

Q1 (i)(a) Bookwork

Suppose (*) has a repeated root. Then the LHS factorizes as

$$t^3 + pt + q = (t - u)^2(t - v) \quad \boxed{1}$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ (possibly equal). Expanding out we have

$$2u + v = 0, \quad 2uv + u^2 = p, \quad -u^2v = q.$$

Eliminating v , this gives

$$p = -3u^2, \quad q = 2u^3. \quad \boxed{1}$$

To eliminate u , cube the first equation and square the second. We obtain

$$4p^3 + 27q^2 = 0. \quad \boxed{1}$$

So if (*) has repeated roots, the quantity $4p^3 + 27q^2$ is zero.

(b) Unseen Suppose (*) has one real and two complex, non-real, roots. Then it factorizes as

$$t^3 + pt + q = (t - u)(t^2 + bt + c) \quad \boxed{1}$$

where $b^2 - 4c < 0$. Expanding out we get

$$b - u = 0, \quad c - bu = p, \quad -cu = q, \quad \boxed{1}$$

so

$$4p^3 + 27q^2 = 4(c - b^2) + 27b^2c^2 = 4c^3 + 15b^2c^2 + 12b^4c - 4b^6. \quad \boxed{1}$$

This is the negative of

$$4b^6 - 12b^4c - 15b^2c^2 - 4c^3 = (b^2 - 4c)(4b^4 + 4b^2c + c^2). \quad \boxed{2}$$

Now $4b^4 + 4b^2c + c^2 \geq 0$ and can only be zero if $b = c = 0$ and therefore $p = q = 0$, contradicting the assumption of non-real roots. $\boxed{1}$

Since $b^2 - 4c < 0$ we get $4p^3 + 27q^2 > 0$.

(ii) Bookwork

The characteristic of a field K is the least positive integer n such that $n1 = 0$. $\boxed{1}$ If no such n exists then K has characteristic zero. $\boxed{1}$

A homomorphism of fields is a map $\varphi: K \rightarrow L$ such that $\varphi(0_K) = 0_L$, $\varphi(1_K) = 1_L$, $\boxed{1}$
 $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ and $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ for all $a, b \in K$. $\boxed{1}$

The degree of a homomorphism $\varphi: K \rightarrow L$ is the dimension of the vector space L over $\varphi(K)$. $\boxed{1, \text{any equivalent formulation acceptable}}$

An automorphism of fields is a homomorphism $\varphi: K \rightarrow L$ which is a bijection. $\boxed{1}$

An ideal in a ring R is a subset I which contains the zero element, is closed under addition, and is such that if $a \in R$ and $b \in I$ then $ab \in I$. $\boxed{3}$

(iii) Bookwork

(a) Take $a \in K$ with $a \neq 0$. Then a^{-1} exists and $aa^{-1} = 1_K$. So $\varphi(a)\varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(1_K) = 1_L$. In particular $\varphi(a) \neq 0_L$. 2

(b) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n1_K \neq 0_K$ then $\varphi(n1_K) \neq 0_L$, by (a). 1 And

$$\varphi(n1_K) = \varphi(1_K + \cdots + 1_K) \text{ (} n \text{ times)} = 1_L + \cdots + 1_L = n1_L$$

so $n1_L \neq 0_L$. 1 Likewise $n1_K = 0_K$ implies that $n1_L = 0_L$. So, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$n1_K \neq 0_K \text{ if and only if } n1_L \neq 0_L. \quad \text{span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 0 2px;">1}$$

It follows that K has characteristic 0 if and only if L has characteristic 0.

If K has characteristic p then $p1_K = 0_K$ but $n1_K \neq 0_K$ for $n = 1, \dots, p-1$. So $p1_L = 0_L$ but $n1_L \neq 0_L$ for $n = 1, \dots, p-1$. 1 Therefore L has characteristic p . The converse is similar. 1

2(a) Bookwork

A polynomial is primitive if there is no prime p which divides all its coefficients. Denote by π_p the canonical ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Then $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is primitive if and only if $\pi_p(q(x)) \neq 0$ for all primes p . 2

Consider a prime p . By the assumption we have $\pi_p(f(x)) \neq 0$ and $\pi_p(g(x)) \neq 0$. Since \mathbb{F}_p is a field, we have $\pi_p(f(x))\pi_p(g(x)) \neq 0$. 1 Now $\pi_p(f(x)g(x)) = \pi_p(f(x))\pi_p(g(x))$, so $\pi_p(f(x)g(x)) \neq 0$. 1

As this holds for all p it follows that $f(x)g(x)$ is primitive, as claimed. 1

(b) Bookwork

Let u be the least common multiple of the denominators of the coefficients of f , or equivalently the smallest positive integer such that the polynomial $\bar{f}(x) = uf(x)$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. 1 We claim that $\bar{f}(x)$ is primitive.

