#### Week 13 Notes, Math 8610, Tanveer

1. Characterization of spaces H and V.

Recall spaces  $\mathcal{V}$ , H and V:

(1.1) 
$$\mathcal{V} = \{ v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \nabla \cdot v = 0 \}$$

and H is the closure of V in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , while V is the closure in  $H^1_0(\Omega)$ .

1.1. Characterization of gradient of a distribution. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and let p be a distribution on  $\Omega$ , *i.e.* using standard notation  $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . We note that for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

(1.2) 
$$\langle \nabla p, v \rangle = \langle \partial_{x_j} p, v_j \rangle = -\langle p, \partial_{x_j} v_j \rangle = 0$$

The converse of this result is also true as in the following proposition, though the proof is harder and will be skipped:

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f = (f_1, f_2, ..., f_n)$ , with  $f_i \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that  $f = \nabla p$  for some  $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  is that

(1.3) 
$$\langle f, v \rangle = 0$$
, for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ 

**Definition 1.2.** We define the following subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)$ :

(1.4) 
$$L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R} = \left\{ p \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} p(x) dx = 0 \right\}$$

We will also use the following results without proof (See Deny & Lions Ann. Inst. Fourier 5, 1954, pp 305-370.)

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . **i.** If a distribution p has all its first-order derivatives  $\partial_{x_i} p \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$ , which may be chosen to be in the subspace (1.4) with

(1.5) 
$$\|p\|_{L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} \le c(\Omega) \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

**ii.** If a distribution p has all its first derivatives  $\partial_{x_i} p \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , then  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  and

(1.6) 
$$\|p\|_{L^2(\Omega)/\mathbb{R}} \le c(\Omega) \|\nabla p\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

In both cases, if  $\Omega$  is any open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$ .

**Remark 1.4.** Combining results in the previous two propositions we note that if  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  (or  $L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$ ) and  $\langle f, v \rangle = 0$  for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $f = \nabla p$  with  $p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is open Lipschitz bounded, then  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  (or  $H^1(\Omega)$ )

## 2. Characterization of the space H

We can now give the following characterization of H and  $H^{\perp}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be Lipschitz open bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

(2.7) 
$$H^{\perp} = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega), u = \nabla p, p \in H^1(\Omega) \right\}$$

(2.8) 
$$H = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega), \nabla \cdot u = 0, \gamma_{\nu} u = 0 \right\}$$

*Proof.* Assume u is in the space on the right side of (2.7). Then for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

(2.9) 
$$(v, u) = (v, \nabla p) = -(\nabla \cdot v, p) = 0$$

Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is dense in  $H, u \in H^{\perp}$ . Conversely, assume  $u \in H^{\perp}$ . Then for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

$$(2.10) (u,v) = 0$$

implying from Propositon 1.1 that  $u = \nabla p$  for  $p \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . By proposition 1.3,  $p \in H^1(\Omega)$  and therefore u belongs to the space on the right hand side of (2.7).

Assume now that  $u \in H$ . Then  $u = \lim_{m\to\infty} u_m$  in  $E(\Omega)$ , where  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}$ . Further  $\gamma_{\nu}u = \lim_{m\to\infty} \gamma_{\nu}u_m = 0$  since  $\gamma_{\nu}u_m = u_m \cdot n = 0$  for any  $u_m \in \mathcal{V}$ , recalling  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Hence  $u \in H_*$ , the space on the right side of (2.8), implying  $H \subset H_*$ . Assume  $H_{**}$  is the orthogonal compliment of H in  $H_*$ . Then from (2.7), it follows that for  $u \in H_{**}$ , there exists  $p \in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $u = \nabla p$  and moreover from (2.8), it follows that

(2.11) 
$$\Delta p = \nabla \cdot u = 0 , \ u \cdot n = \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = 0$$

implying p is a constant (from Remark 1.7, in extra Week 12 notes), and therefore u = 0. This implies  $H_* = H$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set of class  $C^2$ . Then,

(2.12) 
$$L^2(\Omega) = H \oplus H_1 \oplus H_2$$

(2.13) 
$$H_1 = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) , u = \nabla p , p \in H^1(\Omega) , \Delta p = 0 \}$$

(2.14) 
$$H_2 = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) , u = \nabla p , p \in H_0^1(\Omega) \right\}$$

