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Abstract
Extending earlier results for analytic curve segments, in this article we describe the asymptotic behavior of evolution of a finite segment of a $C^n$-smooth curve under the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of a hyperbolic $n$-manifold of finite volume. In particular, we show that if the curve satisfies certain natural geometric conditions, then the pushforward of the parameter measure on the curve under the geodesic flow converges to the normalized canonical Riemannian measure on the tangent bundle in the limit. We also study the limits of geodesic evolution of shrinking segments.

We use Ratner’s classification of ergodic invariant measures for unipotent flows on homogeneous spaces of $\text{SO}(n, 1)$ and an observation relating local growth properties of smooth curves and dynamics of linear $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$-actions.

1. Introduction
Let $M$ be a hyperbolic $n$-dimensional manifold of finite volume, let $p : T^1(M) \to M$ be the unit tangent bundle over $M$, and let $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denote the geodesic flow on $T^1(M)$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{H}^n \to M$ be a locally isometric universal cover of $M$, and let $D\pi : T^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \to T^1(M)$ be the corresponding covering map. If $\{\tilde{g}_t\}$ denotes the geodesic flow on $T^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, then $p(\tilde{g}_t(v)) \to \text{Vis}(v)$ for all $v \in T^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$, where $\text{Vis} : T^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \to \partial \mathbb{H}^n \cong S^{n-1}$ denotes the visual map. We define

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\partial \mathbb{H}^m \subset S^{n-1} : \mathbb{H}^m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^n \text{ is an isometric embedding}
\text{ such that } \pi(\mathbb{H}^m) \text{ is closed in } M, \text{ where } 2 \leq m \leq n - 1\}.$$ (1)

Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a countable collection of proper closed subspheres of $S^{n-1}$ (see [11], [12, Lemma 5.2]).

Let $I$ be a compact interval with nonempty interior. Let $\psi : I \to T^1(M)$ be a continuous map with the following property: if $\tilde{\psi} : I \to T^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is any continuous
lift of $\psi$ under $D\pi$, then

(a) $\operatorname{Vis} \circ \tilde{\psi} \in C^n(I, S^{n-1})$;
(b) the first derivative $(\operatorname{Vis} \circ \tilde{\psi})^{(1)}(s) \neq 0$ for Lebesgue a.e. $s \in I$; and
(c) for any $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $\operatorname{Vis}(\tilde{\psi}(s)) \not\in S$ for Lebesgue a.e. $s \in I$.

**Theorem 1.1**

Let the notation be as above. Then for any $f \in C_c(T^1(M))$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(g_t \psi(s)) \, ds \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \int_{T^1(M)} f \, d\mu,
$$

where $|I|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $I$ and where $\mu$ denotes the normalized measure associated to the canonical Riemannian volume form on $T^1(M)$.

For the motivation behind this result, the reader is referred to the discussion preceding [13, Theorem 1.1], where the same conclusion is obtained for the special case of analytic curve segments $\psi$. That proof involves the use of “$(C, \alpha)$-growth properties” (in the sense of Kleinbock and Margulis [6, (3.1)]) of finite-dimensional spaces of analytic functions. As these could not be extended to smooth functions, the analogous result could not be proved by the techniques in [13] for smooth curve segments, although the conclusion could be expected to hold in that generality, as was especially emphasized to the author by Peter Sarnak in response to [13, Theorem 1.1].

In this article, we overcome this difficulty by making a new observation of a linear dynamical nature. It implies that if we approximate an arbitrarily short piece of a $C^n$-curve by a polynomial curve of degree at most $n$, then the geodesic flow expands both the approximating curves into long curves, while still keeping them sufficiently close. This observation allows us to use the growth properties of polynomial curves of bounded degrees for linearization method (see [1], [2], [7], [12]).

On the space $C^n(I, T^1(M))$, we consider the topology of uniform convergence up to $n$ derivatives. We now state a more robust form of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2**

Let the map $\psi$ be as above. Then, given $f \in C_c(T^1(M))$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $\Omega$ of $\psi$ in $C^n(I, T^1(M))$ and $T > 0$ such that

$$
\left| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(g_t \psi_1(s)) \, ds - \int_{T^1(M)} f \, d\mu \right| < \epsilon, \quad \forall \psi_1 \in \Omega, \forall t > T.
$$

It may be noted that even for analytic maps, the above uniform version could not be proved in [13] as we do not have $(C, \alpha)$-growth property for the linear span of a neighborhood of an analytic function.
1.1. Evolution of general $C^n$-curves

Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the collection of all closed totally geodesic immersed hyperbolic submanifolds of $M$ (including $M$ itself). Given $M_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\mathcal{S}(M_1) \subset \mathcal{S} \cup \{S^n \setminus \} be the collection of the boundaries of all possible lifts of $M_1$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$.

Let $\tilde{\psi} \in C^n(I, \mathbb{H}^n)$, and let $\psi = D\pi \circ \tilde{\psi}$. We define

$$I_\psi(M_1) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{S}(M_1)} \{ s \in I : \text{Vis}(\tilde{\psi}(s)) \in S \setminus \bigcup_{S \subset S, S \in \mathcal{S}} \bigcup_{S} \}. $$ (4)

In particular,

$$I_\psi(M) = \{ s \in I : \text{Vis}(\tilde{\psi}(s)) \not\in S, S \in \mathcal{S} \}. $$

**Theorem 1.3**

Suppose that $(\text{Vis} \circ \tilde{\psi})^1(s) \neq 0$ for almost all $s \in I$. Then, given any $f \in C_c(T^1(M))$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_I f(a_t \psi(s)) \, ds = \sum_{M_1 \in \mathcal{S}} |I_\psi(M_1)| \int_{T^1(M)} f \, d\mu_{M_1}, $$ (5)

where $\mu_{M_1}$ is the normalized measure associated to the canonical volume form on $T^1(M_1)$.

To prove this, we describe limiting distributions of the evolution of shrinking curves under the geodesic flow (see Section 7).

1.2. Flows on homogeneous spaces

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 are derived from their analogues in terms of the dynamics of flows on homogeneous space of Lie groups.

Let $G = \text{SO}(n, 1) = \text{SO}(Q_n)$, where $Q_n$ is a quadratic form in $(n + 1)$ real variables, defined as

$$Q_n(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 2x_0x_n - (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{n-1}^2). $$ (6)

Let $\Gamma$ be a lattice in $G$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we define

$$a_t = \begin{bmatrix} e^t & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & e^{-t} \end{bmatrix} \in G \quad \text{and} \quad u(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} & \|x\|^2/2 \\ x_1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & x_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & x_{n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in G. $$ (7)

**Theorem 1.4**

Let $I$ be a compact interval with nonempty interior, and let $\varphi : I \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a $C^n$-map such that $\varphi^{(1)}(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in I$ and for any sphere or a proper affine
subspace $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\left| \left\{ s \in I : \varphi(s) \in S \right\} \right| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Let $\varphi_k \to \varphi$ be a convergent sequence in $C^n(I, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, let $x_k \to x_0$ be a convergent sequence in $G/\Gamma$, and let $t_k \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then for any $f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(a_h \varphi_k(s) x_k) \, ds = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu_G,$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $\mu_G$ is the unique $G$-invariant probability measure on $G/\Gamma$.

