega \neq 0 \} \) be such that \( X_0\omega = \alpha\omega \). Since all eigenvalues of \( X_0 \) are distinct, there exists an \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra homomorphism \( \lambda : Z_{X_0} \to \mathbb{C} \) given by \( Y \mapsto \lambda Y \), such that \( Y\omega = \lambda Y\omega \). Now if \( Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \) then \( \lambda Y \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \).

Let \( I_{X_0} = Z\omega_1 + \ldots + Z\omega_n \). Then \( I_{X_0} \) is an ideal of \( \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \), and hence \( I_{X_0} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \). Therefore \( \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\} \) are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Hence if \( Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( Y\omega = 0 \), then \( Y = 0 \). Thus

\[
\ker \lambda \cap Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) = 0.
\]

Let \( Y_\beta \) denote the matrix of the multiplication by \( \beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) on the \( \mathbb{Q} \)-vector space \( I_{X_0} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q} \), with respect to the basis \( \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\} \). The map \( \beta \mapsto Y_\beta \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra homomorphism of \( \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) into \( M_n(\mathbb{Q}) \). Since \( Y_\alpha = X_0 \), \( Y_\beta \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \). Also \( \lambda Y_\beta = \beta \). Hence \( \lambda : Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra isomorphism. In particular,

\[
Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[X_0] \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{X_0} = \mathbb{R}[X_0].
\]

Note that for \( Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}), \lambda Y I_{X_0} \subset I_{X_0} \Leftrightarrow Y \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \). Therefore

\[
(51) \quad Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Z}) := Z_{X_0} \cap M_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \{ Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) : \lambda Y \in \Omega(X_0) \},
\]

where \( \Omega(X_0) \) denotes the order of \( I_{X_0} \) (see (50)).

Recall the Notation 3.1. Define \( \sigma_i(\omega) := \{\sigma_i(\omega_1), \ldots, \sigma_i(\omega_n)\} \). Then

\[
g_1 = (\sigma_1(\omega), \ldots, \sigma_n(\omega)) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).
\]

Then

\[
g_1^{-1}X_0g_1 = \text{diag}(\sigma_1(\alpha), \ldots, \sigma_n(\alpha)),
\]

and all the entries of this diagonal matrix are distinct. Therefore \( g_1^{-1}Z_{X_0}g_1 \) is a diagonal matrix. We define functions \( D_i \) on \( Z_{X_0} \) by

\[
g_1^{-1}Yg_1 = \text{diag}(D_1(Y), \ldots, D_n(Y)).
\]

Since \( Z_{X_0} = \mathbb{R}[X_0] \), and the \( D_i \)'s are \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra homomorphisms, we have \( D_i(Z_{X_0}) \subset \mathbb{R} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r_1 \), and by (51),

\[
D_{r_1+r_2+i}(Y) = \bar{D}_{r_1+i}(Y), \quad (1 \leq i \leq r_2).
\]
Therefore

\begin{equation}
\det(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |D_i(Y)| = \prod_{i=1}^{r_1+r_2} |D_i(Y)|^{\nu_i}, \quad \forall Y \in Z_{X_0},
\end{equation}

where \(\nu_k = 1\) if \(1 \leq k \leq r_1\), and \(\nu_k = 2\) if \(r_1 < k \leq i_2\). Since \(D_i(Y) = \sigma(\lambda_Y)\), \(\forall Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q})\), we have

\[\det(Y) = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}(\lambda_Y), \quad \forall Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}).\]

Therefore by \((51)\)

\begin{equation}
H = \{ Y \in Z_{X_0} : |\det(Y)| = 1 \}
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
H(\mathbb{Z}) &= H \cap Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Z}) \\
&= \{ Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) : \lambda_Y, \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q})| = 1, \mathfrak{D}(X_0) \}
&= \{ Y \in Z_{X_0}(\mathbb{Q}) : \lambda_Y \in \mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times \}
&\cong \mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times;
\end{align*}

here \(\mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times\) denotes the multiplicative group of the order \(\mathfrak{D}(X_0)\) which is same as the multiplicative group of unit norm elements in \(\mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times\).