*Proof.* Clearly from the characterization of  $H^{\perp}$  in 2.1,  $H_1, H_2 \subset H^{\perp}$ . Now, take  $u \in H_1$  and  $v \in H_2$ , with  $v = \nabla p$ . Then from generalized Stokes formula

(2.15) 
$$(u,v) = (u,\nabla p) = \langle \gamma_{\nu}u, \gamma_0 p \rangle - (\nabla \cdot u, p) = 0$$

Therefore,  $H_1 \perp H_2$ . Now, we seek to show that any  $u \in L^2$  can be written as a sum of  $u_0$ ,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in H,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively. Define  $u_2 = \nabla p$ , where  $p \in H_0^1$  to be the unique solution of

(2.16) 
$$\Delta p = \nabla \cdot u \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$$

We then define  $u_1 = \nabla q$ , where  $q \in H^1(\Omega)$  is the solution (unique upto additive constant, see Remark 1.7 week 12 extra notes) to the Neumann problem

(2.17) 
$$\Delta q = 0 \ , \frac{\partial q}{\partial n} = \gamma_{\nu} \left( u - \nabla p \right),$$

which exists since  $\nabla \cdot (u - \nabla p) = 0$  and therefore  $u - \nabla p \in E(\Omega)$ and so  $\gamma_{\nu} (u - \nabla p) \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$  is well-defined (Note Theorem 1.2 of Week 12 extra notes). Now, consider  $u_0 = u - u_1 - u_2$ . We note that  $\nabla \cdot u_0 = \nabla \cdot u - \nabla \cdot u_1 - \nabla \cdot u_2 = 0$  and  $\gamma_{\nu} u_0 = \gamma_{\nu} (u - \nabla p) - \frac{\partial q}{\partial n} = 0$ . Therefore  $u_0 \in H$ .

**Remark 2.1.** We may define  $\mathcal{P}$  to be the orthogonal projection (Hodge projection) of  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto H; clearly  $\mathcal{P}$  is continuous into  $L^2(\Omega)$ . In fact  $\mathcal{P} \to H^1(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega)$  and is continuous in the norm of  $H^1$ . In the proof of the previous theorem, let us assume  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ; then  $p \in H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ ; and  $u - \nabla p \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\gamma_{\nu}(u - \nabla p) \in H^{1/2}(\Omega)$ . Finally, we infer from (2.17) that  $q \in H^2(\Omega)$  and

(2.18) 
$$\mathcal{P}u = u - \nabla(p+q) \in H^1(\Omega)$$

It is also clear that the mappings  $u \to p$  and  $u - \nabla p \to q$  are continuous in the appropriate spaces and we conclude that  $\mathcal{P} : H_0^1(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega)$  is continuous with

$$(2.19) ||\mathcal{P}u||_{H^1(\Omega)} \le c(\Omega) ||u||_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

If  $\Omega$  is  $C^{r+1}$  for integer  $r \geq 1$ , a similar argument shows that for  $u \in H^r(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}u \in H^r(\Omega)$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is linear and continuous with

(2.20) 
$$\|\mathcal{P}u\|_{H^r(\Omega)} \le c(r,\Omega)\|u\|_{H^r(\Omega)}$$

## 3. Characterization of space V

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded Lipschitz set. Then

$$(3.21) V = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \ , \nabla \cdot u = 0 \right\}$$

*Proof.* Define  $V_*$  to be the set on the right side of (3.21). It is clear that  $V \subset V_*$  since V is the closure of  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $H_0^1$ . To prove  $V = V_*$ , it is enough to show that a continuous linear functional L in  $V_*$ , which

vanishes on V, is identically 0. We know there exists  $l \in V'_*$  so that for any  $v \in V_*$ ,

$$L(v) = \langle l, v \rangle$$

Since  $V_*$  is a closed subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  it may be extended as a linear continuous functional on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and so  $l \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  and we have  $\langle l, v \rangle =$ 0 for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Propositions 1.1 and 1.3 imply that  $l = \nabla p$  and  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$ , and thus for any  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

(3.23) 
$$L(v) = \langle l, v \rangle = \langle \partial_{x_i} p, v_i \rangle = -(p, \partial_{x_i} v_i)$$

Therefore L(v) = 0 for  $v \in V_*$ .

