

Week 5 Notes, Math 865, Tanveer

1. RIGOROUS NAVIER-STOKES ANALYSIS: MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Basic Definitions.

Definition 1.1. For $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$(1.1) \quad |v| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N v_j^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

For a function $f(x)$, with $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$(1.2) \quad Df = (\partial_{x_1} f, \dots, \partial_{x_N} f),$$

with each component $\partial_{x_j} f \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$(1.3) \quad |Df| = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N [\partial_{x_j} f_i]^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

Analogously, higher order tensors $D^2 f$, $D^3 f$ and their absolute values are defined. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_N)$, each being non-negative integers, we define

$$(1.4) \quad D^\alpha f = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial_{x_N}^{\alpha_N} f$$

We define the norm of the multi-index α :

$$(1.5) \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_N$$

We also consider norms

$$(1.6) \quad \|f\|_0 \equiv \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

The corresponding \mathbf{L}^2 inner product will be denoted by

$$(1.7) \quad (f, g)_0 = \int_{\Omega^N} f(x)g(x)dx$$

We define higher order energy norms $\|\cdot\|_m^{(1)}$:

$$(1.8) \quad \|f\|_m \equiv \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^m(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha f\|_0^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

The corresponding inner-product in \mathbf{H}^m will be denoted by $(\cdot, \cdot)_m$

⁽¹⁾ Note that through a Fourier-representation, $\|\cdot\|_m$ can be generalized to nonintegral or negative m . We will use such generalizations later.

1.2. Hodge Projection.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $u \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ for a simply connected domain with smooth boundaries. Then, there exists a unique decomposition*

$$(1.9) \quad u = w + v,$$

where $\nabla \cdot w = 0$, $\nabla \times v = 0^{(2)}$ in Ω and $w \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Further,

$$\|u\|_0^2 = \|w\|_0^2 + \|v\|_0^2$$

Also for any scalar function $\Psi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$, $(w, \nabla\Psi)_0 = 0$.

Proof. We assume first $u \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$, where Ω_1 is some domain⁽³⁾ with $\bar{\Omega} \subset \Omega_1$. First consider solution ϕ to the following elliptic problem

$$(1.10) \quad \Delta\phi = \nabla \cdot u, \text{ for } x \in \Omega; \quad \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

Elliptic theory gives a smooth solution ϕ that is unique upto an additive constant. Then, define

$$w = u - \nabla\phi$$

Then, $\nabla \cdot w = \nabla \cdot u - \Delta\phi = 0$ in Ω and on $\partial\Omega$, $w \cdot n = u \cdot n - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n} = 0$. So, if we define $v = \nabla\phi$, then $u = w + v$, where $\nabla \cdot w = 0$ and $\nabla \times v = 0$. This decomposition is unique since the only solution to $\Delta\psi = 0$ with $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} = 0$ is $\psi = \text{const.}$. Further, we note that

$$(w, v) = (w, \nabla\phi)_0 = \int_{\Omega} w_j(x) \phi_{,j}(x) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla \cdot (w\phi) dx = 0$$

since $w \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, (1.9) is indeed an orthogonal decomposition of u and Pythagorus theorem gives the result $\|u\|_0^2 = \|w\|_0^2 + \|v\|_0^2$ as desired. Note an arbitrary function $u \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$ can be written as an \mathbf{L}^2 limit of a Cauchy sequence $u_m \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\Omega_1)$. However, the Pythagorus theorem above implies that the corresponding w_m and v_m , have corresponding limits $w, v \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$. Further, since $\nabla \cdot w_m = 0$, $\nabla \times v_m = 0$ the limit w satisfies $\nabla \cdot w = 0$, $\nabla \times v = 0$ in the weak sense.