Indeed, if it were not primitive, there would be a prime p that divides all the coefficients of $\bar{f}(x)$, and then $\frac{1}{p}\bar{f}(x)$ would also be in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, contradicting the definition of u . So $\bar{f}(x)$ must be primitive after all. 2

Similarly, we can find an integer $v > 0$ such that the polynomial $\bar{g}(x) = vg(x)$ is integral and primitive.

Now put $\bar{q}(x) = \bar{f}(x)\bar{g}(x)$, and note from (a) that $\bar{q}(x)$ is primitive. 1

On the other hand, we have $\bar{q}(x) = uvf(x)g(x) = uvq(x)$, with $uv \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. It follows that any prime dividing uv divides all the coefficients of $\bar{q}(x)$, which is impossible because $\bar{q}(x)$ is primitive. 2

It follows that there cannot be any primes dividing uv , so we must have $u = v = 1$. Thus $f(x) = \bar{f}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $g(x) = \bar{g}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ as claimed. 1

(c) Standard type

The only quadratics over \mathbb{F}_2 are x^2 , $x^2 + 1$, $x^2 + x$ and $x^2 + x + 1$. 2

Of these we have that x^2 , $x^2 + 1 = (x + 1)^2$ and $x^2 + x = x(x + 1)$ are not irreducible. 1

$p(x) := x^2 + x + 1$ has $p(0) = 1$ and $p(1) = 1$ so is irreducible over F_2 . 1

(d) Standard type

First, in \mathbb{F}_2 we have $f(0) = 1$ and $f(1) = 1$, so $f(x)$ has no roots, so it has no factors of degree one. 1 Thus, the only way it could factorise would be as an irreducible quadratic times an irreducible cubic. 1

By long division over \mathbb{F}_2 we get

$$f(x) = (x^3 + x^2)(x^2 + x + 1) + 1, \quad \text{span style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 0 2px;">2$$

so $f(x)$ is not divisible by $x^2 + x + 1$. It is therefore irreducible as claimed. 1

Now suppose there is a factorisation $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are monic. Then from (b) it follows that $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, so we can reduce everything modulo 2. 1

We then have $\bar{f}(x) = \bar{g}(x)\bar{h}(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, but $\bar{f}(x)$ is irreducible, so one of the factors must be equal to one, say $\bar{g}(x) = 1$. 1 As $g(x)$ is monic, the only way we can have $\bar{g}(x) = 1$ is if $g(x) = 1$. 1 We deduce that $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, as claimed. 1

3 Bookwork

(a) We argue by induction on n .

If $n = 1$ then we have $b_1\theta_1(a) = 0$ for all $a \in L$, and we can take $a = 1$ to see that $b_1 = 0$; this starts the induction. 1

Now suppose the result true for some specific $n > 1$. 1 Fix some $t \in L$, and put $c_i = b_i(\theta_i(t) - \theta_n(t))$, 1 so $c_n = 0$.

We claim that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i\theta_i(a) = 0$ for all $a \in L$.

Indeed, the relation $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(a) = 0$ is valid for all $a \in L$, so it works for ta in place of a , which gives $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(t)\theta_i(a) = 0$. 1

On the other hand, we can just multiply the relation $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(a) = 0$ by $\theta_n(t)$ to get $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_n(t)\theta_i(a) = 0$, 1 and then subtract this from the previous relation to get $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i\theta_i(a) = 0$ as claimed. 1

We deduce from the induction hypothesis that $c_1 = \dots = c_{n-1} = 0$, so $b_i(\theta_i(t) - \theta_n(t)) = 0$ for all $i < n$ (and all $t \in L$, because t was arbitrary). 1

By assumption the homomorphisms θ_i are all different, so for each $i < n$ we can choose $t_i \in L$ with $\theta_i(t_i) \neq \theta_n(t_i)$. We can then take $t = t_i$ in the relation $b_i(\theta_i(t) - \theta_n(t)) = 0$ to get $b_i = 0$. 1

This shows that $b_1 = \dots = b_{n-1} = 0$, so the relation $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(a)$ reduces to $b_n\theta_n(a) = 0$ for all a . 1

Now take $a = 1$ to see that $b_n = 0$ as well. This completes the induction. 1

(b)

Write $m = \deg(\varphi)$ and let e_1, \dots, e_m be a basis for L over $\varphi(K)$. 1

Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be the distinct elements of $E(\varphi, \psi)$.