5.2.1. Dirichlet’s Unit theorem and Compactness of \(H/H(\mathbb{Z})\).

\textbf{Theorem 5.4.} \(H/H(\mathbb{Z})\) is compact.

\textbf{Proof.} Define \(l : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}\) as

\[l(h) = (\nu_1 \log |D_1(h)|, \ldots, \nu_{r_1+r_2} \log |D_{r_1+r_2}(h)|), \quad \forall h \in H,
\]

where \(\nu_i = 1\) if \(i \leq r_1\), and \(\nu_i = 2\) if \(i > r_1\).

Let

\[E = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{r_1+r_2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} : x_1 + \cdots + x_{r_1+r_2} = 0\}.
\]

Then, by \((53)\) and \((52)\), \(l : H \rightarrow E\) is a surjective homomorphism.

By \((20)\) \(H_1 = \Sigma \cdot \mathcal{K}_1 \cdot C\) is a direct product decomposition; let \(p : H_1 \rightarrow C\) denote the associated projection. We define \(l_1 : C \rightarrow E\) by

\[l_1(c) = (\log c_1, \ldots, \log c_{r_1+r_2}), \quad \text{(see (18))}
\]

and extend it to \(H_1\) by \(l_1(h) = l_1(p(h)), \forall h \in H_1\).

We note that \(l_1(g_0 h g_0^{-1}) = l(h)\) for all \(h \in H\). Therefore

\[\ker l = g_0^{-1}(\ker l_1) g_0 = g_0^{-1} \Sigma K_1 g_0.
\]

Hence \(\ker(l)\) is compact.

We define \(\ell : \mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times \rightarrow E\), by

\begin{equation}
\ell(\lambda) = (\nu_1 \log |\sigma_1(\lambda)|, \ldots, \nu_{r_1+r_2} \log |\sigma_{r_1+r_2}(\lambda)|), \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times.
\end{equation}

Clearly, \(l(Y) = \ell(\lambda_Y)\) for all \(Y \in H(\mathbb{Z})\). By Dirichlet unit theorem \([K, \text{Theorem 1.13}]\), \(\ell(\mathfrak{D}(X_0)^\times)\) is a lattice in \(E\). Therefore \(l(H)/l(H(\mathbb{Z}))\) is compact. Since \(\ker(l)\) is compact, this completes the proof. \(\square\)
5.2.2. **Computation of \( \nu(H/H(Z)) \).** Let \( pr : E \to \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2-1} \) be the projection on the first \( r_1 + r_2 - 1 \) coordinate space. We choose a measure \( m \) on \( E \) such that its image under \( pr \) is the standard Lebesgue measure on \( \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \). Let \( \tilde{m} \) denote the associated measure on \( E/\ell(\mathfrak{O}(X_0)^\times) \). We note that \( l_1 : C \to E \) preserves the choices of the Haar integrals \( dc \) and \( dm \).

Let \( \tilde{K}_1 = \Sigma K_1 \). In view of (13) and (27), let \( \tilde{\theta} \) be the Haar measure on \( \tilde{K}_1 \) such that

\[
\tilde{\theta}(\tilde{K}_1) = \#(\Sigma)\theta(K_1) = 2^n.
\]

Then by (29), \( q : \tilde{K}_1 \backslash H \to C \), defined as \( \tilde{K}_1 h = p(h) \), is an isomorphism and it preserves the chosen associated measures on both sides.