Further, we have for any scalar function $\Psi \in \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$,

$$(w, \nabla\Psi)_0 = \int_{\Omega} w_j \cdot \Psi_{,j} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} n_j w_j \Psi dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot w) \Psi dx = 0$$

■

Definition 1.2. *We define Hodge Projection \mathcal{P} so that $w = \mathcal{P}[u]$ in Theorem 1.1. This is a projection to the set of divergence free vector fields. From Theorem above, it follows that $\|\mathcal{P}[u]\|_0 \leq \|u\|_0$.*

Lemma 1.3. *The operator \mathcal{P} is self-adjoint in $\mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$: for arbitrary vector fields $u, v \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega)$, $(u, \mathcal{P}v)_0 = (\mathcal{P}u, v)_0$.*

⁽²⁾ The derivative is understood in the weak sense, i.e. for any $\phi \in \mathbf{C}_c(\Omega)$, $\int_{\Omega} \phi \partial_{x_j} w dx = - \int_{\Omega} w \partial_{x_j} \phi dx$

⁽³⁾ Domain will always be understood to be an open connected set

Proof. We express $u = \mathcal{P}u + (I - \mathcal{P})u$. Since $(I - \mathcal{P})u = \nabla\Psi$, it follows from previous theorem that

$$(u, \mathcal{P}v)_0 = (\mathcal{P}u, \mathcal{P}v)_0$$

Again from previous theorem, $(\mathcal{P}u, (I - \mathcal{P})v) = 0$. So,

$$(u, \mathcal{P}v)_0 = (\mathcal{P}u, v)_0$$

■

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ for $N = 2$ or $N = 3$, it is readily checked from that

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{F}\{-\nabla[\Delta^{-1}][\nabla \cdot u]\}(k) = \frac{ik}{|k|^2}[ik \cdot \hat{u}] = -\frac{k(k \cdot \hat{u})}{|k|^2}, \text{ where } \hat{u}(k) = \mathcal{F}[u](k)$$

Applying this to the construction of w in Theorem 1.1, it follows that $\mathcal{P}[u]$ for $u \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $N = 2, 3$, has the following representation in the Fourier Space:

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{F}\{\mathcal{P}[u]\}(k) = P_k \hat{u}(k), \text{ where } P_k = \left(I - \frac{k(k \cdot)}{|k|^2}\right), \text{ and } \hat{u}(k) = \mathcal{F}[u](k)$$

where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier-Transform operator and $k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the Fourier dual of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The same representation is valid when $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for $k \neq 0$, when we consider Navier-Stokes equation for $x \in \mathbb{T}[0, 2\pi]^N$, *i.e.* space periodic problem.

Formally applying the Hodge Projection operator to the NS equation

$$u_t - \nu \Delta u = -\nabla p - (u \cdot \nabla)u + f, \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0, \quad \text{with } u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

we obtain an alternate form of Navier-Stokes equation

$$(1.13) \quad u_t + \nu \mathcal{A}u = -\mathcal{P}[(u \cdot \nabla)u] + \mathcal{P}f, \quad \text{with } u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(-\Delta)$ is called the Stokes operator. Note also that in deriving (1.13), we used the fact that operators ∂_t and \mathcal{P} commute in appropriate space of functions. Note that $-\Delta$ and \mathcal{P} do not necessarily commute because of the boundary condition since $w \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ does not generally imply $(\Delta w) \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. However, if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ or $\mathbb{T}[0, 2\pi]^N$, then $\mathcal{A} = -\Delta$. Equation (1.13) is supplemented by appropriate boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$ (no-slip condition for solid boundaries); for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, we require appropriate decay at ∞ , while if $\Omega = \mathbb{T}[0, 2\pi]^N$, no boundary condition is required since periodicity suffices.

The abstract representation (1.13) is widely used in the mathematical analysis of Navier-Stokes. We may, without loss of generality, replace the forcing term $\mathcal{P}f$ by f by assuming f to be divergence free since since $(I - \mathcal{P})f$ is a conservative force that can be absorbed in the term $-\nabla p$, by redefining p .

