

A computation with local cohomology

Bart Snapp

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Coastal Carolina University

July 30, 2008

Goal

- ▶ The goal of this presentation is to show you some homological techniques in commutative algebra.
- ▶ The example discussed in this talk is a famous example due to Hartshorne. It is discussed in depth in:

Lectures in Local Cohomology by Craig Huneke with Appendix 1 by Amelia Taylor.

which can be downloaded from:

<http://www.math.ku.edu/~huneke/Vita/Preprints.html>

Table of contents

The problem

Complexes and cohomology

Local cohomology

Saving the day

Setup

Consider the ring $A = k[x, y, u, v]$ and the ideals:

$$I = (x, y)$$

$$J = (u, v)$$

We can take the sum of the ideals

$$I + J = (x, y, u, v)$$

and the intersection of the ideals

$$I \cap J = (xu, xv, yu, yv)$$

Radical of an ideal

Recall the definition of the *radical* of an ideal:

$$\sqrt{I} = \{a \in A : a^t \in I \text{ for some } t > 0\}$$

Question

Recalling $I = (x, y)$, what is \sqrt{I} ?

Answer

It is pretty clear that $\sqrt{I} = I$.

The same is true for J and $I + J$.

A question and answer

Question

We see that we can find two elements

$$\sqrt{(x, y)} = I$$

Why? Can you find fewer elements that will generate I up to radical?

No. Same is true for J and $I + J$.

Free Radicals

$$\sqrt{I} = \{a \in A : a^t \in I \text{ for some } t > 0\}$$

Question

Recalling $I \cap J = (xu, xv, yu, yv)$, what is $\sqrt{I \cap J}$?

Answer

$$\sqrt{I \cap J} = I \cap J.$$

Why?

A question and partial answer

Question

We see that we can find four elements

$$\sqrt{(xu, xv, yu, yv)} = I \cap J$$

Can you find fewer elements that will generate $I \cap J$ up to radical?

Answer

Yes!

$$\sqrt{(xu, yv, xv + yu)} = I \cap J$$

Some details

Why is it that $\sqrt{(xu, yv, xv + yu)} = (xu, xv, yu, yv)$?

$$\begin{aligned}(xv)^2 &= (xv)^2 + xvyu - xvyu \\ &= xv(xv + yu) - (xu)(yv)\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(xv) \in \sqrt{(xu, yv, xv + yu)}$.

Hence $\sqrt{(xu, yv, xv + yu)} = \sqrt{(xu, xv, yu, yv)} = I \cap J$.

The question

Question

Ok we can generate $I \cap J$ with three elements up to radical. Can we generate $I \cap J$ with two elements up to radical? What about one element?

We will use “homological methods” to solve this problem.

Complexes

Definition

A **chain complex** is a sequence of A -modules and A -module homomorphisms

$$\dots \longrightarrow E^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d^{i-1}} E^i \xrightarrow{d^i} E^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

such that $d^i \circ d^{i-1} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote a chain complex by E^\bullet .

Cohomology

The upshot is that when given a chain complex (E^\bullet, d^\bullet) , one has

$$\text{Im}(d^{i-1}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(d^i) \subseteq E^i$$

we can make a new module:

$$H^i(E^\bullet) = \frac{\text{Ker}(d^i)}{\text{Im}(d^{i-1})}$$

called the **ith cohomology** of E^\bullet .

How do we make these things?

Question

But where do we get our complexes from?

Answer

This will take some explaining.

Injective modules

If A is noetherian and M is any A -module, then there exists a special module with nice properties which we can *inject* M into. The type of module which we desire is called an *injective module*. Specifically, we are looking for the *injective hull* of M .

Aside

How does this relate to free modules?

Boring cohomology

Now lose the extraneous parts to get

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} E^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} E^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} E^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} E^3 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Note by the construction of our complex, it is necessarily exact.

Question

What is the cohomology?

That's not very interesting.

Functors

Roughly speaking, a **functor** is a mapping of both objects and morphisms. Whatever that means. Consider

$$\Gamma_I(M) = \{a \in M : I^t a = 0 \text{ for some } t > 0\}.$$

So if we have

$$M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N$$

we may write

$$\Gamma_I(M) \xrightarrow{\Gamma_I(\varphi)} \Gamma_I(N)$$

Enter cohomology

We define **local cohomology** as follows:

1. Take an injective resolution E^\bullet of M .
2. Apply $\Gamma_I(-)$ to the resolution above.
3. Take cohomology.

Explicitly:

$$H_I^i(M) = \frac{\text{Ker } \Gamma_I(d^i)}{\text{Im } \Gamma_I(d^{i-1})}$$

What does that mean?

Big theorems

Theorem (Invariance up to radical)

Given an ideal I

$$H_I^i(A) \simeq H_{\sqrt{I}}^i(A)$$

Theorem (Grothendieck)

An ideal I can be generated by no fewer than n elements up to radical if and only if

$$H_I^n(A) \neq 0$$

and

$$H_I^i(A) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > n.$$

Just remember

Remember

$$I = (x, y)$$

$$J = (u, v)$$

$$I + J = (x, y, u, v)$$

$$I \cap J = (xu, xv, yu, yv)$$

Don't forget

Remember our Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_I^3(A) \oplus H_J^3(A) \rightarrow H_{I \cap J}^3(A) \rightarrow H_{I+J}^4(A) \rightarrow H_I^4(A) \oplus H_J^4(A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Remember what Grothendieck said:

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H_{I \cap J}^3(A) \rightarrow H_{I+J}^4(A) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

and so $H_{I \cap J}^3(A) \simeq H_{I+J}^4(A) \neq 0$. Hence we are done! Why?

The end

THE END ?