In fact, we obtain the following more general version. Let $P^{-} = \{ g \in G : \{ t \, g a_t^{-1} : t > 0 \} \text{ is compact} \}$. Then $P^{-}$ is a proper parabolic subgroup of $G$, and $P^{-}\backslash G$ naturally identifies with $\text{SO}(n-1) \backslash \text{SO}(n) \cong \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Let $\mathcal{I} : G \to P^{-}\backslash G \cong \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be the corresponding map. We note that under this identification, $G$ acts on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ by conformal transformations. For $m \geq 2$ and for $g \in G$, $\mathcal{I}(\text{SO}(m, 1)g)$ is a subsphere of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of dimension $m-1$. We note that $\mathcal{I}(N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))) = \mathcal{I}(\text{SO}(m, 1))$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \mathcal{I}(\text{SO}(m, 1)g) : N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))g \Gamma \text{ is closed}, \ 2 \leq m \leq n-1, \ g \in G \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a countable collection of proper subspheres of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ (see [12, Section (5.2)], [10, Corollary A]). It can be shown that

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \mathcal{I}(Fg) : N_G(F)g \Gamma \text{ is closed}, \ F \text{ semisimple}, \ A \subset F \neq G \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

**THEOREM 1.5**

Let $\psi \in C(I, G)$ be such that $\mathcal{I} \circ \psi \in C^n(I, \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, and we have

$$\left| \left\{ s \in I : (\mathcal{I} \circ \psi)^{(1)}(s) = 0 \right\} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \left\{ s \in I : \mathcal{I} \circ \psi(s) \in \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} S \right\} \right| = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Then, given a sequence $\{ \psi_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C(I, G)$ such that

$$\mathcal{I} \circ \psi_k \to \mathcal{I} \circ \psi \quad \text{in} \ C^n(I, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

and sequences $t_k \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $x_k \to x_0 = e \Gamma$ in $G/\Gamma$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(a_h \psi_k(s) x_k) \, ds = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu_G, \quad \forall f \in C_c(G/\Gamma).$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

From this statement, we obtain the following uniform version. Let $\ell_h : G \to G$ denote the left multiplication by $h \in G$.
THEOREM 1.6
Let \( \psi : I \to G \) be a \( C^n \)-map such that \((I \circ \ell_h \circ \psi)(s) \neq 0\) for Lebesgue a.e. \( s \in I \), and for every \( h \in G \),
\[
\left\{ s \in I : (I \circ \ell_h \circ \psi)(s) \in S \right\} = \emptyset, \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{S}.
\] (15)

Then, given \( f \in C_c(G/\Gamma) \), a compact set \( K \subset G/\Gamma \), and \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exist a neighborhood \( \Omega \) of \( \psi \) in \( C^n(I, G) \) and a compact set \( C \) in \( G \) such that
\[
\left| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(g\psi_1(s)x) \, ds - \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu_G \right| < \epsilon, \quad \forall \psi_1 \in \Omega, x \in K, g \in G \setminus C.
\]

2. Linear dynamics and growth properties of functions
Let \( V = \bigoplus_{d=1}^{\dim g} g \wedge \wedge g \), and consider the \( \bigoplus_{d=1}^{\dim g} \wedge \wedge \text{Ad} \) representation of \( G \) on \( V \). For \( \mu \in \mathbb{R} \), we define
\[
V_{\mu} = \{ v \in V : a_t v = e^{\mu t} v, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \}.
\] (16)

Fix an inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) on \( V \) such that the \( V_{\mu} \)'s are orthogonal. Let \( \| \cdot \| \) denote the associated norm.

If \( \{ x_1, \ldots, x_{\dim g} \} \) is a basis of \( g \) consisting of eigenvectors of \( \{ a_t \} \), then there is a basis of \( V_{\mu} \) consisting of elements of the form \( x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_d} \). The eigenvalues of \( a_t \) on \( g \) other than 1 are \( e^t \) with multiplicity \( n-1 \) and \( e^{-t} \) with multiplicity \( n-1 \). Therefore, for \( t > 0 \), the smallest eigenvalue of \( a_t \) on \( V \) is \( e^{-(n-1)t} \) and the largest one is \( e^{(n-1)t} \). Therefore,
\[
V = \bigoplus_{\mu = -(n-1)}^{n-1} V_{\mu}.
\] (17)

Let \( q_{\mu} : V \to V_{\mu} \) be the projection associated to this decomposition.

Notation 2.1
Let \( I = [a, b] \). Let \( \varphi_k \to \varphi \) be a convergent sequence in \( C^n(I, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \) such that
\[
\inf_{s \in I} \| \varphi^{(1)}(s) \| > 0.
\] (18)

Let \( M = Z_G(A) \cap SO(n) \). Then \( M \cong O(n-1) \) and \( Z_G(A) = AM \). We define the action of any \( z \in Z_G(A) \) on \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) by the relation \( u(z \cdot \nu) := zu(\nu)z^{-1} \) for all \( \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \). Then \( M \) acts on \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) via its identification with \( O(n-1) \), and \( a_t \cdot \nu = e^{t} \nu \).

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Basic lemma, I)
Given \( C > 0 \), there exists \( R_0 > 0 \) such that, for any sequence \( t_k \to \infty \) in \( \mathbb{R} \), there exists \( k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for any \( x \in I \) there exists an interval \([s_k, s_k'] \subset I\) containing
such that for any \( k \geq k_0 \) and for any \( v \in V \), the following conditions are satisfied:

\[
e^{\delta_k}(s'_k - s_k)^n < C, \tag{19}
\]

\[
\| a_\delta u(\varphi_k(s_k)) v \| \geq \| v \| / R_0 \quad \text{if } s_k > a, \tag{20}
\]

\[
\| a_\delta u(\varphi_k(s'_k)) v \| \geq \| v \| / R_0 \quad \text{if } s'_k < b. \tag{21}
\]

**Proof**

If for every \( R_0 > 0 \) the above conditions are not satisfied, then after passing to a subsequence, there exist sequences \( t_k \to \infty \) and \( R_k \to \infty \) in \( \mathbb{R} \), \([r_k, r'_k] \subset I \) with \( r_k \to r_0, r'_k \to r_0 \), and \( v_k \to v_0 \) in \( V \) with \( \| v_0 \| = 1 \) such that the following holds:

\[
\sup_{r_k \leq s \leq r'_k} \| a_\delta u(\varphi_k(s)) v_k \| \leq R_k^{-1}, \tag{22}
\]

\[
e^{\delta_k} \delta_k^n \geq C, \quad \text{where } \delta_k = r'_k - r_k. \tag{23}
\]

For any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( w_k = \varphi_k(r_k) v_k \), and let

\[
\varphi_{k,r_k}(s) := \varphi_k(r_k + s) - \varphi_k(r_k), \quad \forall s \in [a - r_k, b - r_k].
\]

Then

\[
\sup_{s \in [0, \delta_k]} \| a_\delta u(\varphi_{k,r_k}(s)) w_k \| \leq R_k^{-1}. \tag{24}
\]

Therefore, for any \( 0 \leq \mu \leq n - 1 \), we have

\[
\sup_{s \in [0, \delta_k]} \left\| q_\mu \left( u(\varphi_{k,r_k}(s)) w_k \right) \right\| \leq R_k^{-1} e^{-\mu \delta_k}. \tag{25}
\]

Then by (23), we get

\[
\sup_{s \in [0, \delta_k]} \left\| q_\mu \left( u(\varphi_{k,r_k}(s)) w_k \right) \right\| \leq R_k^{-1} C^{-\mu} \delta_k^n. \tag{26}
\]

Putting \( \mu = 1 \) in (26), for any \( v \in V_1 \) with \( \| v \| = 1 \), we get

\[
\sup_{s \in [0, \delta_k]} \left| \left( u(\varphi_{k,r_k}(s)) w_k \right) v \right| \leq R_k^{-1} C^{-1} \delta_k^n. \tag{27}
\]

We define

\[
\varphi_{0,r_0}(s) = \varphi(r_0 + s) - \varphi(s), \quad \forall s \in [a - r_0, b - r_0].
\]
As \( k \to \infty \), we have \( R_k^{-1} \to 0 \), \( w_k \to w_0 = u(\varphi(r_0))v_0 \), and \( \delta_k \to 0 \). Therefore by (26),
\[
q_\mu(u(\varphi_0,r_0(0))w_0) = q_\mu(w_0) = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq \mu \leq n - 1.
\] (28)