1.3. N-S Equation in the Fourier-Space for $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ or $\Omega = \mathbb{T}[0, 2\pi]^N$ and integral representation. We formally apply Fourier Transform operator on the Navier Stokes equation in the form (1.13), noting that $\mathcal{A} = -\Delta$ in this case, while

$$\mathcal{F}[-\Delta u](k) = |k|^2 \mathcal{F}[u](k), \quad \mathcal{F}[\nabla u](k) = ik \mathcal{F}[u](k), \quad \mathcal{F}[gh] = \mathcal{F}[g] \hat{*} \mathcal{F}[h],$$

where for $k \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we have the convolution operation $\hat{*}$ defined as

$$\left[\hat{g} \hat{*} \hat{h}\right](k) = \int_{k' \in \mathbb{R}^N} \hat{g}(k - k') \hat{h}(k') dk'$$

while for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, $\hat{*}$ is defined by

$$\left[\hat{g}\hat{*}\hat{h}\right](k) = \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \hat{g}(k - k')\hat{h}(k')$$

The Navier-Stokes equation in the Fourier-Space becomes

$$(1.14) \quad \hat{u}_t + \nu|k|^2\hat{u} = -ik_j P_k [\hat{u}_j \hat{*} \hat{u}] + \hat{f} \text{ with } \hat{u}(k, 0) = \hat{u}_0(k)$$

where $\hat{u}(k, t) = \mathcal{F}[u(\cdot, t)](k)$. Note in (1.14) we used divergence free condition $k \cdot \hat{u}(k) = 0$ to conclude that convolution term

$$ik'_j \hat{u}_j(k - k') = i(k'_j - k_j)u_j(k - k') + ik_j u_j(k - k') = ik_j \hat{u}_j(k - k')$$

Inverting the left hand side of (1.14), we obtain the integral equation:

$$(1.15) \quad \hat{u}(k, t) = \int_0^t e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} H(k, \tau) d\tau + \hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t) =: \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}](k, t)$$

where

$$(1.16) \quad \hat{H}(k, t) = -ik_j \mathcal{P}_k [\hat{u}_j \hat{*} \hat{u}]$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad \hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t) = \hat{u}_0(k) e^{-\nu|k|^2 t} + \int_0^t e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} \hat{f}(k, \tau) d\tau$$

Remark 1.4. Note that in the Stokes limit the nonlinear term H is absent, and we have an exact representation of solution to the Initial Value problem for the Stokes Problem. In general, (1.15) is an integral equation to determine $\hat{u}(k, t)$, which can be abstractly written as $\hat{u} = \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}]$. We will use contraction mapping theorem to determine solution to this integral equation in the right space of function.

1.3.1. Solution to $\hat{u} = \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}]$.

Definition 1.5. Define norm $\|\cdot\|$ in the space of N -dimensional vector functions of (k, t) , for $k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ $t \in [0, T]$, equipped with norm

$$\|\hat{u}\| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{u}(k, \cdot)| d\hat{k}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, we define

$$\|\hat{u}\| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{u}(k, \cdot)|$$

It is also convenient to define

$$\hat{w}(k) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{u}(k, t)|$$

$$\hat{w}^{(0)}(k) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t)|$$

$$\hat{w}^{(1,2)}(k) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{u}^{(1)}(k, t) - \hat{u}^{(2)}(k, t)|$$

Remark 1.6. The space \mathcal{S} of functions $\hat{u}(k, t)$ that are continuous in $t \in [0, T]$ for each k and for which $\|u\| < \infty$ is a Banach Space. It is not difficult to argue that $u(x, t) \equiv \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{u}(\cdot, t)](x)$ defines a continuous function in x and t .

Lemma 1.7. *If the forcing term $\hat{f}(k, t) = \hat{f}(k)$, then the inhomogeneous term $\hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t)$ in the integral equation satisfies the following bound for $k \in \mathbb{R}^N$:*

$$(1.18) \quad \|\hat{u}^{(0)}\| \leq \|\hat{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + c_2 T \|\hat{f}(k)\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad \text{where } c_2 = \sup_{\gamma > 0} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\gamma}}{\gamma} \right)$$

The same result, except with $\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ replaced by $\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, holds when $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$.