Therefore \( l_1 \circ q : \tilde{K}_1 \backslash H_1 \to E \) is a group isomorphism and preserves the chosen Haar measures on both sides. Note that \( H \cap \Gamma = H(Z) \), and

\[
l_1(H_1 \cap \Gamma_1) = l(H \cap \Gamma) = l(H(Z)) = \ell(\mathfrak{O}(X_0)^\times).
\]

Therefore we have an isomorphism,

\[
\tilde{K}_1 \backslash H_1/(H_1 \cap \Gamma_1) \cong E/\ell(\mathfrak{O}(X_0)^\times)
\]

preserving the invariant measures on both sides. Now by Theorem [3], (stated and proved in Appendix B),

\[
\nu_1(H_1/(H_1 \cap \Gamma_1)) = \frac{\tilde{\theta}(\tilde{K}_1)}{\#(\tilde{K}_1 \backslash (H_1 \cap \Gamma_1))} \cdot \tilde{m}(E/\ell(\mathfrak{O}(X_0)^\times)).
\]

By the Dirichlet’s unit theorem, let \( \{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{r_1+r_2-1}\} \) be a set of generators of \( \mathfrak{O}^\times \) modulo the group of roots of unity. Then

\[
\ell(\mathfrak{O}(X_0)^\times) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r_1+r_2-1} \mathbb{Z}[\epsilon_j].
\]

Hence, by (24),

\[
\tilde{m}(E/\ell(\mathfrak{O}(X_0)^\times)) = |\det\left( (\nu_i \log \sigma_i(\epsilon_j))_{i,j=1}^{r_1+r_2-1} \right)| =: R_{\mathfrak{O}(X_0)},
\]

which is called the regulator of the order \( \mathfrak{O}(X_0) \) (see [3], Sect. 1.3).

We note that \( g_0^{-1}(\tilde{K}_1 \backslash (H_1 \cap \Gamma_1))g_0 = \ker(l) \cap H(Z) \cong \ker(\ell) \), which is the group of roots of unity in \( \mathfrak{O}(X_0) \), and its order is denoted by \( w_{\mathfrak{O}(X_0)} \).

Therefore,

\[
\#(\tilde{K}_1 \backslash (H_1 \cap \Gamma_1)) = w_{\mathfrak{O}(X_0)}.
\]

Now from (55)–(57) we obtain the following:

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( \mathfrak{O}(X_0) \) be the order of the ideal \( I_{X_0} \) of \( \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \) which is associated to \( X_0 \) as in Theorem 5.3. Then

\[
\nu(H/H \cap \Gamma) = \nu_1(H_1/H_1 \cap \Gamma_1) = 2^n R_{\mathfrak{O}(X_0)}/w_{\mathfrak{O}(X_0)}.
\]

5.3. **Volume of \( G/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \).** The volume of \( G/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) was computed by C.L. Siegel. To use that computation here we need to compare the Haar measure on \( G \) chosen for Siegel’s computation with the one chosen in (23). Instead it will be more convenient for us to use the volume computations as in (1), Section 4.4.4, which is also uses Siegel’s formula.
The space $\mathcal{P}_n$ of positive $n \times n$ matrices. Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the space of $n \times n$ real positive symmetric matrices. Then $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{P}_n$ by

$$(g,Y) \mapsto t^gYg, \quad \forall (g,Y) \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{P}_n.$$  

We consider a $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$-invariant measure $\mu_n$ on $\mathcal{P}_n$ defined as follows: If we write $Y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ as $Y = (y_{ij}), \ y_{ij} = y_{ji}, \ y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$d\mu_n(Y) = |\det(Y)|^{-(n+1)/2} \prod_{i \leq j} dy_{ij}.$$  

Let $SP_n = \{Y \in \mathcal{P}_n : \det(Y) = 1\}$. Then $G$ acts transitively on $SP_n$, and preserves the invariant integral $dW$ on $SP_n$ which is defined as follows: If we write $Y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ as $Y = t^{1/n}W, \ (t > 0, \ W \in SP_n)$, then

$$(58) \quad d\mu_n(Y) = (dt/t)dW.$$  

Volume of Minkowski fundamental domain. Let $SM_n$ denote the Minkowski fundamental domain for the action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on $SP_n$. We have chosen $d\mu_n$, and $dW$ such that by [T, Section 4.4.4, Theorem 4, pp. 168], which uses Siegel’s method, we have the following:

$$(59) \quad \text{Vol}(SM_n) := \int_{SM_n} 1 \ dW = \prod_{k=2}^{n} \pi^{-k/2} \Gamma(k/2) \zeta(k).$$  

Comparing volume forms. Now we want to compare the volume forms $dnda$ on $O(n) \setminus G$ and $dW$ on $SP_n$ with respect to the map $O(n)g \mapsto t^gg$.