There exists an interval \( J \supset [0, \delta_k] \) for all large \( k \) such that we can define
\[
\psi_0(s) = \{u(\varphi_0,r_0(s))w_0, v\} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k(s) = \{u(\varphi_k,r_k(s))w_k, v\}, \quad \forall s \in J.
\] (29)

By (27), we have
\[
\sup_{s \in [0, \delta_k]} |\psi_k(s)| \leq C^{-1}R_k^{-1}\delta_k^n.
\] (30)

For each \( 0 \leq m \leq n \), we have \( \psi_k^{(m)} \to \psi_0^{(m)} \) as \( k \to \infty \) uniformly on \( J \). Since \( R_k^{-1} \to 0 \) and \( \delta_k \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \), using Taylor’s formula we deduce that
\[
\psi_0^{(m)}(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n,
\] (31)

and hence \( \lim_{s \to 0} \psi_0(s)/s^n = 0 \). In other words,
\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \|q_1(u(\varphi_0,r_0(s))w_0)/s^n = \lim_{s \to 0} \psi_0(s)/s^n = 0.
\] (32)

Next, using finite-dimensional representations of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \), we show that (32) leads to a contradiction.

In view of (6), let \( H = \text{SO}(Q_2) = \text{SO}(2, 1) \hookrightarrow \text{SO}(n, 1) \). Then \( H \) is generated by \( \{u(se_1)\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \), by \( A = \{a_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \), and by \( \{u(te_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \), where \( e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \). We realize \( H \) as the image of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \) under the adjoint representation on its Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( \text{diag}(e^t, e^{-t}) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \) maps to \( a_2 \in H \).

Let \( \mathcal{W} \) be a finite collection of irreducible \( H \)-submodules of \( V \) such that
\[
V = \bigoplus_{W \in \mathcal{W}} W.
\] (33)

For any \( W \in \mathcal{W} \), let \( P_W : V \to W \) denote the projection with respect to the decomposition (33). In view of Notation 2.1, for any \( s \in [a - r_0, b - r_0] \), there exists \( \theta(s) \in M \subset \text{O}(n-1) \) such that
\[
\theta(s) \cdot \varphi_0,r_0(s) = \|\varphi_0,r_0(s)\|e_1.
\] (34)

Let
\[
\mu_0 = \max \{\mu : q_\mu(w_0) \neq 0\}.
\] (35)
Then \( \mu_0 = \max\{\mu : q_\mu(zw_0) \neq 0\} \) for any \( z \in M \). Let \( W_0 \in \mathcal{W} \) be such that

\[
P_{W_0}(q_\mu(\theta(0) \cdot w_0)) \neq 0.
\]

Therefore, there exists \( a_1 < b_1 \) such that \( 0 \in [a_1, b_1] \subset [a - r_0, b - r_0] \) and

\[
\eta_0 := \inf_{s \in [a_1, b_1]} \| P_{W_0}(q_{\mu_0}(\theta(s) \cdot w_0)) \| > 0.
\]

By (17) and (28), we have \(-1 \geq \mu_0 \geq -(n - 1)\). Recall that \( \varphi_{0, r_0}(0) = 0 \), and recall that, by (18), there exists \( \rho_0 > 0 \) such that \(|\varphi^{(1)}(r)| \geq \rho_0\) for all \( r \in I \). Let \( s \in [a_1, b_1] \), and let

\[
h = \| \varphi_{0, r_0}(s) \| = \| \varphi^{(1)}(r) \| s \geq \rho_0 s \quad \text{for some } r \in [a_1, b_1].
\]

Then

\[
\theta(s)q_1(u(\varphi_{0, r_0}(s))w_0) = q_1(\theta(s)u(\varphi_{0, r_0}(s))w_0)
= q_1(u(he_1)\theta(s)w_0).
\]

By the standard description of an irreducible representation of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \), we have

\[
P_{W_0}(q_1(u(he_1)\theta(s)w_0)) = q_1(u(he_1)\theta(s)w_0)) = h^{1-\mu_0}q_{\mu_0}(P_{W_0}(\theta(s)w_0))
\]

\[
+ \sum_{\mu \leq \mu_0 - 1} h^{1-\mu}q_{\mu}(P_{W_0}(\theta(s)w_0)).
\]

Since \( P_{W_0} \) is norm decreasing and since \( \theta(s) \in O(n - 1) \), by (37) and (38) we conclude that

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \| q_1(u(\varphi_{0, r_0}(s))w_0) \| /s^{1-\mu_0} \geq \eta_0 \rho_0^{1-\mu_0} > 0.
\]

Since \( 0 < 1 - \mu_0 \leq n \), this contradicts (32).

\[ \square \]

**Notation 2.2**

For any \( x \in I = [a, b] \), we define

\[
P_{k, x}(s) = \varphi_k(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_k^{(i)}(x)s^i/i!, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

**Corollary 2.2**

Let \( R_0 > 0 \) be as in Proposition 2.1 for \( C = 1 \). Then, given a sequence \( t_k \to \infty \) and a constant \( c > 0 \), there exists \( k_1 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for any \( k \geq k_1 \) and for any \( x \in I \),
there exist $s_k, s'_k \in I$ with $x \in [s_k, s'_k]$ such that for any $v \in V$, we have

$$e^h(s'_k - s_k)^n < 1,$$

(43)

$$\|a_t u(\varphi_k(s))v - a_t u(P_{k,x}(s))v\| \leq c \|a_t u(\varphi_k(s))v\|, \quad \forall s \in [s_k, s'_k].$$

(44)

$$\|a_t u(\varphi_k(s_k))v\| \geq \|v\|/R_0 \quad \text{if } s_k > a,$$

(45)

$$\|a_t u(\varphi_k(s'_k))v\| \geq \|v\|/R_0 \quad \text{if } s'_k < b.$$  

(46)

Proof

Given a sequence $t_k \to \infty$, let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Let $x \in I$. By Proposition 2.1, for any $k \geq k_0$ there exists a subinterval $J_k = [s_k, s'_k]$ containing $x$ such that

$$|J_k|^n \leq e^{-tn},$$

(47)

and (45) and (46) hold for all $v \in V$.

For the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_V$, let $\delta > 0$ be such that

$$\|u(y_1)u(y_2)^{-1} - I\|_V \leq c, \quad \forall |y_1 - y_2| \leq \delta, \; y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$  

(48)

By (47) and equicontinuity of the family $\{\varphi_k^{(n)}\}$, there exists $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that

$$\|\varphi_k^{(n)}(x_1) - \varphi_k^{(n)}(x_2)\| \leq \delta, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in J_k, \; \forall k \geq k_1.$$  

(49)

Let $k \geq k_1$, and let $s \in J_k$. By Taylor’s formula and (49), we have

$$|\varphi_k(s) - P_{k,x}(s)| \leq \delta|J_k|^n \leq \delta e^{-tn}.$$  

(50)

By (48) and (50), for all $s \in J_k$,

$$\|
\begin{align*}
a_t u(P_{k,x}(s))v &- a_t u(\varphi_k(s))v \\
&\leq \|u(e^h(P_{k,x}(s) - \varphi_k(s))) - I\|_V \|a_t u(\varphi_k(s))v\| \\
&\quad \leq c \|a_t u(\varphi_k(s))v\|.
\end{align*}
\]

Notation 2.3

Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Then (by Notation 2.1) there exists a continuous map

$$z : I \to Z_G(A)$$

such that

$$z(s) \cdot \varphi^{(1)}(s) = e_1, \quad \forall s \in I.$$  

(51)

Let $R_1 := \sup_{s \in I} \|z(s)\|_V$. 