Proof. We note that in this case, calculation based on (1.17) shows that

$$(1.19) \quad \hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t) = \hat{u}_0(k) e^{-\nu|k|^2 t} + \hat{f}(k) \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\nu|k|^2 t}}{\nu|k|^2} \right)$$

The Lemma follows immediately result on using the definition of $\|\cdot\|$. \blacksquare

Lemma 1.8. *For \hat{H} defined in (1.16),*

$$(1.20) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{H}(k, t)| \leq 2|k| \hat{w} \hat{w}$$

Further, if $\hat{H}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{H}^{(2)}$ corresponds to $\hat{u}^{(1)}$ and $\hat{u}^{(2)}$, then

$$(1.21) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{H}^{(1)}(k, t) - \hat{H}^{(2)}(k, t)| \leq 2|k| \left(\hat{w}^{(1)} + \hat{w}^{(2)} \right) \hat{w}^{(1,2)}$$

Proof. Since $|P_k \hat{v}| \leq 2|\hat{v}|$, it follows that follows from noting that $t \in [0, T]$,

$$|ik_j P_k [\hat{u}_j \hat{w} \hat{u}]| \leq 2|k| \hat{w} \hat{w}$$

Further, note that

$$|ik_j P_k [\hat{u}_j^{(1)} \hat{w} \hat{u}^{(1)} - \hat{u}_j^{(2)} \hat{w} \hat{u}^{(2)}]| \leq 2|k| \left(|\hat{u}^{(1)}| + |\hat{u}^{(2)}| \right) \hat{w} |\hat{u}^{(1)} - \hat{u}^{(2)}| \leq 2|k| \left(|\hat{w}^{(1)}| + |\hat{w}^{(2)}| \right) \hat{w}^{(1,2)}$$

Taking supremum of the left side over $t \in [0, T]$, the second part of the Lemma follows. \blacksquare

Lemma 1.9. *In the space of functions $\hat{u}(k, t)$ for which $\|\hat{u}\|$, $\|\hat{u}^{(1)}\|$ and $\|\hat{u}^{(2)}\|$ are finite,*

$$(1.22) \quad \|\mathcal{N}[\hat{u}]\| \leq \|\hat{u}^{(0)}\| + 2c_1 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}} \|\hat{u}\|^2$$

where $c_1 = \sup_{\gamma \geq 0} \frac{1 - e^{-\gamma}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. Further,

$$(1.23) \quad \|\mathcal{N}[\hat{u}^{(1)}] - \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}^{(2)}]\| \leq 2c_1 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}} \left(\|\hat{u}_1\| + \|\hat{u}^{(2)}\| \right) \|\hat{u}_1 - \hat{u}_2\|$$

Proof. We note that for $t \in [0, T]$, we note by using (1.20),

$$|\mathcal{N}[\hat{u}](k, t)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{H}(k, t)| \int_0^t e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} d\tau + \hat{w}^{(0)}(k) \leq 2\hat{w} \hat{w} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\nu|k|^2 t}}{\nu|k|} \right) + \hat{w}^{(0)}(k)$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\mathcal{N}[\hat{u}](k, t)| \leq 2c_1 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}} \hat{w} \hat{w} + \hat{w}^{(0)}$$

Therefore, using

$$\|\hat{g} \hat{w} \hat{h}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\hat{h}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

or in the discrete case,

$$\|\hat{g} \hat{*} \hat{h}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \leq \|\hat{g}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{Z}^N)} \|\hat{h}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$$

we obtain from definition of $\|\cdot\|$ that

$$\|\mathcal{N}[\hat{u}]\| \leq 2c_1 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}} \|\hat{u}\|^2 + \|\hat{u}^{(0)}\|$$

and (1.22) follows. Again, since

$$|\mathcal{N}[\hat{u}^{(1)}](k, t) - \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}^{(2)}](k, t)| \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\hat{H}^{(1)}(k, t) - \hat{H}^{(2)}(k, t)| \int_0^t e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} d\tau$$

Using (1.21) and going through the same steps as above, (1.23) follows. \blacksquare

Proposition 1.10. *There exists unique solution to the equation $\hat{u} = \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}]$ (in (1.15) in the ball \mathcal{B} : $\|\hat{u}\| \leq 2\|\hat{u}^{(0)}\|$ for T small enough to satisfy*

$$(1.24) \quad 8c_1 \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}} \|\hat{u}^{(0)}\| = \beta < 1$$

Proof. It is clear that condition (1.24) implies from (1.22) that \mathcal{N} maps the ball \mathcal{B} back to itself. Further, from (1.23), condition (1.24) implies that \mathcal{N} is a contraction map in the ball \mathcal{B} , i.e.