Put $D = \{b = \text{diag}(b_1, \ldots, b_n) : b_i > 0\}$. Choose the Haar integral $db = \prod_{i=1}^{n} db_i/b_i$ on $D$. Then

$$(60) \quad db = (dt/t) \ da, \quad \text{where } b = t^{1/n}a, \ t > 0, \ a \in A.$$  

By direct computation of the Jacobian of the map

$$(n,b) \mapsto Y := t(nb)(nb)$$  

from $N \times D \to \mathcal{P}_n$, one has ([T, Sec.4.1, Ex.24, pp.23])

$$(61) \quad d\mu_n(Y) = 2^n dndb.$$  

By (58), (60) and (61), for $n \in N$ and $a \in A$, we have

$$(62) \quad dW = 2^{n-1} dnda, \quad \text{where } W = t(na)(na).$$  

If $d(\bar{g})$ denotes the Haar integral on $O(n) \setminus G \cong AN$ associated to the Haar integrals $dg$ and $dk$, then by (25),

$$(63) \quad d\bar{g} = dnda, \quad \text{where } \bar{g} = O(n)na, \ n \in N, \ a \in A.$$  

Now for any $f \in C_c(SP_n)$, by (52) and (53), we have

$$(64) \quad \int_{SP_n} f(W) \ dW = 2^{n-1} \int_{O(n) \setminus G} f(t^gg) \ d\bar{g}.$$
Relating \(\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}M_n)\) and \(\text{Vol}(G/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}))\). By (54), the map \(O(n)g \mapsto t_{gg}\) from \(O(n)\) to \(SP_n\) is a right \(G\)-equivariant diffeomorphism, and it preserves the invariant integrals \(2^{n-1}dg\) and \(dW\). We also note that \(O(n)\) is connected, and \(Z(G)\) is the largest normal subgroup of \(G\) contained in \(K\). Therefore by Theorem 3.1 (stated and proved in Appendix 3),

\[
2^{n-1} \mu(G/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})) = \frac{\text{Vol}(O(n))}{\#(Z(G) \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}))} \cdot \text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}M_n).
\]

By (24), \(\text{Vol}(O(n)) = 2\), and \(\#(Z(G) \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})) = 2\). Also \(\Gamma = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\).

Thus by (59), we have the following:

**Theorem 5.6.**

\[
\mu(G/\Gamma) = 2^{-(n-1)} \prod_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\pi(k/2)\Gamma(k/2)\zeta(k)}{\nu(G \cap \Gamma)}.
\]

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 5.1.** By Proposition 5.3, there exists a finite set \(\mathcal{F} \subset V_P(\mathbb{Z})\), such that \(V_P(\mathbb{Z})\) is a disjoint union of the orbits \(\Gamma X_0, X_0 \in \mathcal{F}\).

By Theorem 2.2, (1), and (4),

\[
C_P = \sum_{X_0 \in \mathcal{F}} C_{X_0}.
\]

By Theorem 2.4,

\[
C_{X_0} = c_\eta \cdot \frac{\mu(H/H \cap \Gamma)}{\nu(G \cap \Gamma)}.
\]

Let \(\mathcal{O}(X_0)\) denote the order in \(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\) associated to the \(\Gamma\)-orbit \(\Gamma X_0\) as in Proposition 5.3. Then by (43), Theorem 5.3, and Theorem 5.6,

\[
C_{X_0} = \frac{(2\pi)^{r_2} \text{Vol}(B^{n(n-1)/2})}{2^{n-1} \sqrt{D_{\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}}} \cdot \frac{2^{r_1} R_{\mathcal{O}(X_0)} / w_{\mathcal{O}(X_0)}}{2^{-(n-1)} \prod_{k=2}^{n} \pi(k/2)\Gamma(k/2)\zeta(k)}
\]

\[
= \frac{(2\pi)^{r_2} 2^{r_1} R_{\mathcal{O}(X_0)}}{w_{\mathcal{O}(X_0)} \sqrt{D_{\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}}} \cdot \frac{\text{Vol}(B^{n(n-1)/2})}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}M_n)}.
\]