Define $v_1, \dots, v_n \in M^m$ by

$$v_i = (\theta_i(e_1), \dots, \theta_i(e_m)). \quad \text{1}$$

We claim that these n vectors are linearly independent over M .

To see this, consider a linear relation $b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n = 0$ with $b_1, \dots, b_n \in M$. 1 So $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(e_j) = 0$ for all j .

Now consider an arbitrary element $a \in L$. As the elements e_j give a basis for L over $\varphi(K)$, we can write $a = \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(x_j)e_j$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_m \in K$. 1 We can then apply θ_i to this. Since $\theta_i\varphi = \psi$, we get

$$\theta_i(a) = \sum_{j=1}^m \psi(x_j)\theta_i(e_j). \quad \text{2}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m b_i\psi(x_j)\theta_i(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\psi(x_j) \sum_{i=1}^n b_i\theta_i(e_j) \right) = 0. \quad \text{1}$$

By (a) we have $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$. 1 We deduce that the vectors v_1, \dots, v_n in M^m are linearly independent 1. The length of any linearly independent list is at most the dimension of the containing space, so we have $n \leq m$ 1; that is, $|E(\varphi, \psi)| \leq \deg(\varphi)$.

(c)

Let N/K be a field extension of finite degree. We say that N is *normal* over K if for every monic irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$, either f has no roots in N or f splits properly over N 3.

Equivalently: for any other extension L/K , either $E_K(L, N) = \emptyset$ or $|E_K(L, N)| = [L : K]$ (where $E_K(L, N) = \{\varphi: L \rightarrow N \mid \varphi|_K = 1\}$). 2

Alternatively, it is equivalent to say that $|G(N/K)| = [N : K]$. (2 also for this answer)

4(a) Standard type.

The set

$$B = \{1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{6}, \sqrt{14}, \sqrt{21}, \sqrt{42}\}$$

is a basis for L over \mathbb{Q} . 3

(b) Standard type.

We can define automorphisms $\varphi, \psi, \omega \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\begin{array}{lll} \varphi(\sqrt{2}) = -\sqrt{2} & \varphi(\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{3} & \varphi(\sqrt{7}) = \sqrt{7} \\ \psi(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2} & \psi(\sqrt{3}) = -\sqrt{3} & \psi(\sqrt{7}) = \sqrt{7} \\ \omega(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2} & \omega(\sqrt{3}) = \sqrt{3} & \omega(\sqrt{7}) = -\sqrt{7}. \end{array} \quad \boxed{3}$$

More explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{7} + e\sqrt{6} + f\sqrt{14} + g\sqrt{21} + h\sqrt{42}) = \\ a - b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{7} - e\sqrt{6} - f\sqrt{14} + g\sqrt{21} - h\sqrt{42} \end{aligned}$$

and so on. These automorphisms commute with each other and satisfy $\varphi^2 = \psi^2 = \omega^2 = 1$.

The full group is

$$G(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \varphi, \psi, \omega, \varphi\psi, \varphi\omega, \psi\omega, \varphi\psi\omega\} \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2. \quad \boxed{3}$$

(c) Close to standard type.

H_i is the set of automorphisms $\theta \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ satisfying $\theta|_{K_i} = 1$. For example, this means that H_1 is the group of those $\theta \in G(L/K)$ for which $\theta(\sqrt{14}) = \sqrt{14}$, or equivalently $\theta(\sqrt{2})\theta(\sqrt{7}) = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{7}$. This gives the list

$$H_1 = \{1, \varphi\omega, \psi, \varphi\psi\omega\}. \quad \boxed{2}$$

Similarly, we have

$$H_2 = \{1, \varphi\psi\omega\} \quad \boxed{1}$$

$$H_4 = \{1, \varphi\psi, \varphi\omega, \psi\omega\}. \quad \boxed{1}$$

For H_3 , we note that any $\theta \in G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ has $\theta(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7}) = \pm\sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{7}$. As $\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{7}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , we see that $\theta(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7})$ can only be equal to $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7}$ if $\theta(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$ and $\theta(\sqrt{7}) = \sqrt{7}$ 1, which means that θ cannot involve φ or ω . 1 We conclude that

$$H_3 = \{1, \psi\}. \quad \boxed{1}$$

(d) **Unseen**

As the Galois correspondence is an order-reversing bijection, we have $K_1 \leq K_3$ iff $H_1 \geq H_3$, which is true by part (c) **2**. More explicitly, we have

$$\sqrt{14} = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7})^2 - \frac{9}{2},$$

so $\sqrt{14} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7})$, so $K_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{14}) \leq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{7}) = K_3$. **2**

(e) **Unseen**

If a field M (with $\mathbb{Q} < M < L$) corresponds to a subgroup $H \leq G(L/\mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$|H| = [L : M] = [L : \mathbb{Q}] / [M : \mathbb{Q}] = 8 / [M : \mathbb{Q}]. \quad \mathbf{1}$$

Thus, the intermediate fields with $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ are in bijective correspondence with subgroups of order 2 in $G(L/\mathbb{Q})$ **2**.