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{N}}[u^{(1)}] - \mathcal{N}[u^{(2)}]\| \leq \beta \|u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}\|$$

Hence from contraction mapping theorem applied to the Banach space of N -dimensional vector functions of (k, t) with finite $\|\cdot\|$, the proposition follows. \blacksquare

Remark 1.11. *Since the solution to the integral equation (1.15) is in the space where $\|\cdot\| < \infty$, it implies that $\hat{u}(k, t)$ is continuous in t and $\|\hat{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ (or $\|\hat{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$ for the discrete case) exists and is continuous in t . This implies that $\hat{u}(k, t)$ satisfies the Navier-Stokes equation (1.14) in the Fourier-Space.*

1.4. Instantaneous smoothing. The following result shows that the inverse Fourier-Transform of the solution $\hat{u}(k, t)$ to (1.15) corresponds to a classical solution of Navier-Stokes, with appropriate derivatives existing for $t \in (0, T]$, i.e. there is instantaneous smoothing due to viscous effects. For simplicity of presentation, we take $\hat{f}(k, t) = \hat{f}(k)$, though results for more general $\hat{f}(k, t)$ that are bounded in t follow in a similar manner. We also limit ourselves to $k \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The periodic box case for which $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ is treated in a similar manner.

Lemma 1.12. *Assume $\hat{u}_0, \hat{f} \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume the integral equation $\hat{u} = \mathcal{N}[\hat{u}]$ has a solution $\hat{u}(k, t)$ with $\|\hat{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty$ for $t \in [0, T]$. Then $u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{u}(\cdot, t)](x)$ is a classical solution of the Navier-Stokes solution for $t \in (0, T]$.*

Proof. We first show show $|k|^2 \hat{u}(\cdot, t) \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $t \in (0, T]$.

Consider the time interval $[\epsilon, T]$ for $\epsilon \geq 0$. Define

$$\hat{w}_\epsilon(k) = \sup_{\epsilon \leq t \leq T} |\hat{u}(k, t)|.$$

Since $|\hat{u}(k, t)|$ is finite for $t \in [0, T]$ \hat{w}_0 (or \hat{w}_ϵ) satisfies

$$\|\hat{w}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^1} < \infty$$

On $[\epsilon, T]$ for $\epsilon > 0$, the integral equation implies

$$(1.25) \quad \hat{u}(k, t) = -ik_j \int_0^t e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} P_k(\hat{u}_j \hat{*} \hat{u})(k, \tau) d\tau + \hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t)$$

Therefore,

$$|k| |\hat{u}(k, t)| \leq 2 \{ \hat{w}_0 \hat{*} \hat{w}_0 \} \int_0^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} d\tau + |k| |\hat{u}^{(0)}(k, t)|$$

Since $\int_0^t \nu |k|^2 e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} d\tau \leq 1$, it follows from (1.19) that

$$(1.26) \quad |k| \hat{w}_{\epsilon/2} \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \{ \hat{w}_0 \hat{*} \hat{w}_0 \} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu\epsilon}} \left(\sup_{\gamma>0} \gamma e^{-\gamma^2} \right) |\hat{u}_0| + c_1 |\hat{f}(k)| \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}}$$

Using now the bounds on \hat{w}_0 we get

$$\| |k| \hat{w}_{\epsilon/2} \|_{\mathbf{L}^1} \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \|\hat{u}\|^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon^{1/2} \nu^{1/2}} \|\hat{u}_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^1} + c_1 \|\hat{f}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}}$$