This shows that \(C_{X_0}\) depends only on \(\mathcal{O}(X_0)\). We recall that \(\mathcal{O}(X_0) \supset Z[\alpha]\). By Proposition 5.3, for each order \(\mathcal{O}\) in \(K\) containing \(Z[\alpha]\), there exist exactly \(h_{\mathcal{O}}\) number of \(X_0 \in \mathcal{F}\), such that \(\mathcal{O}(X_0) = \mathcal{O}\). Therefore

\[
C_P = \sum_{\mathcal{O} \supset Z[\alpha]} \frac{(2\pi)^{r_2} 2^{r_1} h_{\mathcal{O}} R_{\mathcal{O}}}{w_{\mathcal{O}} \sqrt{D_{\mathcal{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}}} \cdot \frac{\text{Vol}(B^{n(n-1)/2})}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{S}M_n)}.
\]

\(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** By our hypothesis \(Z[\alpha]\) is the integral closure of \(Z\) in \(K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\), and hence \(Z[\alpha]\) is the maximal order \(\mathcal{O}_K\) in \(K\). Now the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 5.1. \(\square\)
Appendix A. Decompositions of Haar integrals on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$

Let

\[
\begin{align*}
    h(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\
    a(\lambda) &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda > 0. \\
    k(\theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi\theta) & -\sin(2\pi\theta) \\ \sin(2\pi\theta) & \cos(2\pi\theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.
\end{align*}
\]

First will compare the decompositions of Haar integrals on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition and the Cartan decomposition.

**Proposition A.1.** For any $f \in C_c(SL_2(\mathbb{R}))$,

\[
(65) \quad \int_{(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}} f(k(\theta_1)h(t)a(\lambda)) \ d\theta_1 \ dt \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = (\pi/2) \int_{(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times A \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})} f(k(\theta_2)a(\alpha)k(\theta)) |\alpha^2 - \alpha^{-2}| \ d\theta_2 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \ d\theta.
\]

*Proof.* Suppose $g = k(\theta_1)h(t)a(\lambda) = k(\theta_2)a(\alpha)k(\theta)$. Then

\[
(66) \quad t^g = a(\lambda)^t h(t)h(t)a(\lambda) = k(-\theta)a(\alpha^2)k(\theta).
\]

Substituting $\beta := \alpha^2$, $\mu := \lambda^2$, and $\phi = 2\pi\theta$, from (66) we get,

\[
\begin{align*}
    \mu &= (1/2)(\beta + \beta^{-1}) + (1/2)(\beta - \beta^{-1})\cos(2\phi) \\
    t &= -(1/2)(\beta - \beta^{-1})\sin(2\phi).
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore

\[
|\partial(\mu, t)/\partial(\beta, \phi)| = \frac{|\beta - \beta^{-1}|}{\beta}\mu.
\]

Hence

\[
(68) \quad |\partial(\lambda, t)/\partial(\alpha, \theta)| = 2\pi \frac{|\alpha^2 - \alpha^{-2}|}{\alpha} \lambda.
\]

Then by (66) and (67) the map

\[
(69) \quad (\theta_2, \alpha, \theta) \mapsto (\theta_1, t, \lambda),
\]

is surjective if $0 \leq \theta < 1/2$, and $\alpha \geq 1$, and it is injective if $0 \leq \theta < 1/2$ and $\alpha > 1$. Therefore the map (69) is a differentiable, surjective, its degree at regular points is 4, and its Jacobian is given by (68). This gives (65). \qed

Next, we will show that $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = SO(2)h(\mathbb{R})SO(2)$, and express the Haar integral on on $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to this decomposition.
Proposition A.2. For any \( f \in C_c(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} f(k(\phi')h(t)k(\phi)) \, d\phi' \, dt^2 \, d\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} f(k(\theta')a(\alpha)k(\theta)) |\alpha^2 - \alpha^{-2}| \, d\theta' \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \, d\theta.
\]