PROPOSITION 2.3

Let $R_0 > 0$ be as in Proposition 2.1 for $C = 1$. Let $A$ be a linear subspace of $V$, and let $C$ be a compact subset of $A$. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $D \subset A$ containing $C$ such that the following holds: given any neighborhood $\Phi$ of $D$ in $V$, there exist a neighborhood $\Psi$ of $C$ and $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $v \in V$ with

$$\|v\| \geq R_0 R_1 \sup \{\|w\| : w \in \Phi\},$$

(52)
a subinterval $J \subset I$, and any $k \geq k_2$ with $e^{-tk} < |J|^n$, if we define

$$E = \{s \in J : z(s) a_k u(\phi_k(s)) v \in \Psi\},$$

(53)
$$F = \{s \in J : z(s) a_k u(\phi_k(s)) v \in \Phi\},$$

(54)

then for any maximal interval $F_1$ contained in $F$, we have

$$|F_1 \cap E| \leq \epsilon |F_1|.$$

(55)

Note that if $A = 0$, we can choose $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ to be balls centered at zero.

Proof

There exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a polynomial map of degree at most $n$ and if $v \in V$, then $s \mapsto u(P(s))v$ is a polynomial map of degree at most $n_1$.

As in [2, Proposition 4.2], there exists a compact set $D \subset A$ containing $C$ such that, given an open neighborhood $\Phi_1$ of $D$ in $V$, there exists an open neighborhood $\Psi_1$ of $C$ in $V$ contained in $\Phi_1$ such that for any polynomial map $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \to V$ of degree at most $n_1$ and for any bounded interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, if $\zeta(J) \not\subset \Phi_1$, then

$$\left| \left\{ s \in J : \zeta(s) \in \Psi_1 \right\} \right| \leq \epsilon \left| \left\{ s \in J : \zeta(s) \in \Phi_1 \right\} \right|.\quad (56)$$

Given a bounded open neighborhood $\Phi$ of $D$ in $V$, choose an open neighborhood $\Phi_1$ of $\Phi$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $\Phi$ contains the $2\delta_1$-tubular neighborhood of $\Phi_1$. We obtain an open neighborhood $\Psi_1$ of $C$ contained in $\Phi_1$ so that (56) holds. Let $\Psi$ be a neighborhood of $C$, and let $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ be such that $\Psi$ contains the $2\delta$-tubular neighborhood of $\Psi_1$.

Let $R = \sup_{w \in \Phi} \|w\|$. For $c = \delta / (R_1 R) > 0$, let $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in the Corollary 2.2. Let $k_2 \geq k_1$ be such that

$$\|z(r) - z(r')\|_V \leq \delta / R, \quad \forall |r' - r| \leq e^{-tk}, \quad r, r' \in I, \quad k \geq k_2.\quad (57)$$

Let $J, k$, and $F_1$ be as in the statement of Proposition 2.3. To show that (55) holds, suppose that $x \in F_1 \cap E$. By Corollary 2.2 there exists $J_k = [s_k, s'_k] \subset I$ containing $x$ such that (43) – (46) hold. Then by (45) and (46), by definitions of $R_1, R$, and $\delta$, and by
(57), we have
\[ F_1 \cap E \subset \{ s \in J_k \cap F_1 : z(s_k)a_t u(P_{k,x}(s))v \in \Psi_1 \}. \]  
(58)
Since \( e^u |J| < e^u |J| \) and since \( x \in J \cap J_k \), we have \( \{ s_k, s_k' \} \cap J \setminus \{ a, b \} \neq \emptyset \). Therefore by (45) and (46), and by the maximality of the interval \( F_1 \), we have
\[ \Phi \supset a_t u(\varphi_k(J_k \cap F_1))v \not\subset \Phi. \]  
(59)
Hence by (44) and the choices of \( c \) and \( R_1 \),
\[ z(s_k)a_t u(P_{k,x}(J_k \cap F_1))v \not\subset \Phi_1. \]  
(60)
Since \( z \mapsto \zeta(s) := z(s_k)a_t u(P_{k,x}(s))v \) is a polynomial map of degree at most \( n_1 \), we apply (56) to the interval \( J_k \cap F_1 \) in place of \( J \). Then in view of (53), (58), and (60), we deduce that
\[ |F_1 \cap E| \leq \left| \{ s \in J_k \cap F_1 : z(s_k)a_t u(P_{k,x}(s))v \in \Psi_1 \} \right| \leq \epsilon |F_1|. \]  
(61)

3. Limiting measure and invariance under unipotent flow

Let \( \varphi_k \to \varphi \) be a convergent sequence in \( C^n(I, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \) as in Notation 2.1. Let \( z : I \to Z_G(A) \) be the continuous function as in Notation 2.3 such that \( z \cdot \varphi^{(1)}(s) = e_1 \) for all \( s \in I \). Let \( g_k \to g_0 \) be a convergent sequence in \( G \). Then \( x_k = g_k \Gamma \to x_0 = g_0 \Gamma \) in \( G/\Gamma \).

**Proposition 3.1**

Given that \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a compact set \( K \subset G/\Gamma \) such that for any sequence \( t_k \to \infty \), we have
\[ \frac{1}{|I|} \left| \{ s \in I : z(s)a_t u(\varphi_k(s))x_i \in K \} \right| \geq 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{for all large } k \in \mathbb{N}. \]  
(62)

**Proof**

Let \( N \) be a maximal unipotent subgroup of \( G \) such that \( N/(N \cap \Gamma) \) is compact. Let \( n \) denote the Lie algebra of \( N \). Fix \( p_N \in V \setminus \{ 0 \} \) such that \( p_N \in \bigwedge^{\dim n} n \). Then \( \Gamma p_N \) is discrete (see [1]). Let
\[ r_3 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma, k \in \mathbb{N}} \| g_k \gamma p_N \| > 0. \]  
(63)
By Proposition 2.3 applied to \( A = \{ 0 \} \), and given that \( 0 < R \leq r_3 / R_0 R_1 \), there exists \( r > 0 \) such that the following holds: given any sequence \( t_k \to \infty \), there exists \( k_2 \in \mathbb{N} \)
such that, for any interval $J \subset I$, $k \geq k_2$ with $e^{-t_b} < |J|^n$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\left| \left\{ s \in J : \|z(s)a_t u(\varphi(s))g_k \gamma p_N \| < r \right\} \right| \leq \epsilon \cdot \left| \left\{ s \in J : \|z(s)a_t u(\varphi(s))g_k \gamma p_N \| < R \right\} \right|. \quad (64)$$

In view of this inequality, Dani’s nondivergence criterion [1] for homogeneous spaces of rank one semisimple groups implies the existence of a compact set $K$ such that (62) holds. (To determine $K$ one needs to consider finitely many choices of $N$ as above, each corresponding to a distinct cusp in the Siegel fundamental domain for $\Gamma$; see [4]).

Fix a sequence $t_k \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\lambda_k$ be the probability measure on $G/\Gamma$ defined by

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\lambda_k = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(z(s)a_t u(\varphi_i(s)) x_k) \, ds, \quad \forall f \in C_c(G/\Gamma). \quad (65)$$

Then Proposition 3.1 implies the following.

**THEOREM 3.2**

After passing to a subsequence, $\lambda_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \lambda$ in the space of probability measures on $G/\Gamma$ with respect to the weak-* topology.

**THEOREM 3.3**

The limit measure $\lambda$ is invariant under the action of $W := \{u(re_1) : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

**Proof**

The proof follows from the same argument as in the proof of [13, Theorem 3.1].

The next result says that the limit measure is null on the parabolic cylinders embedded in the cusps. To prove this, we use an idea from the proof of [10, Lemma 2.1].

**PROPOSITION 3.4**

Let $U$ be any maximal unipotent subgroup of $G$ containing $W$ and $x \in G$ such that $Ux$ is compact. Then $\lambda(N_G(U)x) = 0$.