The evolution of \hat{u} is autonomous in time, and thus, for $t \in [\frac{\epsilon}{2}, T]$ we have

$$(1.27) \quad \hat{u}(k, t) = -i \int_{\epsilon/2}^t e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} P_k(\hat{u}_j \hat{*} [k_j \hat{u}]) (k, \tau) d\tau \\ + \hat{u}(k, \epsilon/2) e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\epsilon/2)} + \hat{f}(k) \frac{1 - e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\epsilon/2)}}{\nu|k|^2},$$

where we used the divergence condition $k \cdot \hat{u}(k, t) = 0$. Multiplying (1.27) by $|k|^2$ and using (1.26), it follows that for $t \in [\epsilon, T]$ we have

$$|k|^2 |\hat{u}(k, t)| \leq 2 \hat{w}_{\epsilon/2} \hat{*} [|k| \hat{w}_{\epsilon/2}] \int_{\epsilon/2}^t |k|^2 e^{-\nu|k|^2(t-\tau)} d\tau \\ + \frac{1}{\nu(t-\epsilon/2)} \left(\sup_{\gamma>0} \gamma e^{-\gamma} \right) |\hat{u}(k, \epsilon/2)| + \frac{|\hat{f}|}{\nu},$$

implying that

$$\| |k|^2 \hat{w}_\epsilon \|_{\mathbf{L}^1} \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \|\hat{w}_{\epsilon/2}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1} \| |k| \hat{w}_{\epsilon/2} \|_{\mathbf{L}^1} + \frac{C}{\epsilon \nu} \|\hat{w}_{\epsilon/2}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1} + c_1 \|\hat{f}\|_{\mathbf{L}^1} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\nu}}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it follows that $|k|^2 \hat{u}(\cdot, t) \in \mathbf{L}^1$ for $t \in (0, T]$, with $\| |k|^2 \hat{u}(\cdot, t) \|_{\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{Z}^N)}$ continuous in that interval. Applying inverse Fourier-Transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} to (1.14), from Fourier theory, we obtain $u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\hat{u}(\cdot, t)](x)$ to be a classical solution to the Navier-Stokes equation (1.15). \blacksquare

1.5. Kinetic Energy Dissipation: Consider the incompressible constant density Navier-Stokes equation

$$(1.28) \quad \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta u + b$$

$$(1.29) \quad \nabla \cdot u = 0$$

Then the i -th component of (1.28) is given by

$$(1.30) \quad \partial_t u_i + u_j \partial_{x_j} u_i = -\partial_{x_i} p + \nu \partial_{x_j}^2 u_i + b_i$$

Multiplying (1.30) by u_i and summing over i and using (1.29), we have on using Einstein convention of summation over repeated indices:

$$(1.31) \quad \partial_t \frac{1}{2} u_i^2 + \partial_{x_j} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_j u_i^2 \right) = -\partial_{x_i} (p u_i) + \nu \partial_{x_j} (u_i \partial_{x_j} u_i) - \nu \partial_{x_j} u_i \partial_{x_j} u_i + b_i u_i$$

Assuming that $|u|(|u|^2 + p) + |u||Du| = o(|x|^{-N+1})$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain from (1.31) after integration over \mathbb{R}^N the following identity:

$$(1.32) \quad \partial_t \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_0^2 = -\nu \|Du\|_0^2 + (b, u)_0$$

The physical kinetic energy in the fluid is

$$(1.33) \quad E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} |u(x, t)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_0^2,$$

In the absence of any force, *i.e.* $b = 0$, we obtain from (1.32)

$$(1.34) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E = -\nu \|Du\|_0^2 = -\nu \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |Du(x, t)|^2 dx = -\epsilon,$$

where ϵ is usually referred to as the rate of energy dissipation. For zero viscosity, *i.e.* Euler flow, kinetic energy E is conserved and $E(t) = E(0)$. In the presence of a force b , the term $(b, u)_0$ is the work done by the force b per-unit time. So, (1.32) is a physical statement that *rate of change of Kinetic Energy* is the *rate of work done by the external force* minus the *Energy dissipated /lost due to viscous friction*.

This dissipated energy is actually converted to heat. For *compressible* fluid flow, we have to couple heat energy and thermodynamics with momentum equation to get a complete set of equations. But this is not the case for *incompressible* Navier-Stokes equation, which we are studying here, and we do not have to consider thermodynamics to solve for fluid flow field u .