Proof. If we write \( g = k(\phi')h(t)k(\phi) = k(\theta')a(\alpha)k(\theta) \), then
\[
t_{gg} = k(\phi')h(t)h(t)k(\phi) = k(\theta)a(\alpha^2)k(\theta).
\]
Therefore,
\[
\text{trace}(t_{gg}) = 1 + t^2 = \alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2}.
\]
Consider the change of variables \( s := t^2 \), and \( \beta := \alpha^2 \). Then
\[
\frac{\partial s}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\beta - \beta^{-1}}{\beta},
\]
Clearly, \( \partial \phi/\partial \theta = 1 \), and \( \partial t/\partial \theta = 0 \). Therefore
\[
|\partial(s, \phi)/\partial(\beta, \theta)| = |\beta - \beta^{-1}| \frac{1}{\beta},
\]
and hence
\[
|\partial(s, \phi)/\partial(\alpha, \theta)| = \frac{2|\alpha^2 - \alpha^{-2}|}{\alpha}.
\]
By (71) and (72), we have that the map
\[
(\theta', \alpha, \theta) \rightarrow (\phi', s, \phi)
\]
is surjective if \( \alpha \geq 1 \), and it is one-one if \( \alpha > 1 \). Therefore the map is a differentiable, surjective, its degree at regular points is 2, and its Jacobian is given by (73). This gives (70). \( \square \)

From Proposition A.1 and Proposition A.2, we obtain the following: Proposition A.3. For any \( f \in C_c(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \),
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} f(k(\theta)h(s)a(\lambda)) \, d\theta \, ds \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = (\pi/2) \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} f(k(\phi')h(t)k(\phi)) \, d\phi' \, dt^2 \, d\phi.
\]

Appendix B. A Lemma on volume of two sided quotients

Let \( G \) be a Lie group and \( \Gamma \) a lattice in \( G \). Assume that we are given a Haar measure on \( G \), and we want to find the volume of \( G/\Gamma \). In many cases one can find a compact subgroup \( K \) of \( G \) such that \( E = K \setminus G \) is diffeomorphic to a Euclidean space, and construct a fundamental domain, say \( \mathfrak{F} \), for the right \( \Gamma \)-action on \( E \). The following result expresses the volume of \( G/\Gamma \) in terms of the volume of \( \mathfrak{F} \).
Theorem B.1. Let $G$ be a Lie group and $K$ be a compact subgroup of $G$ such that $K \backslash G$ is connected. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $G$. Let $\bar{\mu}$ (resp. $\nu$) be a Haar measures on $G$ (resp. $K$). Let $\eta$ (resp. $\mu$) be the corresponding $G$-invariant measure on $K \backslash G$ (resp. $G/\Gamma$). Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a measurable fundamental domain for the right $\Gamma$-action on $K \backslash G$; in other words, $\mathfrak{F}$ is measurable and it is the image of a measurable section of the canonical quotient map $K \backslash G \to K \backslash G/\Gamma$. Then

$$\mu(G/\Gamma) = \frac{\nu(K)}{\#(K_0 \cap \Gamma)} \cdot \eta(\mathfrak{F}),$$

where $K_0$ is the largest normal subgroup of $G$ contained in $K$.

To prove this result, we need the following two observations.

Lemma B.2. For $\gamma \in G$, put

$$X_\gamma = \{ \omega \in G : \omega \gamma \omega^{-1} \in K \}.$$ 

Then either $X_\gamma$ is a finite union of strictly lower dimensional analytic subvarieties of $G$, or $\gamma \in K_0$.

Proof. Because the map $\omega \mapsto \omega \gamma \omega^{-1}$ on $G$ is an analytic map, and $K$ is a Lie subgroup of $G$, we have that $X_\gamma$ is a finite union of analytic subvarieties of $G$. Therefore either $X_\gamma$ is strictly lower dimensional, or $X_\gamma = G^0$. In the latter case, since $KX_\gamma = X_\gamma$ and $KG^0 = G$, we have $X_\gamma = G$.