**Proof**

Since $G$ is a rank one group, $W$ is contained in a unique maximal unipotent subgroup (see [8, Section 12.17]). Therefore, $U = U^+$, the expanding horospherical subgroup for $\{a_t : t > 0\}$, and $N_G(U) = Z_G(A)U^+$. Let $C$ be any compact subset of $N_G(U^+)$. Then

$$C_1 := \{a_t C a_t : t > 0\}$$

is compact. Given that $\epsilon > 0$, let $K$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Let $K_1 = C_1^{-1} K$. 

Since $Ux$ is compact, there exists $u \in U \setminus \{e\}$ such that $u x = x$. Then $a_{-t} u a_t \to e$ as $i \to \infty$. Therefore, by [8, Section 1.12], $a_{-t} x \notin K_1$ for all $t \geq T_0$ for some $T_0 > 0$. Therefore, $C x \cap a_{T_0} K = \emptyset$.

Let $t_k' = t_k - T_0$. Then by Proposition 3.1, for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left| \{ s \in I : a_{t_k'}(\varphi(s)) x_k \in K \} \right| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|I|,$$

and hence $\left| \{ s \in I : a_{t_k}(\varphi(s)) x_k \in a_{T_0} K \} \right| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|I|$. Since $(G/\Gamma) \setminus a_{T_0} K$ is a neighborhood of $C x$, we conclude that $\lambda(C x) \leq \epsilon$.

4. Ratner’s theorem and linearization method
Since the limit measure $\lambda$ is invariant under a nontrivial unipotent one-parameter subgroup $W = u(\mathbb{R}e_1)$, we want to use Ratner’s theorem describing the $W$-ergodic components of $\lambda$. We use linearization techniques in combination with the linear dynamical results proved in Section 2 to derive the following result.

**Theorem 4.1**

Let the measure $\lambda$ be as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose further that the limit function $\varphi$ satisfies the following condition: for any $(n-2)$-sphere or a proper affine subspace $S_1$ contained in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\left| \{ s \in I : \varphi(s) \in S_1 \} \right| = 0. \quad (66)$$

Then measure $\lambda$ is $G$-invariant.

4.1. Positive limit measure on singular sets
Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the collection of all closed connected subgroups $H$ of $G$ such that $H \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in $H$ and a nontrivial unipotent one-parameter subgroup of $H$ acts ergodically on $H/H \cap \Gamma$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is countable (see [12, Section 5.1], [9, Theorem 1.1]). For $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and a nontrivial one-parameter unipotent subgroup $W$, we define

$$N(H, W) = \{ g \in G : W \subset g H g^{-1} \}, \quad (67)$$

$$S(H, W) = \bigcup_{H' \subset H, \dim H' < \dim H, H' \in \mathcal{H}} N(H', W). \quad (68)$$

Suppose that $\lambda$ is not $G$-invariant. Since $\lambda$ is $W$-invariant and since $\mathcal{H}$ is countable, by Ratner’s theorem [9, Theorem 1] there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\dim H < \dim G$ and

$$\lambda(\pi(N(H, W))) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(\pi(S(H, W))) = 0, \quad (69)$$

where $\pi : G \to G/\Gamma$ is the natural quotient map.
4.2. Algebraic consequence of positive limit measure on singular sets
Since \( G \) is a semisimple group of real rank one and since \( H \in \mathcal{H} \), if \( H \) is not reductive, then \( H \) is contained in a unique maximal unipotent subgroup \( H \in H \), if \( H \) is not reductive, then \( H \) is contained in a unique maximal unipotent subgroup \( U \) of \( G \) containing \( W \) such that \( U \pi(g) \) is compact, and \( \pi(N(H, W)) \subset N_G(U) \pi(g) \). By Proposition 3.4, we have \( \lambda(\pi(N(H, W))) = 0 \).

Thus in view of (69), we conclude that \( H \) is a reductive subgroup of \( G \).

Let \( H_{nc} \) denote the subgroup of \( H \) generated by all one-parameter unipotent subgroups of \( G \) contained in it. Since \( H \) is a proper reductive subgroup of \( G = SO(n, 1) \), we have \( H_{nc} \sim SO(k, 1) \) for some \( 2 \leq k \leq n - 1 \), and we have \( H = Z_1 H_{nc} \), where \( Z_1 \) is a compact normal subgroup of \( H \). Let \( h_{nc} \) denote the Lie algebra associated to \( H_{nc} \), and let \( p_0 :\pi(N(H, W)) = \pi(H_{nc}) \).

Then the orbit \( Gp_0 \) is closed and the orbit \( \Gamma p_0 \) is discrete (see [13, Proposition 4.3, Corollary 4.4]).

Let \( w_0 \in \text{Lie}(W) \) such that \( \text{R}(w_0) = \text{Lie}(W) \). Let \( A = \{ v \in V : v \wedge w_0 = 0 \} \). Then \( N(H, W) = \{ g \in G : gp_0 \in \mathcal{A} \} \) (70)

(see [13, Remark 4.1]).

By (69), there exists a compact set \( C \subset N(H, W) \) such that \( \pi(C) \subset \pi(N(H, W)) \setminus \pi(S(H, W)) \) and such that \( \lambda(\pi(C)) > 0 \). Put

\[
\epsilon = \frac{\lambda(\pi(C))}{4} > 0.
\]  

(71)

Let \( C = C p_0 \cup -C \cdot p_0 \subset A \). Let \( \mathcal{D} \subset A \) be a compact set as in the conclusion of Proposition 2.3. We replace \( \mathcal{D} \) by \( \mathcal{D} \cup -\mathcal{D} \), and we define

\[
S(\mathcal{D}) = \{ g \in N(H, W) : g \gamma p_0 \in \mathcal{D} \text{ for some } \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus N_G(H_{nc}) \}. \tag{72}
\]

By [13, Proposition 4.5], \( S(\mathcal{D}) \subset S(H, W) \) and \( \pi(S(\mathcal{D})) \) is closed in \( G/\Gamma \). We choose a compact neighborhood \( K \) of \( \pi(C) \) contained in \( G/\Gamma \setminus \pi(S(\mathcal{D})) \). By [13, Proposition 4.5], there exists bounded a symmetric open neighborhood \( \Phi \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) in \( V \) such that for any \( g \in G \) and for \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma \), we have the following:

\[
\text{if } g \gamma_1 p_0, g \gamma_2 p_0 \in \Phi \text{ and if } \pi(g) \in K, \text{ then } \gamma_1 p_0 = \pm \gamma_2 p_0. \tag{73}
\]

Let \( R_0 \) and \( R_1 \) be as in Proposition 2.3, and let

\[
\Sigma = \{ v \in \Gamma p_0 : \| v \| \geq R_0 R_1 \sup\{ \| w \| : w \in \Phi \} \}. \tag{74}
\]

Then there exists \( k_2 \) and a symmetric open neighborhood \( \Psi \) of \( C \) in \( V \) such that the conclusion of Proposition 2.3 holds. Let \( k \geq k_2 \), and let \( \psi(s) = z(s) a_{ij} u(\phi_k(s)) g_k \) for all \( s \in I \). Then in view of (73), by linearization technique [13, Proposition 4.7], and
by Proposition 2.3 (a crucial step), we have

$$\left| \left\{ s \in I : \psi(s) \cap \Psi \neq \emptyset, \pi(\psi(s)) \in K \right\} \right| \leq 2 \epsilon |I|. \quad (75)$$

Now \( O = \{ \pi(g) : g p_0 \in \Psi, \pi(g) \in K \} \) is a neighborhood of \( \pi(C) \). Let

$$E(k) = \left\{ s \in I : \pi(z(s)a_k \phi(s))g_k \in O \right\}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (76)$$

Since \( \lambda_k \to \lambda \), by (71) we have

$$|E(k)| > 3 \epsilon |I|, \quad \forall \text{ large } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (77)$$