There are 7 non-identity elements $\theta \in G(L/K)$ **1**, and each of these satisfies $\theta^2 = 1$ so it gives a subgroup $\{1, \theta\}$ of order 2, and this gives all such subgroups. **1** Thus, there are 7 intermediate fields of degree 4 over \mathbb{Q} .

5 Standard type

(a) Use Eisenstein's criterion:

Let p be a prime number. Suppose that $q(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + x^d$ is such that

- All the coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{d-1} are integers, and are divisible by p . **1**
- a_0 is not divisible by p^2 . **1**

Then $q(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . **1**

For the given polynomial, $f(x) = x^4 \pmod{2}$ and $f(0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ so Eisenstein's criterion applies and $f(x)$ is irreducible. **2**

(b) Note that $\alpha^2 + 4 = 3\sqrt{2} = \sqrt{18}$, and squaring again shows that $\alpha^4 + 8\alpha^2 + 16 = 18$, so $f(\alpha) = 0$. **1**

As $f(x)$ only involves even powers of x we have $f(-x) = f(x)$ and so $f(-\alpha) = 0$. **1**

Now put $\beta = \sqrt{-3\sqrt{2} - 4}$; the same argument shows that $f(\pm\beta) = 0$. We also have $(\alpha\beta)^2 = (3\sqrt{2} - 4)(-3\sqrt{2} - 4) = -2$, so $\beta = \pm\sqrt{-2}/\alpha$. **3** It follows that the roots of $f(x)$ are as described, so the splitting field is $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \beta)$ **1** $= \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \alpha\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \sqrt{-2}) = M$ as claimed. **1**

Use of quadratic formula gets same marks

(c) We have $3\sqrt{2} - 4 \simeq 0.24 > 0$ so α is real, so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq M \cap \mathbb{R}$. **1** As $f(x)$ is irreducible, it must be the minimal polynomial for α , **1** and so $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg(f(x)) = 4$. **1** As $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\sqrt{-2}$ is purely imaginary we see that $1, \sqrt{-2}$ is a basis for M over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, **1** so $M \cap \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $[M : \mathbb{Q}] = [M : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \times 4 = 8$. **1**

(d) First let $\psi: M \rightarrow M$ be given by complex conjugation, so $\psi(\sqrt{-2}) = -\sqrt{-2}$ and $\psi(\alpha) = \alpha$. It is clear that $\psi^2 = 1$. **1**

Next, the Galois group of the splitting field of an irreducible polynomial always acts transitively on the roots, so we can find $\sigma \in G(M/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\sigma(\alpha) = \sqrt{-2}/\alpha$. **1**

Now σ must permute the roots of $x^2 + 2$, so $\sigma(\sqrt{-2}) = \pm\sqrt{-2}$. **1** If the sign is positive we put $\varphi = \sigma\psi$, otherwise we put $\varphi = \sigma$. In either case we then have $\varphi(\alpha) = \sqrt{-2}/\alpha = \beta$ and $\varphi(\sqrt{-2}) = -\sqrt{-2}$. **1** This means that

$$\varphi^2(\alpha) = \varphi(\sqrt{-2}/\alpha) = \varphi(\sqrt{-2})/\varphi(\alpha) = -\sqrt{-2}/(\sqrt{-2}/\alpha) = -\alpha$$

and $\varphi^2(\sqrt{-2}) = \sqrt{-2}$. It follows in turn that $\varphi^4 = 1$. **1**

We now have various different automorphisms, whose effect we can tabulate as follows:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 1 | φ | φ^2 | φ^3 | ψ | $\varphi\psi$ | $\varphi^2\psi$ | $\varphi^3\psi$ |
| α | α | β | $-\alpha$ | $-\beta$ | α | β | $-\alpha$ | $-\beta$ |
| β | β | $-\alpha$ | $-\beta$ | α | $-\beta$ | α | β | $-\alpha$ |
| $\sqrt{-2}$ | $\sqrt{-2}$ | $-\sqrt{-2}$ | $\sqrt{-2}$ | $-\sqrt{-2}$ | $-\sqrt{-2}$ | $\sqrt{-2}$ | $-\sqrt{-2}$ | $\sqrt{-2}$ |

2

We see that the eight automorphisms listed are all different, but $|G(M/\mathbb{Q})| = [M : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$, so we have found all the automorphisms. 1