Put $K' = \{ \gamma \in G : X_\gamma = G \}$. Then $K'$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, and $K' \subset K$. Hence $K' \subset K_0$. This completes the proof. \hfill $\Box$

Lemma B.3. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete subgroup of $G$. Define

$$K(g) = K \cap g \Gamma g^{-1} \text{ and } f(g) = \#(K(g)), \; \forall g \in G.$$ 

Then for $\bar{\mu}$-a.e. $g \in G$, we have

$$K(g) = g(K_0 \cap \Gamma)g^{-1} \text{ and } f(g) = \#(K_0 \cap \Gamma).$$

Proof. We put $n_0 = \#(K_0 \cap \Gamma)$. Since $K_0$ is normal in $G$ and $K_0 \subset K$,

$$K(g) = K \cap g \Gamma g^{-1} = g(K_0 \cap \Gamma)g^{-1}, \; \forall g \in G.$$

Take any $g \in G$. Since $K$ is compact and $\Gamma$ is discrete, there exists an open neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $e$ in $G$ such that

$$\Omega K \Omega^{-1} \cap g \Gamma g^{-1} = K \cap g \Gamma g^{-1}.$$ 

Therefore

$$K(\omega g) = \omega(\omega^{-1} K \omega \cap g \Gamma g^{-1}) \omega^{-1} \subset \omega K(g) \omega^{-1}, \; \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$ 

First suppose, $f(g) \leq n_0$. Then by (73) $n = n_0$, and by (77),

$$K(\omega g) = \omega K(g) \omega^{-1} = \omega g(K_0 \cap \Gamma)g^{-1} \omega^{-1}, \; \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$ 

In particular, $f(\omega g) = n_0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. 


Now suppose $f(g) > n_0$. Then by (74)

$$\Omega g \cap f^{-1}(f(g)) = \{ \omega g \in \Omega g : K(\omega g) = \omega g(g^{-1}Kg \cap \Gamma)g^{-1}\omega^{-1} \} \subset \cap_{\gamma \in g^{-1}Kg \cap \Gamma} X_\gamma.$$

Now, by Lemma 3.2, either there exists $\gamma \in g^{-1}Kg \cap \Gamma$ such that $X_\gamma$ is a finite union of strictly lower dimensional analytic subvarieties of $G$, or $g^{-1}Kg \cap \Gamma \subset K_0$. In the latter case, by (76), $K(g) = g(K_0 \cap \Gamma)g^{-1}$, and hence $f(g) = n_0$, which is a contradiction.

Thus we have shown that (i) for all $g \in f^{-1}(n_0)$, (73) holds; and (ii) $\cup_{n \neq n_0} f^{-1}(n)$ is contained in a countable union of strictly lower dimensional analytic subvarieties of $G$, and hence $\mu(\cup_{n \neq n_0} f^{-1}(n)) = 0$. This completes the proof. \qed

**Proof of Theorem B.1.** Consider the map $\psi : G/\Gamma \rightarrow K \setminus G/\Gamma$. For any $g \in G$ and $x = g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma$, we have

$$\psi^{-1}(Kg\Gamma) = Kx \cong K/K \cap (g\Gamma g^{-1}) = K/K(g).$$

Since $K(kg) = K(g), \forall k \in K$, we can define $f(Kg) := f(g), \forall g \in G$. Now by Fubini’s theorem,

$$\mu(G/\Gamma) = \int_{Kg \in \mathbb{R}} \nu(K)/f(Kg) \, d\eta(Kg).$$

By Lemma 3.3, $f(g) = \#(K_0 \cap \Gamma)$ for $\mu$–a.e. $g \in G$. Hence $f(Kg) = \#(K_0 \cap \Gamma)$ for $\eta$–a.e. $Kg \in K \setminus G$. Now (74) follows from (78). \qed
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