4

# 4. Existence and uniqueness for the steady Stokes equations

let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  and let  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ . We seek to find solution to

(4.24) 
$$-\nu\Delta u + \nabla p = f \text{ in } \Omega$$

(4.25) 
$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$(4.26) u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbf{I}$$

If f, u and p were smooth functions, it would follow from multiplying (4.24) and using integration by parts that for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,

(4.27) 
$$\nu(u,v)_1 = (f,v)$$
,

where  $(u, v)_1 = (Du, Dv)$ . Since each side of (4.27) depends linearly and continuously on  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , the equality (4.27) holds for any  $v \in V$ , the closure of  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . If  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$ , then any smooth solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . From (4.25),  $u \in V$  in addition to satisfying (4.27). We will now show that (4.27) provides for a weak formulation of Stokes equation (4.24)-(4.26).

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set of class  $C^2$ . The following conditions are equivalent

i.  $u \in V$  and satisfies (4.27) for every  $v \in V$ .

**ii.**  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfies (4.24)-(4.26) in the following weak sense: there exists  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  so that (4.24)-(4.25) are satisfied in the sense of distribution and  $\gamma_0 u = 0$ 

*Proof.* If (ii.) is satisfied, then (4.27) follows for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  since we can make (4.24) act on v in the sense of a distribution. Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is dense in V in  $H_0^1$ , statement (i) follows.

Assume now  $u \in V$  satisfies (4.27) for any  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then, it follows that

(4.28) 
$$\langle -\nu\Delta u - f, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } v \in \mathcal{V}$$

From Proposition 1.1 and 1.3, there exists  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$(4.29) \qquad \qquad -\nu\Delta u - f = -\nabla p$$

in the sense of distribution. Further since  $u \in V \subset H_0^1$ ,  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$  in the sense of distribution and  $\gamma_0 u = 0$ ; thus statement (ii) follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** (Projection Theorem) For any open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , which is bounded in some direction and every  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , the problem (4.27) has unique solution  $u \in V$  (the result is equally valid for any  $f \in$  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ ). More over, there exists a function  $p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  such that (4.24)-(4.25) are satisfied in the sense of distribution.

If  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of class  $C^2$ , then  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$  and (4.24)-(4.25) are satisfied by u and p.

This theorem is a consequence of Lemma 4.1 and the following (Lax-Milgram) Theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** If W is a separable real Hilbert Space with norm  $\|.\|_W$ and let a(u, v) be a linear continuous form on  $W \times W$ , so that there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

(4.30) 
$$a(u, u) \ge \alpha ||u||_w^2$$
, for any  $u \in W$ 

Then for each  $l \in W'$ , the dual space of W, there exists unique  $u \in W$  such that

(4.31) 
$$a(u,v) = \langle l,v \rangle$$
, for any  $v \in W$ 

To apply the above Theorem to prove Theorem 4.1, we take W = Vand  $a(u, v) = (u, v)_1$  and define l so that  $\langle l, v \rangle = (f, v)$ . The space V is separable as a closed subspace of a separable space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  (in particular  $V = \mathcal{P}H_0^1$ ).

**Proof of Theorem 4.2** Uniqueness part of the theorem follows from the observation that if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are two solutions then

$$(4.32) a(u_1 - u_2, v) = a(u_1, v) - a(u_2, v) = 0$$

Using  $v = u_1 - u_2$ , we obtain  $0 \ge \alpha ||u_1 - u_2||_W^2$ , implying  $u_1 = u_2$ . Now consider existence question. Since W is separable, thee exists  $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  which forms a basis for W. Define  $W_m = Span \{w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m\} \subset W$ . For each fixed integer  $m \ge 1$ , we define approximate solution  $u_m$ :

(4.33) 
$$u_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_{i,m} w_i$$

and require it to satisfy

(4.34) 
$$a(u_m, v) = \langle l, v \rangle$$
, for any  $v \in W_m$ 

By replacing v by  $w_j$ , j = 1, ...m, we get a linear system of m equations for unknowns  $\{\xi_{i,m}\}_{i=1}^m$ :

(4.35) 
$$a(u_m, w_j) = \langle l, w_j \rangle \text{, for } j = 1, 2 \cdots m$$

We now claim there exists a unique solution to (4.35) for if there were two such solutions their difference  $v_m$  would satisfy