Let \( \Sigma_1 = \Gamma p_0 \setminus \Sigma \). By (75) and (77), we have

$$\left| \left\{ s \in E(k) : \psi(s) \Sigma_1 \cap \Psi \neq \emptyset \right\} \right| \geq \epsilon |I| \quad \text{for all large } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (78)$$

**Proposition 4.2**

There exists \( v \in \Sigma_1 = \Gamma p_0 \setminus \Sigma \) such that

$$\left| \left\{ s \in I : u(\phi(s))g_0 v \in V^- + V^0 \right\} \right| \geq \epsilon |I|/\#(\Sigma_1) > 0. \quad (79)$$

**Proof**

Since \( \Gamma p_0 \) is discrete, \( \Sigma_1 \) is finite. By (78), after passing to a subsequence, there exists \( v \in \Sigma_1 \) such that

$$|E_v(k)| \geq \epsilon |I|/\#(\Sigma_1), \quad \forall \text{ large } k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (80)$$

where \( E_v(k) = \{ s \in E(k) : z(s)a_k \phi(s)g_k v \in \Psi \} \). In view of (16), let \( V^- = \sum_{\mu < 0} V_\mu \), let \( V^0 = V_0 \), and let \( V^+ = \sum_{\mu > 0} V_\mu \). Then \( V = V^- \oplus V^0 \oplus V^+ \). Let \( q_+ : V \to V^+ \) denote the corresponding projection. Let

$$E^\delta = \{ s \in I : q_+(u(\phi(s))g_0 v) \geq \delta \}. \quad (81)$$

Since \( \phi_k \to \phi \) uniformly on \( I \), there exists \( k_3 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that if \( k \geq k_3 \) and if \( s \in E^\delta \), then \( q_+(z(s)u(\phi_k(s))g_k v) \geq \delta/2 \), and hence

$$z(s)a_k \phi_k(s)g_k v = a_k (z(s)u(\phi(s))g_k v) \notin \Psi. \quad (82)$$

Therefore, \( E_v(k) \cap E^\delta = \emptyset \). By (80) and (81), we have

$$\left| \left\{ s \in I : u(\phi(s))g_0 v \in V^- + V^0 \right\} \right| = \lim_{\delta \to 0} |I \setminus E^\delta| \geq \epsilon |I|/\#(\Sigma_1). \quad \square$$
Proof of Theorem 4.1
Since \( \dim H < \dim G \), by [13, Proposition 4.9] the set
\[
S_{g_0 v} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : u(x)g_0 v \in V^0 + V^- \}
\]
is contained in a proper affine subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) or in a sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \). By Proposition 4.2,
\[
| \{ s \in I : \varphi(s) \in S_{g_0 v} \} | \geq \epsilon |I|/|\Sigma_1|.
\] (83)

The two preceding statements contradict our assumption on \( \varphi \) as stated in (66). Therefore, (69) fails to hold. Hence \( \lambda \) is \( G \)-invariant. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

\( \square \)

Remark 4.1
If \( g_0 = e \), then in (83) we have \( S_{g_0 v} = S_{\gamma p_0} \) for some \( \gamma \in \Gamma \). By [13, Proposition 4.9], there exist \( h \in G \) and a noncompact simple subgroup \( F \) containing \( A \) such that
\[
S_{\gamma p_0} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : u(x) \in P^- F h \}
\]
and \( h^{-1} F h (\gamma p_0) = \gamma p_0 \). Therefore, \( N_G(F)h \Gamma = h \gamma N_G(H^{nc}) \Gamma \) is closed. Now \( P^- N_G(F)h = P^- F h \). Hence by (11), \( I(Fh) \in \mathcal{J} \). Hence \( S_{\gamma p_0} \in S^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) \). In other words, if \( g_k \to e \), then in the statement of Theorem 4.1 it is enough to assume that (66) holds for all \( S_1 \in S^{-1}(\mathcal{J}) \).

5. Deduction of the main results
The following observation allows us to deduce the results stated in the introduction from Theorem 4.1.

Let \( U^- = \{ h \in G : a_h h a_h^{-1} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} e \} \). Then \( P^- = U^- Z_G(A) \).

Proposition 5.1
Let \( \{ \theta_k \} \) and \( \{ \psi_k \} \) be uniformly convergent sequences of continuous maps from \( I \to G \) such that \( P^- \theta_k(s) = P^- \psi_k(s) \) for all \( s \in I \). Let \( \{ x_k \} \) be a sequence in \( G/\Gamma \), and let \( t_k \to \infty \) be a sequence in \( \mathbb{R} \). Suppose that there exists a probability measure \( \mu \) on \( G/\Gamma \) which is \( Z_G(A) \)-invariant, and suppose that for any subinterval \( J \subset I \) with nonempty interior and for any \( f \in C_c(G/\Gamma) \), the following holds:
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|J|} \int_J f(a_h \theta_k(s)x_k) \, ds = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu.
\] (84)
Then for any \( f \in C_c(G/\Gamma) \), we have
\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(a_t \psi_k(s)x_k) \, ds = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu.
\] (85)

**Proof**
The statement can be proved using uniform continuity of \( f \) as in [13, proof of Proposition 4.11]. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.4**
Let \( \theta_k(s) = \xi(s)u(\varphi_k(s)) \), and let \( \psi_k(s) = u(\varphi_k(s)) \) for all \( s \in I \). Then by Theorem 4.1, (84) holds for \( \mu = \mu_G \). Therefore by Proposition 5.1, we have (85), which is the same as (9).

**Proof of Theorem 1.5**
Due to the regularity of Lebesgue measure, it is enough to prove the theorem under the assumption that
\[
(I \circ \psi)^{(1)} \neq 0, \quad \forall s \in I.
\] (86)

The map \( S : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to S^{n-1} \) defined by \( S(x) = \mathcal{I}(u(x)) \) is the inverse stereographic projection. Without loss of generality, we may therefore assume that there exists a sequence \( \varphi_k \to \varphi \) in \( C^n(I, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \) such that \( \mathcal{I}(\psi_k(s)) = \mathcal{I}(u(\varphi(s)) \) and \( \mathcal{I}(\psi(s)) = \mathcal{I}(u(\varphi(s)) \) for all \( s \in I \). Then by (86) and (12), we have
\[
\varphi^{(1)}(s) \neq 0 \quad (\forall s \in I) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \left\{ s \in I : \varphi(s) \notin S^{-1}(S) \right\} \right| = 0 \quad (\forall S \in \mathcal{T}).
\]
Therefore, by Remark 4.1, the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 holds in the case of \( x_k \to x_0 = e\Gamma \). Therefore, since \( P^-\psi_k(s) = P^-u(\varphi_k(s)) \) for all \( s \in I \), (14) follows from Proposition 5.1. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.6**
If the result fails to hold, then there exist \( f \in C_c(G/\Gamma) \), \( \epsilon > 0 \), a sequence \( x_k \to x \) in \( G/\Gamma \), a sequence \( \{\psi_k\} \) of functions from \( I \to G \) such that \( I \circ \psi_k \to \psi \) in \( C^n(I, S^{n-1}) \), and an unbounded sequence \( g_k \to \infty \) such that
\[
\left| \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(g_k \psi_k(s)x_k) \, ds - \int_I f \, d\mu_G \right| \geq \epsilon.
\] (87)
Since \( G = KA^+K \), by passing to a subsequence, for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( g_k = h'_k a_t h_k \), where \( h_k \to h \) and \( h'_k \to h' \) in \( K \) as \( k \to \infty \), and where \( t_k \to \infty \) in \( \mathbb{R} \). Let \( \bar{x} \in G \) and \( \bar{x}_k \in G \) be such that \( x_k = \bar{x}_k \Gamma, x = \bar{x} \Gamma \), and \( \bar{x}_k \to \bar{x} \) as \( k \to \infty \).
Let $\tilde{\psi}(s) = h\psi(s)\tilde{x}$, and let $\tilde{\psi}_k(s) = h_k\psi_k(s)\tilde{x}_k$ for all $s \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the condition of Theorem 1.6 is satisfied for $\tilde{\psi}$ in place of $\psi$ and for $\tilde{\psi}_k$ in place of $\psi_k$; note that we have used a stronger condition on $\psi$ so that (15) holds for all proper subspheres $S$ of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and for $h \in G$. Therefore,

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(h' a_n \tilde{\psi}_k(s)\Gamma) \, ds = \int_{G/\Gamma} f(h'y) \, d\mu_G(y) = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu_G. \quad (88)
$$

Since $h'_k \to h'$ and since $f$ is uniformly continuous, this equality contradicts (87).