It follows that  $a(v_m, v_m) = 0$ , which implies  $v_m = 0$ . Therefore, we have a unique solution to (4.34). Now, by substituting  $v = u_m$  in (4.34), it follows that

(4.37) 
$$\alpha \|u_m\|_W^2 \le \|l\|_{W'} \|u_m\|_W$$
, implying  $\|u_m\|_W \le \frac{1}{\alpha} \|l\|_{W'}$ 

Therefore, there exists subsequence  $u_{m'} \to u \in W$  weakly. Take  $v \in W_j$  to be a fixed element for some j. Then when  $m' \geq j$ ,  $v \in W_{m'}$  and according to (4.34)

On using the following Lemma 4.2 and taking the limit  $m' \to \infty$ , we obtain

Since this is true for  $v \in W_j$  for any j we have

(4.40) 
$$a(u, v) = \langle l, v \rangle$$
 for any  $v \in W$ 

**Lemma 4.2.** Let a(u, v) be a bilinear continuous form on a Hilbert space W. Let  $\phi_m$  (or  $\psi_m$ ) be a sequence of elements of W which converges to  $\phi$  (or  $\psi$ ) in the weak (or strong) topology of W. Then

(4.41) 
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} a\left(\psi_m, \phi_m\right) = a(\psi, \phi)$$

(4.42) 
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} a(\phi_m, \psi_m) = a(\phi, \phi)$$

*Proof.* We write

(4.43) 
$$a(\psi_m, \phi_m) - a(\psi, \phi) = a(\psi_m - \psi, \phi_m) + a(\psi, \phi_m - \phi)$$

Since a is continuous and the sequence  $\phi_m$  is bounded

(4.44) 
$$|a(\psi_m - \psi, \phi_m)| \le c ||\psi_m - \psi||_W ||||\phi_m||_W \le c' ||\psi_m - \psi||_W$$

and this term converges to 0 as  $m \to \infty$ .

We notice next that  $v \to a(\psi, v)$  is continuous on W and there exists an element  $A(\psi) \in W'$  with  $a(\psi, v) = \langle A(\psi), v \rangle$  for every  $v \in W$ . Therefore, we can write

(4.45) 
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} a(\psi, \phi_m - \phi) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \langle A(\psi), \phi_m - \phi \rangle = 0$$

To prove the second statement (4.42), we simply use  $a^*(u, v) = a(v, u)$ .

### 5. Eigenfunctions of the Stokes problem

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define  $\Lambda : f \to \frac{1}{\nu}u$  to be the map defined in Theorem 4.1, which is clearly a linear and continuous map from  $L^2(\Omega)$  onto  $V \subset H^1_0(\Omega)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is bounded, the injection  $H^1_0(\Omega)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  is compact, implying the compactness of the operator  $\Lambda$ . Further, the  $\Lambda$  is self-adjoint and positive operator since

(5.46) 
$$(\Lambda f_1, f_2) = \nu (u_1, u_2)_1 = (f_1, \Lambda f_2)$$

It follows that there exists orthonormal sequence of eigenfunctions  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \in V$  and corresponding set  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of eigenvalues with  $\lambda_j > 0$  and  $\lambda_j \to \infty$  such that  $\Lambda w_j = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} w_j$  and for any  $j \ge 1$ ,

(5.47) 
$$(w_j, v)_1 = \lambda_j (w_j, v) \text{ for any } v \in V$$

$$(5.48) (w_j, w_k) = \delta_{j,k}$$

(5.49) 
$$(w_j, w_k)_1 = \lambda_j \delta_{j,k}$$

Using Theorem 4.1, it follows that for any  $j \ge 1$ , there exists  $p_j \in L^2(\Omega)$  so that

(5.50) 
$$-\nu\Delta w_j + \nabla p_j = \lambda_j w_j \text{ in } \Omega$$

(5.51) 
$$\nabla \cdot w_j = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$(5.52) \qquad \qquad \gamma_0 w_j = 0$$

These are the eigenfunctions of the Stokes problem. If  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^m$  for integer  $m \geq 2$ , a iterated application of Proposition 1.3 shows that

(5.53) 
$$w_j \in H^m(\Omega) , p_j \in H^{m-1}(\Omega) , \text{for any } j \ge 1$$

If  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^{\infty}$ , then  $w_j, p_j \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ . and the asymptotic behavior  $\lambda_j \sim cj^{n/2}$  can be derived from a variational approach.