**Proof of Theorem 1.2**

As in the proof of Theorem 1.6, we need to show that, given sequences $\psi_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \psi$ in $C^n(I, T^1(M))$ and $t_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(g_k \psi_k(s)) \, ds = \int_{T^1(M)} f \, d\mu, \quad \forall f \in C_c(T^1(M)). \quad (89)
$$

We deduce this statement from Theorem 1.5.

There exists a lattice $\Gamma$ in $G = SO(n, 1)$ such that $T^1(M) \cong SO(n-1) \backslash G/\Gamma$ and $T^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \cong SO(n-1) \backslash G$. Moreover, the geodesic flow $\{g_t\}$ on $T^1(M)$ corresponds to the translation action of $\{a_t\}$ on $SO(n-1) \backslash G/\Gamma$ from the left; the action is well defined because $SO(n-1) \subset Z_G(\{a_t\})$. Now the maps $Vis : T^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\tilde{T} : SO(n-1) \backslash G \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ are the same under the above identifications. Also, the sets $\mathcal{S}$ defined in (1) and (10) as subsets of $\partial \mathbb{H}^n$ and $P^- \backslash G$, respectively, are the same under the above identification.

The convergent sequence $\psi_k \to \psi$ in $C^n(I, T^1(M))$ can be lifted to a convergent sequence $\bar{\psi}_k \to \bar{\psi}$ in $C^n(I, T^1(\mathbb{H}^n))$. Via the above correspondence, we obtain a convergent sequence $\tilde{\psi}_k \to \tilde{\psi}$ in $C^n(I, G)$ such that $Vis(\tilde{\psi}_k(s)) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{\psi}_k(s))$ and $Vis(\tilde{\psi}(s)) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{\psi}(s))$. Therefore, the conditions (a) and (b) on $\psi$ imply condition (12) of Theorem 1.5 for the map $\bar{\psi}$. Also, the required convergence property (13) is satisfied for $\{\bar{\psi}_k\}$. Now any $f \in C_c(T^1(M))$ can be treated as a $SO(n-1)$-invariant function on $G/\Gamma$. In this case, the conclusion (14) of Theorem 1.5 holds. Therefore, (89) follows.

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.2.

**6. Action of $\{a_t\}$ on shrinking curves**

Let $S \in \mathcal{S}$, or let $S = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Define

$$
S^* = S \setminus \bigcup_{S' \subset S, \dim S' < \dim S} S'. \quad (90)
$$
Let $\varphi \in C^0(I, \mathbb{R}^{d-1})$, and let $g_0 \in G$. We define
\[ I(S) = \{ s \in I : \varphi(s) \in S^{-1}(S^*g_0^{-1}) \}. \tag{91} \]

By the Lebesgue density theorem, almost every $x \in I(S)$ is a density point of $I(S)$; that is, if $I_k$ is any sequence of intervals in $I$ containing $x$ such that $|I_k| \to 0$, then $|I(S) \cap I_k|/|I_k| \to 1$ as $k \to \infty$.

**THEOREM 6.1**

Let $x \in I(S^{n-1})$ such that $\varphi^{(1)}(x) \neq 0$ and such that $x$ is a density point for $I(S^{n-1})$. Then for any sequences $\varphi_k \to \varphi$ in $C^n(I, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, $g_k \to g_0$ in $G$, $t_k \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$, and any sequence of intervals $I_k \subset [a, b]$ such that $x \in I_k$, $|I_k| \to 0$, and $|I_k|^n e^{t_k} \to \infty$, the following holds: for any $f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)$, we have
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(a_k u(\varphi_k(s))g_k) \, ds = \int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\mu_G. \tag{92} \]

Let $\pi : G \to G/\Gamma$ denote the natural quotient map. Let $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and let $m = 1 + \dim S$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $S = s(\text{SO}(m, 1)g)$ and such that $N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))\pi(g)$ is closed. Due to the following claim, the coset $N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))g$ is uniquely defined. We claim that if $F = \{ h \in G : s(\text{SO}(m, 1)h) = s(\text{SO}(m, 1)) \}$, then $F = N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))$. To prove the claim, we note that $N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1)) \subset F$. In particular, $F$ is a reductive group. Since $N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))$ is a symmetric subgroup of $G$, by [5, Corollary 4.7] $N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))$ is a maximal reductive subgroup of $G$. Therefore, $F = N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))$.

Let $L$ be the subgroup of $N_G(\text{SO}(m, 1))$ such that $L \pi(g) = \text{SO}(m, 1)\pi(g)$. Let $\mu_L$ denote the unique $L$-invariant probability measure on $L \pi(g)$.

**THEOREM 6.2**

Let $x \in I(S)$ be such that $\varphi^{(1)}(x) \neq 0$ and $x$ is a density point for the set $I(S)$. Then for any sequence $t_k \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and for any sequence of intervals $I_k \subset [a, b]$ such that $x \in I_k$, $|I_k| \to 0$, and $|I_k|^n e^{t_k} \to \infty$, the following holds: for any $f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)$, we have
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(a_k u(\varphi(s))g_0) \, ds = \int_{L \pi(g)} f(z) \, d\mu_L(y), \tag{93} \]
where $z \in Z_G(A) \cap \text{SO}(n)$ is such that $u(\varphi(x))g_0 \in U^{-}zLg$ and $u(\varphi^{(1)}(x)) \in zLz^{-1}$; $z$ depends only on $\varphi$, $x$, $g_0$, and $Lg$. 

Proof of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2

Let $y_0 = \pi(g_0)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_k = \pi(g_k)$ and let $\lambda_k$ be a probability measure on $G/\Gamma$ such that for any $f \in C(G/\Gamma)$, we have

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} f \, d\lambda_k = \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(a_i u(\varphi_k(s) y_k)) \, ds.$$ 

Proposition 2.3 is valid for $J \subset I_k$. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 3.2 is valid in this case, and we obtain that after passing to a subsequence $\lambda_k \to \lambda$ in the space of probability measure on $G/\Gamma$.

Let $W = \{u(r \varphi^{(1)}(x)) : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We claim that $\lambda$ is $W$-invariant. To prove this, let $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $f \in C_c(G/\Gamma)$. Now $f$ is uniformly continuous, the family $\{\varphi_k^{(1)}(s)\}$ is equicontinuous, and $|I_k| \to 0$. Therefore, for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $s \in I_k$, the following holds; we write $\eta_1 \approx \eta_2$ to indicate that $|\eta_1 - \eta_2| \leq \epsilon$, and we have

$$f(u(r \varphi^{(1)}(x)) a_i u(\varphi_k(s)) y_k) \approx f(u(r \varphi_k^{(1)}(s)) a_i u(\varphi_k(s)) y_k)$$

$$= f(a_i u(\varphi_k(s) + e^{-b} r \varphi^{(1)}(s)) y_k)$$

$$= f(a_i u(\varphi_k(s + re^{-b}) + O(e^{-2b})) y_k)$$

$$= f(u(O(e^{-b})) a_i u(\varphi_k(s + re^{-b})) y_k)$$

$$\approx f(a_i u(\varphi_k(s + re^{-b})) y_k).$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} f(u(r \varphi^{(1)}(x)) y) \, d\lambda_k(y) \approx \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(a_i u(\varphi_k(s + re^{-b})) y_k) \, ds$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(a_i u(\varphi_k(s)) y_k) \, ds = \int f \, d\lambda_k;$$

the last approximation holds because

$$\frac{2re^{-b} \sup |f|}{|I_k|} = \frac{2r \sup |f|}{e^b |I_k|} \to 0.$$ 

This implies that $\lambda$ is $W$-invariant.

The proof of Proposition 3.4 goes through in this case. If $\lambda$ is not $G$-invariant, we apply Ratner’s classification of ergodic invariant measures exactly as in the earlier case and follow the proof of Theorem 4.1 for $I_k$ in place of $I$. All the arguments are valid up to (83) to conclude that

$$\left| \{s \in I_k : S(\varphi(s)) \in S'g_0^{-1}\} \right| \geq \epsilon |I_k|/\#(\Sigma_1)$$

(95)
for some \( S' \in \mathcal{F} \). By our hypothesis, \( x \) is a density point of \( I(S) \) (see (91)). Therefore, in view of the definition of \( S^\ast \), we deduce that \( S \subset S' \).

For Theorem 6.1, \( S = S^{n-1} \), which contradicts the above conclusion, and hence \( \lambda \) is \( G \)-invariant.

Now for Theorem 6.2, we have \( \varphi_k = \varphi \) and \( g_k = g_0 \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). For any \( s \in I(S) \), there exists \( b(s) \in M \) such that \( b(s) \to z \) as \( s \to x \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
 u(\varphi(s))g_0 \in U^-b(s)Lg.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, since \( x \) is a density point of \( I(S) \) and \( \lambda_k \to \lambda \), from the definition of \( \lambda_k \) we conclude that \( \text{supp} \lambda \subset zL\pi(g) \). Since \( \lambda(\pi(N(H, W))) > 0 \), we conclude that \( S'g_0^{-1} \subset Sg_0^{-1} \). Thus, \( S = S' \) and \( H^{nc} \cong \text{SO}(m, 1) \), and \( \text{supp}(\lambda) \subset g'N_G(H^{nc})\pi(e) \) for some \( g' \in G \) such that \( AW \subset g'N_G(H^{nc})(g')^{-1} \). Since \( \lambda(\pi(S(H, W))) = 0 \), we deduce that each \( W \)-ergodic component of \( \lambda \) is invariant under \( g' H^{nc} \).

Therefore since

\[
\begin{align*}
 \text{supp}(\lambda) \subset zL\pi(g) \quad \text{and} \quad L\pi(g) = \text{SO}(m, 1)\pi(g),
\end{align*}
\]

by dimension consideration, we conclude that \( \text{supp}(\lambda) = zL\pi(g) \) and that \( \lambda = z\mu_L \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.2. \( \square \)

### 7. Evolution of shrinking curves under geodesic flow

Let the notation be as in Section 1.1. As a consequence of Theorem 6.2, we deduce the following.

**THEOREM 7.1**

Let \( \psi \in C^n(I, T^1(M)) \). Let \( M_1 \in \mathcal{F} \), and let \( S \in \mathcal{F}(M_1) \). Let \( x \in I \) be such that \( (\text{Vis} \circ \tilde{\psi})^{(1)}(x) \neq 0 \) and such that \( x \) is a density point of \( I(S) \). Then, given any sequence \( t_k \to \infty \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) and a sequence of subintervals \( I_k \) of \( I \) containing \( x \) such that \( |I_k| \to 0 \) and \( |I_k|^n e^{t_k} \to \infty \), the following holds:

\[
\begin{align*}
 \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(a_k \psi(s)) \, ds = \int_{T^1(M_1)} f \, d\mu_{M_1}, \quad \forall f \in C_c(T^1(M)). \tag{96}
\end{align*}
\]

The conclusion of Theorem 1.3 can be deduced from Theorem 7.1 using the regularity of Lebesgue measure and standard arguments of measure theory. As a consequence of Theorem 6.1, we can also obtain a version of Theorem 7.1 where we assume that \( x \) is a density point of \( I(M) \) and where we allow \( \psi_k \to \psi \) in \( C^n(I, T^1(M)) \).

#### 7.1. Geodesic evolution of faster shrinking curve

We can also obtain the following variation of Theorem 7.1.
Theorem 7.2
In Theorem 7.1, suppose that $\psi \in C^{2n-2}(I, T^1(M))$. Then, given a sequence $t_k \to \infty$ and a sequence of subintervals $I_k$ of $I$ containing $x$ such that $|I_k| \to 0$ and $|I_k|^2 e^{nt} \to \infty$, equation (96) holds.

In the case when $x$ is assumed to be a generic point of $I(M)$, we can consider $\psi_k \to \psi$ in $C^{2n-2}$ and obtain the analogous conclusion. It is interesting to compare these statements with the results in [14].

To prove Theorem 7.2 using the method of this article, the only property required to be verified is the following variation of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 7.3 (Basic Lemma, II)
Let $\varphi_k \to \varphi$ in $C^{2n-2}(I, \mathbb{R}^{d-1})$. Given $C > 0$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that for any sequence $t_k \to \infty$ in $\mathbb{R}$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in I = [a, b]$ and for $v \in V$, there exists an interval $[s_k, s'_k] \subset I$ containing $x$ such that for any $k \geq k_0$, the following conditions are satisfied:

\begin{align*}
e^{t_k}(s'_k - s_k)^2 &< C, \quad (97) \\
\|a_{t_k} u(\varphi_k(s_k))v\| &\geq \|v\|/R_0 \quad \text{if } s_k > a, \quad (98) \\
\|a_{t_k} u(\varphi_k(s'_k))v\| &\geq \|v\|/R_0 \quad \text{if } s'_k < b. \quad (99)
\end{align*}

Proof
We follow the strategy of the proof of Proposition 2.1. We now highlight some crucial modification required in the proof.

First, (23) is replaced by $e^{t_k} \delta_k^2 \geq C$. We put $\mu = n - 1$ in (25) to get

\[
\sup_{s \in [0, \delta_k]} \|q_{n-1}(u(\varphi_k(s))w_k)\| \leq R_k^{-1} C^{-1} \delta_k^{2(n-1)}.
\]

Therefore in place of (32), we have

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \|q_{n-1}(u(\varphi_0(s))w_0)\| / s^{2n-2} = 0. \tag{100}
\]

Now following the further arguments using the SL(2, $\mathbb{R}$)-representation theory, we obtain an analogue of (40) for $q_{n-1}$ involving $h^{(n-1)-\mu_0}$ in the highest-order term. Therefore, (41) becomes

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \|q_{n-1}(u(\varphi_0,s))w_0)\| / s^{(n-1)-\mu_0} \geq \eta_0 \rho_0^{n-1-\mu_0} > 0.
\]

Since $n - 1 - \mu_0 \leq 2n - 2$, this contradicts (100). \qed
Remark 7.1
Using Proposition 7.3, we can obtain an analogue of Corollary 2.2 for $P_{k,s}(s) = \varphi_k(x) + s\varphi_k^{(1)}(s)$. Thus for linearization technique, we can approximate a $C^{2(n-1)}$ curve $\varphi_k$ at any $s \in I$ by its tangent line, rather than a polynomial curve.

Acknowledgment. I thank Elon Lindenstrauss for discussions that led to some of the ideas used in Proposition 2.1, and I thank S. G. Dani for useful remarks on an earlier version of this article. Thanks are also due the referees for pertinent remarks on Proposition 2.1.

References


Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India; nimish@math.tifr.res.in